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1 Introduction

Carbohydrates in addition to proteins comprise some of the most important molecules of life and due to their huge structural diversity the synthesis of these compounds has always been a challenge for chemists. Especially the preparation of rare and unnatural monosaccharides starting from readily available chiral pool substances such as pentoses, hexoses and amino acids remains an important topic not only in the field of carbohydrate chemistry but also in biochemistry and molecular biology. In order to perform systematic studies for a better understanding of the precise mode of action and biological function of rare carbohydrates such as amino-functionalized and carbon chain elongated sugars, high yielding synthetic routes for their preparation are essential. Additionally, unnatural derivatives are made accessible which are of interest concerning the development of antimicrobial agents and carbohydrate vaccines for the treatment of medical conditions such as viral infections, diabetes or cancer. Owing to their unique properties, metal-containing reagents are used extensively in all fields of the life sciences. Among other applications, they are used as catalysts, redox active reagents, Lewis acids and for the generation of Barbier-type reagents in organic chemistry. In this thesis, the latter two aspects of titanium and indium were harnessed to devise synthetic routes on the one hand towards amino-functionalized heptoses and octoses and on the other hand towards amino-fluoro pentoses and hexoses. Our goal was to develop short and simple synthetic routes which should be flexible in terms of stereochemical variations, thus allowing to synthesize a broad range of substances for biological testing. The first project represents an extension of the indium mediated allylation protocol for unprotected carbohydrates. In this respect, not only carbon chain elongation was performed, but additional functionalization with nitrogen was achieved via an epoxidation, azide opening strategy. The second project described herein makes use of titanium mediated aldol additions of amino acid and fluoroacetyl-oxazolidinone derived building blocks for the preparation of fluorinated amino sugars which represent valuable probes for biochemical investigations.
1.1 Barbier-type indium reagents in organic synthesis

The application of the main group metal indium in organometallic reactions has a long history which can be traced back to 1974 when Rieke et al performed Reformatsky reactions of ethyl bromoacetate with indium which was prepared by reduction of $\text{InCl}_3$ with potassium. Fourteen years later in 1988 Araki and coworkers found that indium powder readily reacted with allyl bromide in DMF or THF to generate organometallic species which furnished homoallylic alcohols when treated with carbonyl compounds. Since then indium has drawn a considerable amount of attention among the synthetic community especially with the discovery that many of the reactions could be carried out in aqueous media and that the reagents are tolerant to a number of functional groups. A sesquihalide structure (Scheme 1) was proposed for the indium intermediate in organic solvents whereas in water a surface reaction was anticipated at first since the organo-indium species where thought to easily hydrolyze.

![Scheme 1. In mediated allylation in organic media.](image)

In 1993 Whitesides et al reported on the indium mediated allylation of unprotected carbohydrates in aqueous media and it was assumed that discrete organometallic species must be involved since separately prepared organoindium reagents gave the same experimental results compared to heterogeneous conditions. Further proof for this hypothesis was brought forward in 1999 when Chan and coworkers performed NMR based investigations of allyl bromide and indium in $\text{D}_2\text{O}$. A new set of allylic protons was found to emerge rapidly at 1.7 ppm which slowly declined over night leaving allyl alcohol. This experiment also excluded the existence of sesquihalide species which would necessitate two sets of allylic signals. Additionally, transmetallation experiments with diallyl mercury and $\text{In}^0$, $\text{InI}$ respectively $\text{InBr}_3$ were conducted in order to determine the oxidation state of indium (Scheme 2). Since only $\text{In}^0$ and $\text{InI}$ generated the characteristic signal at 1.7 ppm it was concluded that allylindium(I) must be the reactive species in water.
Following the huge success of the allylation protocol, numerous other transformations with indium have been realized since then. The appealing aspects of indium chemistry can be summarized as follows: Owing to the mildness of organoindium reagents side-reactions such as eliminations and tedious protecting group chemistry can be avoided due to the toleration of many functional groups such as hydroxyl moieties, a feature which is especially valuable in carbohydrate chemistry. Additionally, the reactions can be carried out in environmentally benign solvents such as alcohols and water and the waste produced is nontoxic. The only disadvantage which might be considered is the cost of indium metal which is mostly required in super-stoichiometric amounts. However, catalytic approaches which for example use manganese as a reducing agent have been developed to overcome this problem.
1.1.2 Indium mediated carbonyl allylation

As mentioned above, Barbier-type reactions can be carried out using indium metal and allyl bromide or iodide in aqueous or organic media. No activation of the metal is required and halogen-metal insertion produces sesquihalide In(III) respectively allylindium(I) species in the two different media. The stoichiometry usually applied is allyl halide/indium/carbonyl = 3/2/1. Additionally ultrasonication\textsuperscript{11} and the addition of protic acids\textsuperscript{12} were found to lead to better performances. Contributions concerning the investigation of regio- and stereoselectivity were made by the groups of Loh\textsuperscript{13} and Paquette\textsuperscript{14}. In general the behavior observed was in agreement with existing stereochemical models. On the one hand $\gamma$-substituted allyl-halides furnish $\gamma$-adducts with anti or syn diastereoselectivity (Scheme 3), depending on the geometry of the double bond. This behavior reflects the involvement of a six-membered chair-like transition-state, the Zimmerman-Traxler transition state\textsuperscript{15} (Scheme 4).

\[ \text{Scheme 3. In mediated allylation of an } \alpha\text{-keto-}\gamma\text{-lactam}^{14a}; \text{ } \gamma\text{-regio- and } \text{anti-diastereoselectivity.} \]

\[ \text{Scheme 4. Chelating and non-chelating Zimmerman-Traxler transition states in the indium mediated allylation.} \]

However, the overall selectivity encountered can be moderate\textsuperscript{16} owing to the isomerisation of the crotyl-indium species in the course of the reaction (Scheme 5) which leads to the formation of the respective $\alpha$-adducts under thermodynamic conditions\textsuperscript{17}. 
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Scheme 5. Isomerisation of crotyl-indium species.

On the other hand \(\alpha\)- and \(\beta\)-chiral aldehydes, depending on the nature of the substituent at the chiral center (chelating vs. non-chelating), produce either \textit{syn} or \textit{anti} homoallyl alcohols\textsuperscript{18} following the Chelat-Cram and Felkin-Ahn stereochemical models (Scheme 4, Scheme 6).

Scheme 6. \textit{In} mediated allylation of an \(\alpha\)-hydroxy ketone\textsuperscript{14b}; \textit{syn}-diastereoselectivity.

Allyl-indium reagents are in general hard nucleophiles and therefore lead to 1,2-additions with Michael acceptors\textsuperscript{19}. However, when substrates with two electron withdrawing groups\textsuperscript{20} or additives such as TMSCl\textsuperscript{21} are used, 1,4-additions are preferred. Since indium is able to coordinate to oxygen and nitrogen even in aqueous media, the addition of chiral ligands in the allylation protocol enabled the preparation of enantiomerically enriched products\textsuperscript{22} (Scheme 7).

Scheme 7. \textit{In} promoted allylation with chiral ligands.

Furthermore, allyl-indium reagents have been reacted with a range of electrophiles such as imines\textsuperscript{23}, nitriles\textsuperscript{24} and epoxides\textsuperscript{25}. Concerning the application of indium mediated allylations in natural product synthesis the preparation of novelosonic acids from commercially available hexoses by Chan and Li as well as Whitesides \textit{et al} has to be emphasized (Scheme 8).
Scheme 8. Synthesis of KDN and NANA via indium mediated allylation of hexoses with bromomethyl acrylates.

The synthesis of KDN was improved later on by Fressner et al who performed the allylation step in acidic media, improving the overall yield to 75% of a single diastereomer\textsuperscript{26}. Interestingly, also the free bromomethyl acrylic acid can be used in the allylation step\textsuperscript{27}. Additionally, phosphono-acrylates have been used for the preparation of phosphonate analogues of KDN and NANA, which exert moderate sialidase inhibition\textsuperscript{28}. For the preparation of KDO a similar procedure was adopted; starting from diisopropylidene protected arabinose, a \textit{dr} of 2/1 in favor of the \textit{anti} diastereomer\textsuperscript{29} was obtained. To further demonstrate the usefulness of indium in carbohydrate synthesis, the preparation of pseudaminic acid from 2,4-diacetamido-2,4,6-trideoxy-L-altrose by Lee and coworkers should be mentioned (Scheme 9)\textsuperscript{30}.

Scheme 9. Synthesis of pseudaminic acid by Lee et al.

Although the diastereoselectivity of the allylation step was low and different Lewis acids and chiral ligands failed to improve the selectivity, the required \textit{erythro} isomer was obtained in a slight excess and subsequent ozonolysis and saponification furnished the desired pseudaminic acid, which is associated with the pathogenicity of bacteria\textsuperscript{31}. This approach represented the
first high yielding synthesis of bacterial sialic acids, although the simple and selective
preparation of the required 2,4-diacetamido-2,4,6-trideoxy-hexose precursors still remains a
challenge.

1.1.2 Propargylation and allenylation

The application of propargyl halides and indium in Barbier-type reactions was first described
by Whitesides et al in 1993 for the allenylation of unprotected carbohydrates.

Scheme 10. Allenylation of D-ribose by Whitesides et al.

The nature of the organoindium species involved was investigated by Chan et al. In analogy
to the allyl system, the propargyl/allenyl indium system was found to have a strong
dependence on the nature of the solvent used. When propargyl bromide was treated with
indium in water, allenylindium(I) was formed, whereas THF promoted the formation of
allenylindium(I)/(III) mixtures by NMR analysis. However, when internal alkynes were used,
the equilibrium was shifted towards propargylindium species owing to steric repulsion. Thus,
substituted propargyl bromides led to the predominant formation of allenyl alcohols and
unsubstituted ones furnished the corresponding homopropargylic alcohols (Scheme 11).

Scheme 11. Indium mediated allenylation/propargylation.

In 2003, Lin and Loh reported on a tunable reaction by using trialkylsilyl propargyl
bromides. When TMS propargyl bromide and indium were reacted with aldehydes in the
presence of InBr₃ in THF, exclusive formation of homopropargylic alcohols was observed. On the other hand, TBDPS propargyl bromide furnished allenyl alcohols (Scheme 12).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O} & \quad \text{R} \quad + \quad \text{Br} \quad \equiv \quad \text{TMS} \quad \xrightarrow{\text{In, InBr₃}} \quad \text{OH} \quad \equiv \quad \text{TMS} \\
\text{O} & \quad \text{R} \quad + \quad \text{Br} \quad \equiv \quad \text{TBDPS} \quad \xrightarrow{\text{In}} \quad \text{OH} \quad \equiv \quad \text{TBDPS}
\end{align*}
\]

**Scheme 12.** Regioselective propargylation/allenylation with silyl propargyl bromides.

It was suggested that a chelation between silicon and bromide shifted the equilibrium towards allenylindium species, which was not possible in the case of the more bulky TBDPS moiety. In 2011 Schmid *et al* reported on the allenylation/propargylation of isopropylidene glyceraldehyde with protected 4-bromo-2-butyn-1-ols. In this case, considerable amounts of homopropargylic alcohols were obtained, which was explained by a chelation between indium and oxygen. Therefore, the sterically unfavorable allenylindium species are stabilized and play an increased role in equilibrium (Scheme 13).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O} & \quad \text{O} \quad \text{Br} \quad \equiv \quad \text{OR} \quad \xrightarrow{\text{In, LIL}} \quad \text{OH} \quad \equiv \quad \text{OR} \\
\text{O} & \quad \text{O} \quad \text{Br} \quad \equiv \quad \text{OR} \quad \xrightarrow{\text{In, LIL}} \quad \text{OH} \quad \equiv \quad \text{OR}
\end{align*}
\]

**Scheme 13.** Propargylation/allenylation of isopropylidene glyceraldehyde.

Subsequent ozonolysis and deprotection of allenyl alcohols furnished d-erythro-2-pentulose. The synthetic utility of the indium propargylation/allenylation was further demonstrated by subjecting the obtained substrates to various intramolecular gold catalyzed cyclizations (Scheme 14).
Scheme 14. Allenylation/propargylation followed by intramolecular cyclization.

Thus a rapid construction of highly functionalized frameworks was achieved, furnishing an array of heterocyclic compounds and naphthalene derivatives.
1.1.3 Indium mediated alkylation

Although the preparation of alkylindium reagents has been known for a long time\textsuperscript{39} the use of these reagents in C-C bond formations has been scarce. The reason for this being on the one hand the very slow formation of the alkylindium species which requires the reactive Rieke indium\textsuperscript{3} (see section 1.1), on the other hand these reagents are incapable of reacting with carbonyl compounds due to their low reactivity. However, alkylindium reagents can be used in palladium catalyzed cross-couplings with aryl halides\textsuperscript{40} (Scheme 15).

\textbf{Scheme 15.} Indium mediated cross-coupling of alkyl and aryl halides.

Owing to the mildness of alkylindium reagents, no competitive $\beta$-hydride eliminations or homocouplings are encountered and no protecting groups are required, which renders this reaction a complementary method to similar cross-couplings.
1.2 Titanium mediated aldol-type reactions in organic synthesis

Titanium reagents are used in a multitude of chemical transformations. In general, many of these reactions harness the strong Lewis acidity of titanium(IV) reagents, which promote C-C and C-O bond formations such as Mukaiyama and Evans aldol, Diels-Alder, Carbonyl-ene and the Sharpless epoxidation reaction among others. Furthermore (ansa-)titanocene complexes are used for enantioselective reduction processes, carbonyl olefinations and olefin polymerization reactions. The following sections will give an excerpt of the development of asymmetric aldol-type C-C bond formations throughout the past fifty years.

1.2.1 The Mukaiyama aldol reaction

Aldol additions use two carbonyl compounds to create β-hydroxy carbonyls, a general structural motif abundant in many natural products. Since there is a potential formation of multiple regio- and stereo isomers, it is of paramount importance to have mechanisms of control over both. The Mukaiyama aldol reaction (MAR) first provided a solution for these issues. Inspired by earlier work of Wittig\textsuperscript{41} in 1963 on the enamide aldol reaction, in 1973 Mukaiyama and coworkers came up with the idea of using silyl enol ethers (SEE’s) as stable metal enolates\textsuperscript{42} for aldol additions (Scheme 16).

![Scheme 16. MAR of benzyl and isopropyl aldehyde.](image)

When activated with stoichiometric or catalytic amounts of TiCl\textsubscript{4}, a strong metallic Lewis acid which was still easy to distill, these SEE’s readily reacted with a range of different aldehydes and ketones. This not only solved the problem of self additions but also the regioselectivity could be controlled via the choice of base during the SEE preparation (Scheme 17). When a bulky base was used, it selectively deprotonated the less hindered side of a ketone. This observation was also confirmed by House \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{43}
Later on, also silyl ketene acetalys\textsuperscript{44} were used in the aldol addition followed by silyl dienol ethers\textsuperscript{45} in the vinylogous MAR (Scheme 18), which is especially important in natural product synthesis\textsuperscript{46}.

Unlike the respective lithium enolates, these compounds unfold their nucleophilicity in the $\gamma$-position, which can be rationalized in terms of larger orbital coefficients at this position. A stereochemical model for the MAR which assumes an open-chained transition-state (Scheme 19) and gained wide acceptance was first proposed by Noyori et al\textsuperscript{47} in 1980.
The antiperiplanar alignment leading from both \((E)\) and \((Z)\) configured SEE’s to \(\text{syn}\) products is assumed to be preferred as opposed to the unfavorable gauche conformation. Investigations concerning the control of stereochemistry were commenced in the 1980s. Evans \textit{et al} developed an asymmetric boron-mediated aldol reaction by using chiral oxazolidinone auxiliaries (see section 1.2.2). Masamune, Paterson and Corey introduced chiral boron triflate Lewis acids\(^{48}\) and Mukaiyama reported on the use of \(\text{L}\)-proline derived chelating diamine bases for enantioselective \(\text{tin}(\text{II})\) mediated aldol additions\(^{49}\) (Scheme 20).

The concept of chiral Lewis acids was further elaborated throughout the 1990s and reactions with a multitude of chiral catalysts with excellent enantioselectivities were introduced (Scheme 21).

Further important contributions in this field were made by Yamamoto and coworkers who developed a sequential MAR with ‘supersilyl’ enol ethers (Scheme 22)\(^{50}\).
Scheme 22. Yamamoto’s modification of the MAR.

This one-pot procedure uses only 0.05 mol% of HNTf₂ for activation and a very bulky silyl moiety, so that the intermediate aldehyde can be reacted with a further SEE, furnishing highly functionalized molecules in a single step. Concerning MAR’s in natural product synthesis, the protocol of the Kobayashi group has to be mentioned. This vinylogous MAR uses silyl ketene Evans auxiliaries which provided a hitherto unknown long range asymmetric induction with anti diastereoselectivity (Scheme 23). This procedure was used independently by Nicolaou and De Brabander for the total synthesis of palmerolide A.

Scheme 23. Vinylogous MAR in the preparation of palmerolide A.

It was reasoned that the anti diastereoselectivity observed was due to unfavorable interactions within the syn transition-state, namely of the Lewis acid and the terminal methyl group, as well as the α-methyl group of the silyl ketene acetal and the aldehyde.
Although Kobayashi’s vinylogous MAR had shown to be a powerful tool for the construction of polyketides, one drawback remained the lack of a possibility to perform syn selective reactions. In 2009 Kobayashi\textsuperscript{53} and Chen\textsuperscript{54} reported on the use of chiral and achiral α- and β-heteroatom substituted aldehydes for the preparation of syn aldols (Scheme 25). The 1,3-dithianes first employed by Chen \textit{et al} only displayed moderate selectivity but by continuing efforts it was found that ortho substituted benzaldehydes\textsuperscript{55} also exhibited good \textit{dr} values (Scheme 25).

It was reasoned that a transition-state must be involved which chelates the titanium Lewis acid between the heteroatom substituent and the carbonyl-O of the aldehyde and that the facial selectivity is inverted in order to avoid the unfavorable interaction of titanium and the terminal methyl group (Scheme 24). The facial selectivity of the reaction can also be changed by using excess TiCl\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{56}. Interestingly, under these conditions again syn products arise, even without chelating groups on the aldehyde. In 2012 Kalesse \textit{et al} synthesized (Z) configured
silyl ketene acetals which furnished syn aldols with non-chelating aldehydes and anti aldols with chelating ones\textsuperscript{57} (Scheme 26).

Scheme 26. Kalesse’s (Z)-silyl ketene acetals in the preparation of syn non-chelate and anti chelate products.

This methodology completed the array of stereochemical control mechanisms in Kobayashi’s vinylogous MAR and was further proof for the proposed transition-state. Dudley and coworkers used this protocol for a new formal total synthesis of palmerolide A\textsuperscript{58} without the necessity to perform the stereochemical inversion applied by Nicolaou and De Brabander\textsuperscript{52} (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Palmerolide A.

The Mukaiyama aldol reaction has been investigated under constant improvement and expansion for more than 40 years now. It has become a pivotal transformation for the preparation of complex natural products such as polyketides and has taken its place among the fundamental tools of the synthetic organic chemist. Nevertheless it can be expected that
further elaboration of this methodology will be performed in order to diversify the structural motifs available.

1.2.2 The Evans aldol reaction

A number of different mechanisms of control over regio- and stereoselectivity in aldol additions have been developed throughout the 20th century (see also section 1.2.1). In 1981 Evans et al reported on the stereochemical control of aldol additions by using boron Lewis acids. At this time it was already known that distereoselection is partly defined by the geometry of the metal enolate (Scheme 27).

It was assumed that anti aldol products were formed by pericyclic reactions of (E) configured enolates with carbonyls whereas (Z) enolates furnished the respective syn aldols, which is consistent with the Zimmerman-Traxler model. With this preliminary knowledge, Evans and coworkers set out to investigate the stereoselective generation of boron enolates by modifying the metal ligands, the amine base and the solvent. Inspired by the work of Mukaiyama, dibutylboryl trifluoromethanesulfonate in combination with a tertiary amine base were used for the enolization of various ketones. The enolate species were subsequently trapped by double transmetalation with nBuLi followed by TMSCl for direct comparison with authentic samples. These studies gave further insight into the enolization mechanism and enolate equilibria. Under kinetic conditions, anti deprotonation with sterically hindered bases leading to (Z) enolates is preferred over syn deprotonation furnishing (E) enolates which is based on allylic strain arguments (Scheme 28).
Scheme 28. Enolization of ketones, syn vs. anti deprotonation.

Thus, the use of Hünig's base (diisopropylethylamine) instead of 2,6-lutidine in the example above resulted in an increase in selectivity from 70:30 to >97:3. Additionally the exchange of n-butyl to cyclopentenyl ligands on boron could be used to fine-tune the selectivity and most importantly a consistent correlation between enolate geometry and aldol product stereochemistry was found. Furthermore the screening of different solvents showed that less polar ones such as dichloromethane in general enhanced the selectivity. This behavior was attributed to transition-state ‘compression’ which supposedly results in a stronger influence of steric parameters that dictate the diastereoselection. In some cases (E) enolates and their corresponding anti aldol products could selectively be prepared under thermodynamic conditions and/or the aid of bulky boron ligands and ketones. However no general procedure for an anti selective aldol addition using boron enolates could be realized (for a highly anti selective magnesium catalyzed reaction by Evans et al see Ref. 61). Apart from these detailed studies on the mechanism of enolization, the stereochemical induction of chiral enolates was investigated. N-tosylated proline derivatives in this respect showed good selectivity (syn/anti = 9/1, syn-a/syn-b > 97/3) and it was reasoned that two transition-states might be possible, one of them being strongly disfavored due to steric repulsion of the boron ligands and the large N-Ts moiety (Scheme 29). Another argumentation frequently given is that shielding of the si-face of the aldehyde by the auxiliary occurs which therefore directs the attack of the enolate from the re-face. Unfortunately, the chair-like Zimmerman-Traxler transition-state proved not to be a general concept in auxiliary-mediated aldol additions. In some cases boat-like or open-chained transition states\textsuperscript{62} have been proposed in order to account for unexpected product distributions (\textit{vide infra}) which suggests that a complete understanding of these processes is still missing.
Scheme 29. Boron mediated aldol addition with chiral L-proline derived auxiliary.

In 1981, Evans and coworkers also reported on the use of L-valine and norephedrine derived oxazolidinone auxiliaries in their boron mediated aldol addition\textsuperscript{63}. These very versatile compounds displayed even higher stereoselectivity \((\text{syn/anti} = 250/1, \text{syn-a/syn-b} = 500/1)\) which in general produced less than 1\% of combined unwanted diastereomers under the condition that \(R \neq H\) (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Evans auxiliaries derived from L-valine and norephedrine.

However the acetate aldol reaction \((R = H)\) could be achieved by using the respective –\text{SMe} substituted derivatives followed by reduction with Raney-Ni. Following this initial success Evans’ 2-oxazolidinones shortly became one of the most popular auxiliaries for the asymmetric formation of C-C bonds\textsuperscript{64} and the scope of this reaction could be demonstrated in a number of natural product syntheses\textsuperscript{65}. The advantages of oxazolidinone auxiliaries can be summarized as follows. They are easily accessible starting from bulk chiral pool substances; they induce high levels of enantioselectivity, most of their aldol adducts are crystalline and they can be cleaved off and recycled easily. They are usually prepared from the parent amino alcohol and phosgene or diethyl carbonate followed by treatment with \textit{n}-BuLi and acid chloride or anhydride or alternatively \textit{via} a DCC amide coupling (Scheme 30). However various other approaches for the construction of the oxazolidinone framework have been
devised\textsuperscript{66}, like palladium catalyzed carbonylations or carboxylations followed by internal Mitsunobu-type substitution (Scheme 30).

\textbf{Scheme 30.} Representative examples for the preparation of oxazolidinone auxiliaries.

The removal of the auxiliaries can be carried out either by simple saponification with hydro(pero)xide bases, trans-esterification with alcoholates\textsuperscript{63}, reduction with complex hydrides or by transamination with AlMe\textsubscript{3} and N,O-dimethylhydroxylamine (Scheme 30).\textsuperscript{65a}

Following the huge success of Evans’ boron mediated asymmetric aldol reaction, the application of other metals such as tin\textsuperscript{67} and titanium was investigated subsequently. Initially however titanium/DIPEA mediated reactions proved to be slightly less selective\textsuperscript{68}. It was reasoned that titanium enolates lacking the stability and compactness of the respective boronates (B-O $\approx$ 1.4 Å, Ti-O $\approx$ 1.6 Å) participated in multiple reaction pathways\textsuperscript{69} and therefore furnished lower overall selectivity. One possible competing pathway is illustrated in Scheme 31. After the loss of one chloride ion, titanium can coordinate to the carbonyl O of the auxiliary, thus changing the $\pi$-facial selectivity of the reaction. Crimmins and coworkers however were able to demonstrate that both ‘Evans’ and ‘non-Evans’ products were accessible by adjusting the reaction conditions\textsuperscript{70}. This was achieved by using oxazolidinethione auxiliaries and by changing the stoichiometry of the Lewis acid and the nature of the amine base.
Scheme 31. Competing pathways in Ti mediated aldol additions.

It was found that oxazolidinethiones, beside their easier removal and recovery, also lead to enhanced selectivity owing to more stable and rigid titanium sulfur chelated enolates which furnished high diastereoselectivities of >98/2 in favor of the Evans syn product with 2.5 equiv of TMEDA as a base. DIPEA however gave inconsistent results. When (-)-spartein was used as a chiral base, no additional asymmetric induction but a reaction rate acceleration was observed improving isolated yields by more than 20%. Most interestingly, the diastereoselection could be inverted by changing the stoichiometry of the Lewis acid. When 2 equiv of TiCl₄ and 1 equiv of DIPEA were used, non-Evans syn products were obtained in an excess of >95/5. On the other hand Heathcock et al had reported on anti selective reactions with 2 equiv of (nBu)₂BOTf and an acyclic transition state was proposed (Scheme 32)⁷¹.

Scheme 32. Open chained transition state in the anti selective aldol addition by Heathcock et al.

Crimmins reasoned that chloride abstraction from the respective titanium enolate by the second equiv of TiCl₄ promotes the formation of non-Evans syn products via T₁ (Scheme 31). ¹H-NMR studies of the enolate species gave further support for this hypothesis. Two distinct enolates were identified, one of them being only found when excess TiCl₄ or an additional equiv of Ag[SbF₆] was present to promote chloride abstraction. Thus, Crimmins et al were not only able to confirm the utility of titanium in asymmetric aldol reactions, but also a
method for producing non-Evans syn aldols was developed which dispensed the need to prepare both enantiomers of the chiral auxiliary. Prior to these findings in 1993 Pridgen et al reported on a stereoselective Darzens reaction using oxazolidinone auxiliaries and different Lewis acids\textsuperscript{72}. The Darzens reaction in principle represents an α-halogen variation of the aldol addition discussed in this section (Scheme 33).
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formation of the anti products from aromatic aldehydes, a boat- or twist-boat-like TS was proposed (Scheme 34).

\[ \text{Scheme 34. Boat-like transition-states in the formation of anti aldols from (Z) enolates.} \]

Concerning the influence of the nature of the halogen on the reaction, no definitive conclusions could be drawn, but it was found that fluorinated auxiliaries gave the best results in combination with TiCl₄ whereas \((n\text{Bu})₂\text{BOTf}\) proved to be ineffective. In conclusion, many approaches for the control of relative and absolute stereochemistry in asymmetric aldol reactions have been reported. Among these approaches, the use of chiral oxazolidinone auxiliaries, first exemplified by Evans et al, has enjoyed great popularity within the synthetic community. Although much effort has been devoted to establish a complete understanding of this reaction, a universal model might be elusive. However, it can be said that the reaction is governed by the following stereo-directing influences: The configuration of the chiral enolate \((E)\) vs. \((Z)\) and the reacting diastereo(enantio)topic faces of the enolate and the aldehyde, depending on their nature (chelating vs. non-chelating metals, aromatic vs. aliphatic aldehydes). The most commonly used Lewis acids for this type of reaction are \((n\text{Bu})₂\text{BOTf}\) and TiCl₄ which both display remarkable selectivity. Concerning the cost and convenience of storage and handling, TiCl₄ is more advantageous compared to \((n\text{Bu})₂\text{BOTf}\) which has to be kept under scrupulously anhydrous conditions or prepared freshly for best results.
1.2.3 Garner’s aldehyde

Philip Garner first prepared his famous chiral building block from L-serine in 1984 (Scheme 35).\(^{74}\) It was found to be configurationally stable by Mosher ester analysis and subsequently used for the preparation of \textit{threo}-\(\beta\)-hydroxy-L-glutamic acid.
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\textbf{Scheme 35.} First synthesis of 1,1-dimethylethyl 4-formyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate by Garner et al.

Three years later in 1987 Garner et al additionally reported on the preparation of the respective threonine derivatives of his aldehyde.\(^{75}\) Since then, this original procedure has been subjected to many improvements, including the reversal of Boc protection and esterification,\(^{76}\) the use of BF\(_3\).OEt\(_2\) catalyst in the acetonide formation and replacement of the unreliable DIBAL reduction with a LAH reduction, Swern oxidation sequence (Scheme 36).
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\textbf{Scheme 36.} Improved synthesis of Garner’s aldehyde.

With these modified conditions, D- and L-Garner’s aldehyde as well as the respective threonine derivatives could not only be prepared in very high yields, also the optical purity of the products was enhanced to >97% \textit{ee} when DIPEA was used in the Swern oxidation (up from 93-95% \textit{ee} reported by Garner). The addition of various nucleophiles to this aldehyde provides access to the 2-amino-1,3-dihydroxypropyl structural motif which is abundant in many natural products such as aminosugars\(^{79}\), azasugars\(^{80}\) and sphingosines\(^{81}\). The rigidity of the oxazolidine moiety prevents racemisation in the course of such reactions and methods for the selective preparation of both \textit{threo} and \textit{erythro} adducts using various organometallic reagents or aldol reactions have been developed\(^{82}\). However, the application of Evans’ oxazolidinone auxiliaries in asymmetric aldol additions with Garner’s aldehyde has been only scarcely investigated yet\(^{83}\).
1.2.4 Aldol additions in carbohydrate synthesis

The most classic aldol addition in carbohydrate synthesis is the so called formose reaction. This oligomerisation of formaldehyde was first investigated by Loew and Fischer\(^84\) who isolated fructose osazone from this complex mixture yielding reaction, which is considered to be the origin of RNA in prebiotic chemistry. Eschenmoser \(et\ al\) studied the reaction of glycol aldehyde phosphate with formaldehyde under ‘primordial’ conditions and observed the predominant formation of pentose diphosphates\(^85\), which represented an important indicator for the significance of this reaction in the origin of ribonucleic acids. Further findings include the FeO(OH) catalyzed dimerisation of glyceraldehyde to form ketohexoses\(^86\) and the propensity of such hydroxide minerals to absorb form-, glycol- and glyceraldehyde by reaction with immobilized sulfite which leads to a localized increase in concentration\(^87\) of these RNA precursors.

An important methodology in carbohydrate synthesis is comprised by enzymatic aldol additions. Since enzymes can be considered perfect in terms of regio- and stereoselectivity, it is not surprising that the synthetic community increasingly promoted their application in recent years. In general, two types of aldolases are known. Type I is found in higher animals and plants and does not require cofactors, whereas type II is abundant in microorganisms and requires Zn\(^{2+}\) cofactor. X-ray structure analysis of RAMA (rabbit muscle aldolase) for example indicates that Lys-229 forms an enamine with dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP) which can subsequently add to a natural or non-natural aldehyde substrate. (-)-1-deoxymannonojirimycin and (+)-1-deoxy-nojirimycin, two potent glycosidase inhibitors, are for example accessible in a three step synthesis with RAMA\(^88\) (Scheme 37).

![Scheme 37. RAMA catalyzed synthesis of imino sugars.](image)

Racemic 3-azido-2-hydroxypropanal and DHAP under RAMA catalysis in this manner furnish azido-ketoses in a 4/1 ratio favoring the manno derivative. After phosphatase
catalyzed removal of the phosphate group and reductive amination, the target imino sugars are generated. As expected, when enantiomerically pure 3-azido-2-hydroxypropanal is used, exclusive formation of the respective diastereomers is observed.

Concerning the chemical asymmetric synthesis of carbohydrates by means of aldol additions, three general approaches have to be considered. (1) Additions of enantiomerically pure aldehydes (glyceraldehyde, lactaldehyde) as a source of chiral information; (2) additions to chiral enolates (HYTRA, Evans’ oxazolidinones) and (3) reactions using external chiral sources (chiral bases, lewis acids)\(^9\). A few representative examples for these approaches are given below. L-proline catalyzed reactions in this respect were originally investigated by Barbas and coworkers. This organocatalytic reaction was performed with phthalamido protected glycine aldehyde and isopropylidene dihydroxyacetone in order to prepare amino ketose derivatives, which were subsequently reduced to the corresponding alditols with L-Selectride (Scheme 38)\(^9\).

Scheme 38. Barbas’ synthesis of amino alditols.

In a similar fashion, Enders et al applied Garner’s aldehyde in the synthesis of 5-amino-L-psicose respectively –tagatose\(^9\). Additionally, asymmetric three component Mannich reactions with proline catalyst (Scheme 39) were investigated by List\(^9\), Enders\(^9\), Córdova\(^9\) and Hayashi\(^9\).

Scheme 39. Córdovas’s asymmetric Mannich reaction; Synthesis of 4-amino-D-fructose.
This reaction furnished *syn*-products as opposed to the *anti*-products obtained in the corresponding aldol additions, which was explained by a steric repulsion between the aromatic ring and the proline catalyst. In general, both of these reactions are assumed to proceed *via* an enamine species, formed by the proline catalyst and the aldol acceptor (enolate equivalent), whereby the addition of the aldehyde occurs from the same face of the carboxylate moiety owing to hydrogen-bonding interactions (Figure 3).

**Figure 3.** Possible transitions states in proline catalyzed aldol additions.

Furthermore, Mukaiyama and coworkers have demonstrated the utility of asymmetric aldol additions in carbohydrate synthesis by applying their chiral proline derived bases in the preparation of D-ribose\(^96\) (Scheme 40).

\[[\text{Scheme 40. Mukaiyama's synthesis of D-ribose with a chiral proline derived base.}}\]

A *de* and *ee* of more than 97% were achieved in this manner, although the osmium mediated dihydroxylation gave only moderate selectivity. In a similar fashion, L-fucose was later prepared by Kobayashi using crotonaldehyde as starting material\(^97\).

An interesting approach for the synthesis of D-digitoxose from lactaldehyde was published by Braun *et al* in 1991\(^98\). This carbohydrate is a constituent of the digitalis glycosides found for example in foxglove (*digitalis purpurea*). For the preparation of this 2,6-dideoxy-D-allose
derivative Braun and coworkers applied $(R)$-$(+)$-2-hydroxy-1,2,2-triphenylethyl acetate (HYTRA) as a chiral enolate in an aldol addition with protected 4-deoxy-$\text{D}$-erythrose (Scheme 41).

Scheme 41. Braun's synthesis of D-digitoxose.

The application of HYTRA represents an efficient way of performing an asymmetric ‘acetate-aldol’ reaction, which is not easily achieved with the corresponding oxazolidinone auxiliaries, developed by Evans et al (see section 1.2.2). An impressive example concerning the application of Evans’ auxiliaries in natural product synthesis was published in 1992. The first total synthesis of calyculin A\textsuperscript{99}, a toxin found in marine sponges ($\text{Disodermia calyx}$), was performed by mainly enolate-based bond formation, thus constructing 10 of the 15 stereocenters. The C\textsubscript{33}-C\textsubscript{37} fragment of calyculin A contains a 2-amino-1,3-dihydroxypropyl structural motif which was constructed by two consecutive syn respectively anti selective aldol additions (Scheme 42).
Scheme 42. Synthesis of the C33-C37 fragment of (+)-calyculin A by Evans et al.

Although a total amount of 24% of other diastereomers was obtained after the tin(II) mediated aldolization, the 4-amino-D-ribonic acid fragment of calyculin A (Figure 4) was successfully obtained in this manner. This natural product was found to be a highly potent serine/threonine protein phosphatase inhibitor (IC$_{50}$ for PP1 = 2 nM) which induces contraction of smooth muscle fibres and promotes tumor growth.

Figure 4. (+)-Calyculin A.

In summary, asymmetric aldol additions comprise highly useful tools in carbohydrate synthesis owing to the simultaneous and selective construction of vicinal hetero-substituted stereocenters. A large number of approaches have been devised in order to meet the requirements of the individual substrates. Thus, rare and unnatural compounds are accessible in short, straightforward synthetic sequences. Although the application of chiral ‘additives’ in this manner might seem atom inefficient, most of these compounds are easily prepared from bulk chemicals and can be recycled in many cases.
2 Results and discussion

2.1 Aim and background of the projects

This thesis comprises two projects; on the one hand, a new approach for the synthesis of 2-acetamido-heptoses and octoses has been devised and on the other hand, a versatile methodology for the preparation of amino-fluoro functionalized pentoses and hexoses was established. The idea for the first project was to extend the known indium mediated allylation of carbohydrates100 by additionally applying an epoxidation, azide opening sequence for the introduction of nitrogen (Scheme 43).

Scheme 43. Synthesis of 2-acetamido-heptoses and octoses.

Concerning the functionalisation of the carbon chain, the optimization and detailed discussion of the reactions has been the scope of previous theses.101 The scope of this thesis was to develop a suitable deprotection protocol for the allylic azides obtained, which hitherto had not been achieved.

The second project, the preparation of amino-fluoro functionalized carbohydrates, was initiated based on a paper about a one-pot epoxidation/fluoride opening protocol for allylic amines published in 2012 by Davies et al102. To demonstrate the synthetic utility of this reaction, Garner’s aldehyde was transformed to the corresponding fluorinated phytosphingosine (Scheme 44).
Scheme 44. One-pot epoxidation/fluoride opening protocol in the preparation of fluorinated phytosphingosines.

Additionally, the diastereoselection could be inverted by reducing the amount of HBF₄·OEt₂ and mCPBA used, which induced the predominant formation of the corresponding all-syn product. It was also found that tetrahydrofuran side products were formed in the course of the reaction owing to intramolecular epoxide opening by the free hydroxyl moiety. Nevertheless, we were interested whether it would be possible to perform the same reaction sequence using a stabilized Wittig ylide in the olefination step in order to prepare 4-amino-2-fluoro pentoses. Additionally, two alternative approaches were envisioned. A Barbier-type allylation of Garner’s aldehyde followed by ozonolysis and electrophilic α-fluorination and an aldol-type addition of fluoroacetyl oxazolidinone chiral auxiliaries (Scheme 45).
Scheme 45. Outline for the incorporation of fluorine.

The second approach was based on publications by Jørgenson\textsuperscript{103} and MacMillan\textsuperscript{104} et al about the stereoselective $\alpha$-fluorination of aldehydes by combining iminium catalysis with an F$^+$ source such as N-fluorobenzenesulfonimide (NFSI) (see section 2.4.2). The deoxy-aldehyde substrates for this reaction in turn should be easily accessible by metal mediated allylation, applying literature procedures\textsuperscript{105}. The third approach mentioned above should harness the stereoselective Darzens reaction by Pridgen et al\textsuperscript{72}, thus forming both stereocenters in one step. All of the proposed approaches are straightforward and should provide an easy access to the class of 4-amino-2,4-dideoxy-2-fluoro-pentoses and additionally, 4-amino-2,4,6-trideoxy-2-fluoro-hexoses by applying the corresponding threonine derived aldehydes.
2.2 Motivation for the projects

2.2.1 Motivation for the synthesis of higher amino sugars

Higher analogues of amino sugars represent an interesting, biologically active class of substances which is not very well investigated yet. Although not many naturally abundant derivatives are known, two interesting examples include the aminoglycoside antibiotics apramycin\textsuperscript{106} and destomycin\textsuperscript{107} (Figure 5) which are both used in veterinary medicine.

![Figure 5. Amino-heptose and –octose containing antibiotics apramycin and destomycin B.](image)

Even though these compounds are industrially produced by fermentation, one has to keep in mind that biotechnological production methods are not flawless. Concerning the purity of products, chemical synthesis is still superior and the feasibility of preparing comprehensive substance libraries is especially important since there is an ever increasing demand for new antibiotics owing to the evolution of resistant bacterial strains\textsuperscript{108}. Therefore it may be desirable in the future to combine biotechnology and organic synthesis by means of introducing synthetic amino-sugars into cell-free or whole cell systems in order to produce structurally diverse biologically active aminoglycosides. The classic approach for the preparation of higher amino-sugars is the amino-nitrile synthesis. Originally applied by Kuhn and Kirschenlohr\textsuperscript{109} in the synthesis of glucos- and galactosamine, Perez \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{110} used the amino-nitrile protocol for the preparation of amino-heptoses (Scheme 46).
Unfortunately, this reaction suffers from general low reproducibility and yield owing to side reactions, one of which has been identified to furnish amide products with a second equivalent of amine (Scheme 46). In the case of galactose, the aldononitrile product crystallizes immediately from the reaction mixture; therefore D-glycero-L-gluco(manno?)-heptosamine can be prepared in this manner. A more modern and reliable strategy for the preparation of (amino)-heptoses and octoses found frequently in the literature consists of an oxidation/Wittig-type chain elongation/dihydroxylation sequence (Scheme 47).

Our methodology in comparison has some major advantages: (1) Control of the stereochemistry by application of a chiral epoxidation catalyst and therefore the feasibility of preparing all different isomers of 2- or 8- and 7-amino-heptoses and octoses, (2) shortening of synthetic sequences by reducing the amount of protecting group chemistry and (3) high
overall yields due to early functionalization of the precursors. In summary, our approach should provide a stereoselective access to the substance class of higher amino sugars by using modern organometallic chemistry and organocatalysis.

### 2.2.2 Motivation for the synthesis of fluorinated amino sugars

Various rare 2- and/or 4-amino-6-deoxy functionalized hexoses and pentoses are located in the lipopolysaccharides (LPS’s) of the outer cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria\textsuperscript{113} (Figure 6).

![Chemical structures](image)

**Figure 6.** Selection of 4-amino-pentoses and 2- and 4-amino-6-deoxy-hexoses found in bacteria; compounds with stereo-descriptors are found in both D- and L-form.

These compounds are involved in immune response, pathogenicity, and adaptation mechanisms such as antibiotics resistance\textsuperscript{114}. The O-antigen of the bacterium *vibrio cholerae* for example is composed of perosamine repeating units (Figure 7).
Figure 7. O-antigens in common serotypes of vibrio cholerae O:1.

The preparation of fluorinated analogues of these compounds is interesting within two aspects: (1) the elucidation of enzyme mechanisms and binding aspects of antigen-antibody adducts\textsuperscript{115} and (2) the preparation of anti-microbial agents\textsuperscript{116} and vaccines for the treatment of infections and cancer. Owing to the unique properties of the C-F bond\textsuperscript{117}, enhanced electrostatic interactions and different enzymatic reaction pathways may arise and lead to enzyme inhibition. Additionally, fluorine represents a bioisostere of hydroxyl moieties but cannot act as a hydrogen donor, which allows the localization of critical hydrogen bonding interactions with biomolecules\textsuperscript{115}. Therefore, the decrease or increase of affinity constants towards fluorinated analogues maps the electronic environment of binding interfaces. Additionally, the 100% abundant $^{19}$F nucleus allows for the application of NMR based investigations\textsuperscript{118}. It has a sensitivity comparable to the proton, a large chemical shift range (-270 to +150 ppm for organic compounds) and large heteronuclear coupling constants ($^{3}J_{HF} \sim 10-30$ Hz, $^{1}J_{CF} \sim 160-180$ Hz for aliphatic compounds). For the preparation of fluorinated carbohydrates two popular strategies can be found in the literature. (1) The nucleophilic substitution of OH groups with sulfur-flouride reagents such as DAST\textsuperscript{118} (Scheme 48) and (2) the electrophilic fluorination of glycals with F$^{+}$ sources such as Selectfluor\textsuperscript{119} (Scheme 49).
Scheme 48. Nucleophilic fluorination of protected amino sugars with DAST.

Scheme 49. Electrophilic fluorination of glycals for the preparation of cholera antigen derivatives.

All these approaches require extensive protecting group manipulations, which leads to long linear synthetic routes and low overall yields, especially if the amino- and 6-deoxy functionalities have to be introduced additionally. Another issue of the DAST reagent, despite its tendency to decompose explosively at higher temperatures, is the moderate yield sometimes obtained, which is especially problematic since it is mostly applied at a very late stage of the synthesis. Also the fluorination of glycals can be difficult as seen in the example above, where only low diastereoselectivity and yield were achieved. With this in mind, we set out to develop a synthetic route which should be much shorter and easier (Scheme 45) and which should provide a general access to the highly interesting class of 4-amino-2,4,6-trideoxy-2-fluoro-hexoses.
2.3 Synthesis of higher amino sugars

2.3.1 Indium mediated allylation of unprotected carbohydrates

We commenced our reaction sequence by using D-arabinose 1a, D-galactose 1b and D-glucose 1c as starting materials in the indium mediated allylation which was performed under ultrasonication at 20-55 °C over 3-7 h either in EtOH/H2O = 4/1 in the case of 1a or EtOH/HCl 0.1 M = 4/1 in the case of 1b and 1c (Scheme 50). The stoichiometry applied was 1a-c/indium metal (powdered)/allyl bromide = 2/4/7. Obtained yields were essentially quantitative, except for gluco-derivative 2c, which was isolated in 70% yield after separation of non-allylated, peracetylated glucose.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{1a} & \overset{\text{Br, In}}{\longrightarrow} \text{2a} \\
\text{1b} & \overset{(\text{quant.}) \text{ d.r.} = 7/1}{\longrightarrow} \text{2b} \\
\text{1c} & \overset{(70\%) \text{ d.r.} = 5/1}{\longrightarrow} \text{2c}
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 50. Indium mediated allylation of 1a-c.

After exhaustive acetylation of the diastereomeric mixtures obtained, ozonolysis was performed, followed by elimination with TEA, furnishing unsaturated aldehydes 3a-c quantitatively (Scheme 51).
Scheme 51. Ozonolysis and elimination of compounds 2a-c.

For convenience, thiourea was used for quenching of the ozonolysis, since it could be removed afterwards by simple filtration. The reaction mixtures were then directly treated with TEA for 30-50 min to furnish essentially pure compounds 3a-c without any traces of (Z) isomers.
2.3.2 Epoxidation of unsaturated aldehydes

For the stereoselective epoxidation step an L-proline derived amine catalyst was used and the aldehyde moiety was subsequently masked *via* a Wittig olefination (Scheme 52), since the direct functionalisation of the corresponding $\alpha,\beta$-epoxy-aldehydes was unsuccessful$^{101a}$.

Scheme 52. Stereoselective epoxidation of compounds 3a-c.

The organocatalytic epoxidation reaction, originally published by Jørgenson *et al*,$^{120}$ in 2005, was performed in DCM with an aqueous hydrogen peroxide solution (50%) at -20 °C for 16 h and a catalyst loading of 15 mol%. The crude $\alpha,\beta$-epoxy-aldehydes obtained were then directly treated with a stabilized Wittig ylide to furnish compounds 4a-c. The catalytic cycle proceeds through a *trans*-iminium ion, formed by the amine catalyst and aldehyde, which is subsequently attacked by hydrogen peroxide in a conjugate fashion (Scheme 53). The bulky diphenyl(trimethylsilyloxy)methyl moiety in this case shields the *re* face of the substrate, therefore directing the nucleophilic attack from the *si* face.
Scheme 53. Catalytic cycle of Jørgenson’s epoxidation of α,β-unsaturated aldehydes.

After closing of the oxirane ring, the catalyst is hydrolyzed off, releasing the epoxide-products. Various azide sources and Lewis acids were screened in order to achieve the opening of these labile epoxides but unfortunately all attempts led to their decomposition. However, α,β-unsaturated-γ,δ-epoxy-esters 4a-c could be opened cleanly by applying palladium chemistry.
2.3.3 Nucleophilic azide opening of epoxides

A palladium catalyzed, Tsuji-Trost type epoxide opening of compounds 4a-c was subsequently performed (Scheme 54), furnishing compounds 5a-c which already feature the fully functionalized carbon skeletons of the desired 2-acetamido-heptoses and octoses.

Scheme 54. Palladium catalyzed epoxide opening of compounds 4a-c.

The azide opening of compounds 4a-c was performed in THF for 1 h at room temperature under scrupulously inert conditions with 10 mol% of palladium catalyst. For best results, the use of fresh reagents of best quality and the successive addition of TMSN₃ and Pd(PPh₃)₄ to the substrate in solution proved to be crucial. The reaction occurs under net retention of configuration, which can be rationalized from the proposed catalytic cycle (Scheme 55).
Scheme 55. Azide opening of allylic epoxides; catalytic cycle.

In the first step, the 18 valence electron palladium complex dissociates two of its PPh₃ ligands, forming the corresponding reactive 14 ve complex. The Tsuji-Trost reaction then requires an allylic system with a leaving group, in this case an epoxide, so that in the next step oxidative addition of palladium under inversion of configuration and formation of a π-allyl complex occurs. This reaction is facilitated by the silicon, which receives the negative charge of the oxygen leaving group and bridges it with the azide nucleophile. This behavior also explains the regiospecificity of the reaction. In fact no S₈2' products are encountered, which would necessitate a 7-membered transition state as opposed to a 5-membered one for the corresponding S₈2 product. In the last step, the azide nucleophile attacks at the allylic position under inversion of configuration and palladium is reductively eliminated furnishing syn azido alcohols after work-up. In our hands, one problem remained to be the up-scaling of this reaction. When performed on a scale above 0.3 mmol, the yields usually dropped by about 20%, which forced us to perform the reaction in multiple small batches. Additionally, mixtures of acetate migration products were obtained in the case of compound 5a, possibly owing to the syn relationship between C-5-OH and C-6-OAc (Figure 8).

Figure 8. Products arising from acetate migration in compound 5a.
2.3.4 Deprotection protocol

The main part of this project concerning the thesis at hand was to develop a short and easy deprotection sequence for compounds 4a-c. It was already known, that these compounds were labile under basic conditions (prone to eliminations) and that acidic conditions promoted intramolecular Michael additions, forming tetrahydrofurane derivatives of the C-glycoside type (Scheme 56).

![Scheme 56.](attachment:image.png)

A typical procedure involved the addition of a small amount of H₂SO₄ (conc.) or HCl 6 M to solutions of compounds 5a-c in methanol at room temperature over night, followed by neutralization with NaOH 1 M or solid NaHCO₃. For simplified purification and NMR spectroscopic analysis, the obtained C-glycosides were subsequently reacetylated. In the case of 5a, which was used as a mixture of acetyl migration products (see section 2.3.3), an inseparable mixture of products was obtained, presumably composed of 5- and 6-membered rings. In the cases of 5b and 5c, only tetrahydofurane derivatives were obtained, contaminated with minor diastereomeric impurities. Since C-glycosides exert no anomeric effect for the stabilization of sterically unfavorable α-anomers, we assumed that the corresponding β-anomers had been formed predominantly (Scheme 56). In order to prove this hypothesis, we tried to prepare crystalline derivatives, which should allow the application of x-ray structure analysis. Unfortunately, we were not able to uniformly apply any protecting groups other than acetyl moieties and also the corresponding chloro- and iodo-acetyl...
protected derivatives (Scheme 57) did not crystallize by applying various techniques such as slow evaporation, vapor diffusion or co-crystallization with (O)PPh₃.  

Scheme 57. Preparation of chloro- and iodo-acetyl C-glycoside derivatives for x-ray structure determination.

We then turned to investigate the possibility of performing the acetate cleavage of compounds 5a-c without triggering the Michael addition as well. Ozonolysis prior to ester cleavage was not an option, since this reaction produced unstable long chained aldehydes, which could be isolated only in the case of 5b (Scheme 58).

Scheme 58. Ozonolysis of compound 5b.

Reduction of compounds 5a-c with complex hydrides also failed, which prompted us to further fine tune the acidic acetate cleavage protocol. Initial attempts showed that the yield of C-glycosides obtained could be enhanced by additionally adding small amounts of water to the reaction mixtures, which led us to the conclusion that the intramolecular 1,4-addition needs a certain amount of water in order to proceed. Subsequently we performed the reaction in methanolic HCl solutions (3 equiv AcCl/MeOH) under anhydrous conditions and after 16 h, TLC analysis showed the emergence of a single new spot. Unfortunately, after neutralization with solid NaHCO₃ this new spot was completely converted to the already
known C-glycoside spot, which suggested that even the small amounts of water produced during neutralization were sufficient to trigger the Michael addition. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure without neutralization resulted in decomposition of the product, so we tried to perform the work-up by adding molecular sieves 4 Å to the reaction mixture which is known to also accept HCl. To our delight, this approach was successful and subsequent ozonolysis furnished sugar azides 6a-c (Scheme 59).

Scheme 59. Deacetylation/ozonolysis of compounds 5a-c.

The ozonolysis step was performed in MeOH with small amounts of DCM as an indicator. We encountered a solubility problem in the case of the deacetylation product derived from compound 5b, which was only soluble in MeOH/water mixtures. Therefore, the ozone stream in this case was bubbled through suspensions in MeOH for a defined amount of time (~ 5 equiv of O₃) and the progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC analysis. If needed, additional ozone was ‘added’ and finally, the reaction was quenched with PPh₃. Nevertheless, small amounts (~ 3%) of non-ozonolysed product were always isolated in this case. Sugar azide 6a showed highly complex ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra owing to its inherent D-glycero-D-idono-configuration which is known to adopt multiple conformations besides pyranoid and furanoid forms. For example, solution dynamic investigations of pentaacetyl-α-D-idopyranose by NMR techniques have shown that this compound has three low energy
conformations, namely the $^4C_1$ and $^1C_4$ chair forms and the $^0S_2$ skew-boat form (Figure 9).

Figure 9. Conformations of pentaacetyl-\(\alpha\)-D-ido-pyranose.

Therefore, only anomeric NMR signals were assigned for compound 6a. Next, we turned to investigate the reduction of the azide moiety of compounds 6a-c. Unfortunately, DL-dithiothreitol (DTT)/DIPA, thioacetic acid, tributylphosphine/H$_2$O and H$_2$/Pd did not (reproducibly) furnish clean products. Owing to the high polarity of the target compounds 8a-c, purification by standard silica gel chromatography was not feasible. Since we wanted to avoid intricate purification methods like reversed phase HPLC, we chose to reacetylate compounds 6a-c and subsequently reduced them with DTT/DIPA.

Scheme 60. Azide reduction of compounds 6a-c.

The azide reduction using DTT has proven to be a comparably fast and reliable method in our hands. The only disadvantage being the required basic conditions, which decompose labile
compounds such as 5a-c. The driving force of the azide reduction with dithiols, besides the evolution of nitrogen is the formation of the corresponding disulfides (Scheme 61).

Scheme 61. Reduction of azides with dithiothreitol.

A base is needed in order to deprotonate the dithiol, thus forming a thiolate species which nucleophilically attacks the azide, to produce a triazene intermediate. After de- and reprotonation, the disulfide bridge forms, releasing N₂ and the amine. After acetylation, products 7a-c could be easily purified by standard column chromatography. In the case of 7a, two fractions were isolated and exhaustive NMR analysis showed that each of them contained two distinct forms of pentaacetyl-2-acetamido-D-glycero-D-idoo-heptose 7a (Figure 10).

Figure 10. Isolated and characterized isomers of compound 7a.

Although that 7a is a known compound\textsuperscript{110a}, the provided NMR data is scarce since the spectra were recorded on a 90 MHz spectrometer. With all the derivatives available in pyranoid form and their NMR data, we were able to prove the proposed stereochemistry. Since C-4 is incorporated by the starting material, the configurations of C-2 and C-3 can be determined by comparison of the relevant \(^3\)J_{H,H} coupling constants (Table 1).
Table 1. Characteristic coupling constants [Hz] of compounds 7a-c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$^3$J$_{1,2}$</th>
<th>$^3$J$_{2,3}$</th>
<th>$^3$J$_{3,4}$</th>
<th>$^3$J$_{4,5}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7a-$\alpha$[a]</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a-$\beta$[a]</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b-$\alpha$</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b-$\beta$</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7c-$\alpha$</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7c-$\beta$</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a]: $^4$C$_1$-pyranoid form.

According to the Karplus relation$^{125}$, $^3$J coupling constants are smallest at dihedral angles around 90°. In terms of chair-like pyranoid systems, a small coupling constant (0-5 Hz) therefore reflects a syn alignment of neighboring hydrogens (axial/equatorial, equatorial/equatorial), whereas a large coupling constant (7-12 Hz) represents an anti alignment (axial/axial). Through comparison of all coupling constants (Table 1) an unambiguous assignment of the stereochemistry is feasible in most cases. However, coupling constants may be in between small and large values (see section 2.4.2), which indicates a distortion of the ideal chair conformation owing to unfavorable steric interactions. This behavior is sometimes encountered when more axial then equatorial substituents are present in a given pyranose, which in some cases leads to ring flip ($^4$C$_1$ vs. $^1$C$_4$ conformation). The last step of the structure elucidation is the comparison of calculated (based on the proposed structure) and measured NMR spectra. Additionally, NOE (1,3-diaxial) interactions can be used to provide further proof. With this methodology we could prove the proposed stereochemistry of compounds 6a-b, 7a-c and 8a-b, which additionally was in accordance with the mechanisms of enamine catalysis and palladium π-allyl chemistry used for the construction of the new stereocenters. The last step in our synthesis involved standard cleavage of the acetate protecting groups of compounds 7a-c with NaOMe in MeOH, furnishing the target compounds 8a-c in pure form (Scheme 62).
In summary we were able to develop a new approach for the synthesis of 2-amino functionalized heptoses and octoses by subjecting the corresponding pentoses and hexoses to an indium mediated chain elongation. Two new stereocenters were constructed by applying organocatalytic epoxidation, followed by the introduction of nitrogen via palladium catalysis. A deprotection sequence was devised subsequently, furnishing either tetrahydrofurane derivatives of the C-glycoside type, or the desired amino sugars by adjusting the reaction conditions of the acidic acetate cleavage step. Thus, the target compounds 8a-c were obtained in an overall yield of 21-29% over 7 steps.
2.4 Synthesis of fluorinated amino sugars

2.4.1 Epoxidation/fluoride opening approach

We started our investigations by preparing differently O-protected L-serine derived $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated esters $9a-c$ (Scheme 63).

Since the N,N-dibenzyl protecting group motif was required for the aspired one-pot epoxidation/fluoride opening protocol, we chose to install it first, rather than starting with Garner’s aldehyde and switching the protecting groups at a later stage (see section 2.1). It was also known that free hydroxyl moieties lead to partial intramolecular epoxide opening. Thus, we applied different types of O-protecting groups in order to overcome this problem. The PMB group was chosen on account of being orthogonal to N-benzyl; whereas the TBDPS and Piv groups should be reasonable stable under acid conditions. Compounds $9a$ and $9b$ were prepared according to a literature procedure$^{126}$ whereas $9c$ was prepared from $9b$ by switching the protecting groups, since DIBAL would also reduce the pivaloyl ester. Next, we investigated the hydroxyfluorination of compounds $9a-c$. Unfortunately, it turned out that the mCPBA/HBF$_4$OEt$_2$ protocol was not suitable for our substrates. In all cases, the O-protecting groups were cleaved off and only cyclized products as described in section 2.1 were isolated. Surprisingly, the TBDPS group was most stable towards HBF$_4$OEt$_2$, whereas PMB cleavage occurred very readily. Subsequently, we envisioned two alternative strategies; (1) reduction of compound $9a$ to furnish the corresponding allylic alcohol, followed by
Sharpless epoxidation\textsuperscript{127}, or (2) substrate controlled epoxidation of 9b with tBuOOH\textsuperscript{128} (Scheme 64).
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\textbf{Scheme 64. Epoxidation of compounds 9a-b.}

The Sharpless epoxidation furnished epoxide 10 as a single diastereomer in good yield. However, the corresponding ‘mismatched’ epoxide (Figure 11) was not accessible by using (+)-diisopropyl tartrate (DIPT), which only resulted in the recovery of starting material.
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\textbf{Figure 11.} Diastereofacial selectivity of the Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation; dependence on the tartrate enantiomer used.

This observation also suggested that no major epimerization had occurred during the preparation of compounds 9a-c. Unfortunately, the substrate controlled epoxidation of compound 9b proved to be highly impracticable. Although the obtained diastereoselectivity was good, yields were below reasonable amounts. Apparently, a major part of the product was lost due to TBDPS deprotection. Owing to the highly basic conditions, the ester moiety of compound 9b was cleaved in the course of the reaction. Although re-esterification with diazomethane was performed subsequently, only trace amounts of epoxy ester, contaminated with silanol by-product, were isolated and amide 11 was obtained as the major product. However, two different epoxide substrates were then available to be tested in the fluoride opening reaction (Table 2).
Table 2. Attempts at fluoride opening of epoxides 10, 11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Reagent</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Equivalents</th>
<th>T [°C]</th>
<th>t [h]</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HBF&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;.OEt&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>cyclization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>HBF&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;.OEt&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>cyclization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BF&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;.OEt&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>no conversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>HBF&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;.OEt&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>no conversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HBF&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;.OEt&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>no conversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>HBF&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;.OEt&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>Partial O-deprotection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>HF/pyr</td>
<td>11-OH</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>no conversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>TBAF</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>decomposition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unfortunately, all attempts were unsuccessful and again resulted only in cleavage of the O-protecting groups and cyclization. Apparently, the nucleophilicity of the fluoride ion is too low to achieve an epoxide opening under sufficiently mild conditions which leave moderately acid stable protecting groups intact. Although, a successful fluoride epoxide opening without any OH protection had been published previously, we were not able to perform this reaction with our substrates and thus decided to abandon this approach.
2.4.2 Allylation/ozonolysis/α-fluorination approach

The substrate required for Jørgenson’s\textsuperscript{103} and MacMillan’s\textsuperscript{104} stereoselective α-fluorination protocols was prepared according to literature procedures\textsuperscript{105}. Garner’s aldehyde (see section 1.2.3) was allylated with the Roush reagent\textsuperscript{129} (Scheme 65), which was freshly prepared by treating trimethyl borate with allylmagnesium bromide followed by (-)-DIPT (see section 3.2).
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\textbf{Scheme 65.} Roush allylation of Garner’s aldehyde, benzylation and ozonolysis.

Owing to the shielding effect of the tartrate ester (Figure 12), the diastereoselectivity of the allylation (reported d.r. > 19/1) is considerably enhanced compared to the corresponding Grignard reaction\textsuperscript{130} (d.r. = 3/1).
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\textbf{Figure 12.} Favored/disfavored transitions states in the Roush allylation; lone-pair repulsion model.

Subsequently, benzylation and ozonolysis were performed, furnishing compound 12, which was subjected to electrophilic α-fluorination (Scheme 66).
Scheme 66. Organocatalytic α-fluorination of compound 12.

We chose to directly protect the crude α-fluoro aldehyde by treatment with stabilized Wittig ylide to furnish olefin 13, in order to avoid potential epimerization. We applied both Jørgenson’s proline and MacMillan’s phenylalanine derived catalysts in combination with NFSI, which is a cheap, bench-stable electrophilic fluorinating reagent. The proposed catalytic cycle proceeds through the well-known iminium mechanism (Scheme 67, see also section 2.3.2).

Scheme 67. Electrophilic α-fluorination of aldehydes with chiral amine catalysts.

Although the diastereoselection was excellent (d.r. = 25/1), conversion and overall yields were very low. The best results were achieved with prolinol catalyst I (20 mol %) in MTBE at -20°C for 40 h (Table 3).
Table 3. Reagents and conditions for electrophilic α-fluorination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Reagent</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>T [°C]</th>
<th>Yield [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NFSI</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NFSI</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NFSI</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>traces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Selectfluor</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(pyrF)(OTf)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>NFSI</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At -20 °C the reaction was very sluggish, whereas higher temperatures induced decomposition of the product. In all cases TLC analysis of the reaction mixtures showed multiple side products one of which was identified as an elimination product. This observation may indicate that the intermediate α-fluoro aldehydes are very unstable. On the other hand, compound 13 may have partially decomposed upon work-up or purification. An alternative ‘work-up’ of the reaction by NaBH₄ reduction was considered inappropriate regarding the potential selectivity problems of re-oxidation after deprotection. The application of other fluorine sources did not result in productive reactions and also MacMillan imidazolidinone II was unsuitable for our substrate. When treated with equimolar amounts of catalyst II, aldehyde 12 decomposed readily, whereas the corresponding I/12 adduct was stable for several hours by ¹H-NMR monitoring. We concluded that the success of this approach was hampered by the instability of intermediate open chained α-fluoro aldehydes under the reaction conditions employed. Therefore we reasoned that a de novo synthesis of C-2 fluorinated carbohydrates requires a strategy which allows immediate hemiacetal formation of the products.
2.4.3 Stereoselective aldol addition approach

We started our investigations by preparing fluoroacetyl ephedrine oxazolidinone 14 (Scheme 68) as a chiral auxiliary for the stereoselective aldol addition of serine and threonine derived aldehydes.

.Scheme 68. Aldol addition of auxiliary 14 and serine derived aldehydes.

Fluoroacetyl chloride, which is not commercially available from standard suppliers, was prepared by saponification of ethyl fluoroacetate followed by treatment with PCl₅ and distillation. Subsequently, we tested different Lewis acids, bases, and (l-serine derived) amino aldehydes in the aldol reaction (Table 4).

Table 4. Reagents screened for the aldol addition of compound 14; n.d.: not determined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Aldehyde</th>
<th>Lewis acid</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Yield [%]</th>
<th>d.r.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Garner’s</td>
<td>TiCl₄</td>
<td>TMEDA</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>8/2/1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Garner’s</td>
<td>TiCl₄</td>
<td>DIPEA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3/2/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Garner’s</td>
<td>nBu₂BOTf</td>
<td>DIPEA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Garner’s</td>
<td>nBu₂BOTf</td>
<td>TEA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Garner’s</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Garner’s</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>LiOH</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Garner’s</td>
<td>Sn(OTf)₂</td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Garner’s</td>
<td>nBu₃SnBr</td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>N,N-Bn₂-O-TBDPS</td>
<td>TiCl₄</td>
<td>DIPEA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>N,N-Bn₂-O-TBDPS</td>
<td>nBu₂BOTf</td>
<td>TEA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>N,N-Bn₂-O-TBDPS</td>
<td>nBu₃SnBr</td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The N,N-dibenzyl protected aldehyde was completely decomposed in all cases and no aldol products were isolated. Delightfully for us, Garner’s aldehyde in combination with most Lewis acids afforded the desired fluorohydryn product (Scheme 68). Lithium and boron reagents furnished only very low yields and also the selectivity was presumably low. Tin(II)triflate proved to be unreactive and resulted only in the recovery of starting material, whereas tin(IV) furnished minor amounts of product, although the residual alkyl tin species could not be removed subsequently. The best results were achieved with TiCl₄ (Table 4, entries 1, 2). As observed by other groups, when DIPEA was used as a base, the obtained diastereoselectivity was only moderate, whereas TMEDA furnished an 8/2/1/1 mixture of the four possible diastereomers (Figure 13). An excess of auxiliary (1.3 equiv), TiCl₄ (1.4 equiv) and base (4 equiv) was used, nevertheless a considerable amount of starting aldehyde was recovered in all cases. We found that the optimal temperature range for the aldol addition was between -40 and -20 °C. Therefore, the viscid brown-black reaction mixtures were left to slowly warm from -40 to 0 °C and finally subjected to aqueous work-up and purification by flash-column chromatography to furnish compound **15** as a colorless to light yellow crystalline solid with minor diastereomeric impurities.

![Possible diastereomers resulting from the aldol addition of 14 and L-Garner’s aldehyde.](image)

**Figure 13.** Possible diastereomers resulting from the aldol addition of 14 and L-Garner’s aldehyde.

The diastereomeric ratios were estimated based on the amount of obtained material after column chromatographic separation. Since compound **15** could not be obtained in diastereomerically pure form, the relative ratios were determined by integration of representative ¹H-NMR signals (Figure 14).
Unfortunately, neither $^1$H nor $^{19}$F-NMR spectra of crude products could be used to determine the diastereomeric ratio, owing to signal overlap. We did not prove the stereochemistry of compound 15 at this stage of the synthesis since we envisioned an argumentation based on the final pyranoid products as described for compounds 7a-c in section 2.3.4. Titanium behaves as a non-chelating metal (see section 1.2.2) in this reaction, furnishing Evans-syn aldols, owing to the formation of the kinetic Z-enolates. Assuming that the auxiliary overrides the facial selectivity of the aldehyde, this example nevertheless represents a mismatched double asymmetric induction scenario. However, we were confident, that the selectivity would be enhanced by applying the corresponding matched D-amino acid derived aldehydes. Thus, D-serine as well as D-, L- and D-allo-threonine derived aldehydes were subsequently prepared and subjected to our titanium mediated aldolization (Scheme 69).
Scheme 69. Preparation of fluorohydrins 15-19.
Delightfully for us, D-amino acid derivatives displayed high diastereoselectivity (Table 5), thus forming two stereocenters and the fully functionalized carbon backbone in a single step.

**Table 5. Yields and selectivities for fluorohydrins 15-19.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Isolated yield [%]</th>
<th>Combined yield of diastereomers [%]</th>
<th>Total yield brsm [%]</th>
<th>d.r.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>45[a]</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>8/2/1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>17/1/1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>15/3/1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>32/2/1/0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>20/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a]: Calculated value, compound 15 could not be completely separated from one minor diastereomer, which was removed at a later stage of the synthesis; brsm: based on recovered starting material

Compounds 15 and 16 were obtained in higher yields than the corresponding threonine derivatives 17, 18 and 19 although these in turn provided higher diastereomeric excess. The best results in terms of selectivity were achieved with D-\textit{allo}-threonine derivative 19, which furnished only two diastereomers in a ratio of 20/1, although in this case no starting material was left. In general, only low amounts (3-5\%) of product were lost due to Boc deprotection in the course of the reaction. Since the aldol products obtained feature acid labile protecting groups, we subsequently investigated their cleavage with aqueous or methanolic HCl. Unfortunately the liberated amine moiety displaced the oxazolidinone auxiliary under these conditions, furnishing a γ-lactam product (Scheme 70).

**Scheme 70.** Deprotection of compound 15 with methanolic HCl; formation of γ-lactam species.

Although additional epimerization (d.r. = 2/1) was encountered in HCl/MeOH, the resulting γ-lactam product represents an interesting synthetic target, since subsequent reduction with BH\textsubscript{3}.THF\textsuperscript{131} would furnish fluorinated imino sugars\textsuperscript{132}, which comprise potent pentosyl-transferase inhibitors and may be used for example in the treatment of mycobacteria induced diseases such as tuberculosis. Nevertheless, we focused on devising a deprotection protocol,
which should furnish the desired 4-amino-2-fluoro-pentoses and hexoses. We reasoned that a selective cleavage of the isopropylidene beside the Boc moiety was required in order to overcome the problem of lactam formation. The use of acetic acid (80%) at elevated temperatures in this respect gave inconsistent results, whereas acidic ion exchange resin reproducibly cleaved the acetonide protecting group in a spot to spot reaction leaving the Boc group intact. Unfortunately, we were not able to perform this reaction with compound 19, which resulted only in the recovery of starting material. Subsequently, the oxazolidinone auxiliary was substituted with NaOMe in MeOH at -40 to -25 °C furnishing esters 20-23. For convenience, the order of these two steps can be switched, which results in similar yields (Scheme 71).

![Diagram of reaction scheme](image)

**Scheme 71.** Deprotection of fluorohydrins 15-18; oxazolidinone and isopropylidene cleavage.

For reasons, not fully comprehensible to us the overall yield of oxazolidinone and isopropylidene cleavage was worse than the yield of the individual steps (≥ 90% each) combined, independent of their order of execution. We suggest two possible explanations for this behavior. (1) Ester products 20-23 were partially lost upon column chromatographic
purification owing to their relatively high polarity. (2) The ion exchange resin induced partial cleavage of the Boc group, retaining the free amine products, which were therefore not visible upon TLC analysis. There are two indicators which render explanation (1) most plausible. On the one hand, more apolar threonine derivatives 22 and 23 were obtained in higher yields and on the other hand, acetonide cleavage of compound 19 after very long reaction times resulted in the formation of small amounts of product upon TLC analysis, which were not found after column chromatographic purification even when rinsed with MeOH. Explanation (2) seems additionally unlikely since DOWEX H⁺ deprotection of compounds 15-18 resulted in nearly quantitative yields. Nevertheless, with esters 20-23 in hands we were then able to perform DIBAL reduction, followed by acetylation and Boc cleavage to furnish pyranoid compounds 24-27 (Scheme 72).

Scheme 72. DIBAL reduction and Boc cleavage of compounds 20-23; preparation of acetylated sugars 24-27.

For a successful reduction it proved to be crucial to use fresh DIBAL reagent in superstoichiometric amounts (4-6 equiv). Nevertheless, the conversion of esters 20-23 was not always complete and partial over-reduction to the corresponding alcohols occurred. Subsequently, acetylation was performed in order to trap the compounds in their pyranoid
form, since acidic Boc deprotection of the free carbohydrates would result in imine formation or decomposition owing to the 2-fluoro aldehydes being present in equilibrium. Compounds 24-27 were then treated with TFA followed by neutralization with basic ion exchange resin. In the case of D-amino acid derivatives acetate migration (C-3-OAc → C-4-NH₂) readily occurred to furnish anomeric acetates 25 and 27. L-amino acid derived compounds on the other hand were treated with Ac₂O after Boc cleavage to furnish fully acetylated compounds 24 and 26, since the migration did not occur in these cases. This behavior can be rationalized considering the inherent syn relationship between the groups involved in compounds 25 and 27 versus the anti alignment within compounds 24 and 26. Interestingly, in these ‘non-migration’ cases slow autocatalytic cleavage of C-3-OAc instead occurred. Finally, Zemplén saponification afforded the target compounds 28-31 (Scheme 73).

![Scheme 73](image)

**Scheme 73.** Zemplén saponification of compounds 24-27; synthesis of fluorinated carbohydrates 28-31.

The configurations of compounds 28-31 were proven by comparison of their characteristic 3J_H,H coupling constants (Table 6) in a similar fashion as described in section 2.3.4.
Table 6. Characteristic coupling constants [Hz] of compounds 27-30.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$^{3}J_{1,2}$</th>
<th>$^{3}J_{2,3}$</th>
<th>$^{3}J_{3,4}$</th>
<th>$^{3}J_{4,5}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28-α</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>6.2, 10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-β</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5.2, 10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-α</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.0, 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-β</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.2, 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-α</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-β</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-α</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-β</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interestingly, D-ido configurated compound 30-α seems to adopt a (distorted) $^{1}C_{4}$ chair-like conformation, whereas the corresponding β-anomer features the $^{4}C_{1}$ chair. However, both anomers of acetylated compound 26 adopt the $^{4}C_{1}$ form.

In summary, we developed a new approach for the synthesis of fluorinated amino sugars by applying a titanium mediated aldol addition on serine and threonine derived aldehydes with fluoroacetyl ephedrine oxazolidinone. After sequential acidic cleavage of the protecting groups, the target compounds were obtained in an overall yield of 16-23% over seven steps. In particular, carbohydrates 29 and 31, arabino- respectively galacto-configurated products represent interesting compounds since their parent amino sugars (4-amino arabinose, tomosamine, see section 2.2.2) are naturally abundant.
2.4.4 Further elaboration on the aldol addition approach

After the successful preparation of four different serine and threonine derived fluorinated carbohydrates we wanted to further expand our methodology by additionally applying the corresponding cysteine derived aldehydes, which were prepared according to a literature procedure\textsuperscript{133} and subsequently subjected to our titanium mediated aldol reaction (Scheme 74).

\[ \text{Scheme 74. Aldol addition of cysteine derived aldehydes.} \]

Obtained yields were similar compared to the corresponding serines but to our complete surprise, the asymmetric induction of the cysteine aldehydes was inverted (Table 7).

\[ \text{Table 7. Yields and selectivities for cysteine derived fluorohydrins 32 and 33.} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Isolated yield [%]</th>
<th>Combined yield of diastereomers [%]</th>
<th>Total yield brsm [%]</th>
<th>d.r.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5/1.2/0.2/0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>14/1/0.7/0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this instance, L-cysteine apparently represents the matched case, whereas L-serine constitutes a mismatched case scenario (see section 2.4.3), which can be deduced by comparison of the d.r. values of the respective substances. Owing to the superior stability of the Ti-S bond, which was also harnessed by Crimmins et al.\textsuperscript{70} in their aldol additions with oxazolidine-thiones (see section 1.2.2), a chelating transition-state seems to be involved in reactions of cysteine derived aldehydes, which prefers the formation of Chelate-Cram, rather than Felkin-Ahn products. Thus, we propose a \(^4\text{C}_1\) chair-like transition-state with the
thiazolidin moiety in an axial orientation, allowing the sulfur to coordinate to titanium (Figure 15). Owing to this chelate effect, we reasoned that our proposed TS would be favored, despite adverse steric interactions. When a similar TS is assumed for the corresponding mismatched D-cystein derivative, N,O-lone-pair repulsion might account for the overall lower selectivity in this case (Figure 15).

![Proposed transition-states for aldol additions with L- and D-cystein derivatives.](image)

**Figure 15.** Proposed transition-states for aldol additions with L- and D-cystein derivatives.

A comparable behavior was also encountered in Kobayashi modified Mukaiyama aldol reactions (see section 1.2.1). 1,3-dithiane substituted aldehydes in this case led to the formation of syn instead of anti products with inverted diastereofacial selectivity, which indicates the high propensity of titanium to form chelating transition-states with sulfur containing substrates.

Subsequently, we turned to investigate the deprotection of compounds 32 and 33. The possibility to prepare thiosugars from these compounds was impeded by the fact that the isopropylidene protecting group in these cases was more stable than the Boc group. The application of DOWEX H+ resin resulted only in the recovery of starting material, whereas other reagents such as HCl 3 M furnished mixtures of partially deprotected products (Scheme 76). Considering the problem of lactam formation (see section 2.4.3), we devised a different strategy, based on the sulfur present in compounds 32 and 33. A Pummerer-type rearrangement (Scheme 75) should be performed, to finally furnish 2-amino-4-fluoropentoses.
Thus, reductive cleavage of the auxiliaries was performed, furnishing compounds 34 and 35, which were subsequently oxidized and subjected to rearrangement. Unfortunately, we were not able to accomplish this reaction with Ac₂O under basic conditions. Additionally, the use of mCPBA resulted in partial over-oxidation, generating sulfone byproducts. We observed that Ac₂O in the presence of NaOAc or pyr resulted only in OH acetylation. After prolonged reaction times at elevated temperatures, traces of a product bearing four acetate moieties could be isolated. Although the structure of this compound remained unclear, it was evident that the isopropylidene group had been lost. Thus, we reasoned that an open-chained compound was required in order to achieve the desired rearrangement. Therefore, compound 35 was treated with HCl 3 M and subsequently re-protected using Sanger’s reagent (Scheme 76).
Scheme 76. Acidic deprotection of compound 35 and treatment with 1-fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene.

The acidic deprotection did not proceed completely at room temperature and afforded a mixture of products in ratio of ~ 60/40. Only when heated to 100°C, remaining acetonide protected compound could be converted to the free sugar alcohol, which was subsequently treated with two equiv of 1-fluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (Sanger’s reagent) to furnish compound 36 as a bright yellow crystalline solid. The OH groups in this case remained unprotected since their nucleophilicity is not sufficiently high to perform the aromatic substitution and the N-dinitrophenyl protecting group is potentially cleavable with basic ion exchange resin. When only one equiv of Sanger’s reagent was used, the N-protected free thiol was obtained predominantly, suggesting that a selective S-protection might be elusive. After oxidation with mCPBA (Scheme 77), various reagents and conditions for the aspired Pummerer rearrangement were screened (Table 8). Unfortunately, no desired products could be obtained.
Scheme 77. Oxidation of compound 36 and attempted rearrangement of 37.

The mCPBA oxidation of compound 36 was performed in MeOH, since reaction product 37 crystallized from MeOH and no over-oxidation was observed. The reaction was typically complete after 2 h at 0 °C and subsequently subjected to basic aqueous work-up. However, the progress of the reaction could not be monitored by TLC, since compound 37 interestingly had the same Rf-value as starting material 36. Crude 37, was subsequently treated with different bases and acetic- or trifluoroacetic anhydride (Table 8).

Table 8. Reagents and conditions for the Pummerer rearrangement of compound 36.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Acid anhydride</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>T [°C]</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NaOAc</td>
<td>Ac₂O</td>
<td>Ac₂O</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>decomposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>pyr</td>
<td>Ac₂O</td>
<td>Ac₂O/pyr</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>decomposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ac₂O</td>
<td>THF/Ac₂O</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>mixture of mono- and diacetylated products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Ac₂O</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>low conversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>pyr</td>
<td>Ac₂O</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>mixture of mono- and diacetylated products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2,4-lutidine</td>
<td>Ac₂O</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>0-25</td>
<td>mixture of mono- and diacetylated products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>TEA</td>
<td>TFAA</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>decomposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2,4-lutidine</td>
<td>TFAA</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>0-25</td>
<td>mixture of mono-ditrifluoroacetylated products and epimerization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2,4,6-collidin</td>
<td>TFAA</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>0-25</td>
<td>mixture of mono-ditrifluoroacetylated products and epimerization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unfortunately, we found that the dinitrophenyl protecting group was unsuitable for our purposes, since we were not able to establish conditions which induced the rearrangement of 37 but did not cleave the protecting groups, or cause epimerization to furnish multiple diastereomers.

Thus, we turned our attention on a singlet oxygen mediated rearrangement\textsuperscript{134} of compounds 34 and 35. As observed by other groups, this photochemical Pummerer reaction seems to be superior for the oxidation of thiazolidine derivatives compared to the conventional conditions. Treatment with Ac\textsubscript{2}O or silyl triflates for example led to unexpected ring expansions\textsuperscript{135} or partial eliminations\textsuperscript{136} in some cases (Scheme 78).

\begin{center}
\textbf{Scheme 78.} Conventional Pummerer rearrangement of thiazolidine S-oxides; ring expansion and elimination.
\end{center}

However, the more elegant photochemical approach, first described by Ando \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{137} in 1984 furnishes the desired rearrangement products in high yields and only low amounts of sulfoxide side products are formed under optimized conditions (Scheme 79).
Scheme 79. Representative examples for the photochemical Pummerer-type rearrangement of thiazolidines.

The reaction involves the generation of singlet oxygen via a photosensitizer and visible light irradiation. The dye of choice used in most cases is 5,10,15,20-Tetraphenyl-21H,23H-porphine (TPP), although methylene blue and polymer supported Rose bengal have also been successfully applied. These photosensitizers act by absorbing light in the visible range and subsequently transferring the energy absorbed to oxygen, thus inducing spin inversion (‘triplet-triplet annihilation’). Since most organic molecules adopt a singlet electronic ground state, they are more reactive towards singlet oxygen according to the selection rules. The proposed reaction mechanism proceeds through a sulfoperoxide species. After proton shift and rearrangement a hydroperoxide intermediate is formed, which is stable at 0°C (Scheme 80). This intermediate is finally reduced with PPh₃ or DMS to yield the monothioacetal products.
Besides amino acids and carbohydrates, this methodology also provides access to β-lactam derivatives such as penicillins\textsuperscript{134b} (Scheme 81).

The product distribution and yield of the photo-Pummerer reaction is also highly dependent on the nature of the solvent. When protic solvents such as MeOH are used for example, sulfoxide products are predominantly formed. Depending on the substrate, useful solvents for this reaction found include benzene, toluene, THF and MTBE. In our hands, toluene and THF proved to be inferior compared to MTBE, which is additionally less hazardous concerning the formation of ether peroxides. As a light source we used a 500 or 100 W halogen lamp and covering with aluminum foil ensured optimal irradiation. The oxygen stream was supplied by an oxygen concentrator device (range: 80-93\% O\textsubscript{2}). The temperature did not seem to have a significant impact on the reaction as long as it was kept below 0 °C. Thus, the reactions were conveniently performed at -78 °C. However, the irradiation time and the power of the lamp proved to be crucial (Table 9).
Table 9. Photochemical oxidation; dependence of yield on reaction time and power of halogen lamp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>P [W]</th>
<th>t [h]</th>
<th>yield [%]</th>
<th>yield brsm [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

brsm: based on recovered starting material

Unfortunately, the conversion of our substrates was very low, compared to literature procedures. In our case, the reaction did not proceed any further after 2-4 h, resulting in the recovery of large amounts of starting material. However, almost no material was lost in this manner and after several reaction cycles acceptable amounts of product were obtained (Table 9, entry 3, five cycles: 51%, 89% brsm; entry 2, two cycles: 41%, 77% brsm). We observed a characteristic color change from wine red to green in the course of the reaction and the original red coloring was restored after reductive work-up. Column chromatographic separation of product and starting material was subsequently performed, furnishing the desired compounds 38 and 39 as single diastereomers (Scheme 82), contaminated with traces of dye.

Scheme 82. Photochemical Pummerer-type rearrangement of compounds 34 and 35.

The stereochemistry of the newly formed stereocenter was not proven since it was degraded after cleavage of the acetonide moiety. In general, all sulfoxides presented in this section
were obtained as single diastereomers, suggesting that the amine moiety effectively shields
one face of the sulfur from an attack of the oxidant. Finally, compounds 40 and 41 were
treated with HCl as described above and subsequently acetylated to furnish the target 2-acetamido-4-fluoro pentoses (Scheme 83).

Scheme 83. Acidic deprotection of compounds 38 and 39; preparation of target 2-acetamido-4-fluoro pentoses 40 and 41.

The configurations of compounds 40 and 41 were again proven by analysis of the coupling constant pattern (see sections 2.3.4 and 2.4.3) (Table 10).

Table 10. Characteristic coupling constants [Hz] of compounds 39 and 40.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comp.</th>
<th>$^3$J$_{1,2}$</th>
<th>$^3$J$_{2,3}$</th>
<th>$^3$J$_{3,4}$</th>
<th>$^3$J$_{4,5a}$</th>
<th>$^3$J$_{4,5b}$</th>
<th>$^3$J$_{4-F,5a}$</th>
<th>$^3$J$_{4-F,5b}$</th>
<th>$^3$J$_{4-F,1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40-$\alpha$</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-$\beta$</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-$\alpha$</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-$\beta$</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H-5a and H-5b: assigned by order of shift; n.d. not determined.

Interestingly, d-lyxo configurated compound 40 adopts a (distorted) $^1$C$_4$ chair-like conformation, despite featuring two, respectively three axial and one (two) equatorial substituents. We propose that the axial fluorine moiety in this case causes a stabilizing hyperconjugative interaction with the axial hydrogen at C-5. Therefore, the gauche conformation is preferred over the corresponding anti alignment (Figure 16), which is for example also observed in 1,2-difluoroethane$^{139}$. 
Figure 16. Gauche ($^1C_4$) and anti ($^4C_1$) conformations of compound 40.

D-xylo configurated compound 41 however does not adopt the gauche ($^1C_4$) conformation. In this case the adverse sterical effects of the axial substituents seem to outweigh the hyperconjugative stabilization. This effect can also be seen on the relatively large H5-F coupling constants of compound 40. However, a similar behavior for 2-fluoro sugars (section 2.4.3) was not observed, since the hyperconjugation of the ring oxygen (anomeric effect) presumably outweighs the H1-F interaction in this case (Figure 17).

Figure 17. Conformers of compound 29-$\alpha$; anomeric vs. fluorine-gauche effect.

In summary, we were able to further expand our titanium mediated aldol reaction methodology on cysteine derived aldehydes for the preparation of 4-acetamido-2-fluoro-pentoses by applying a photochemical Pummerer-type rearrangement. The target compounds were obtained in an overall yield of 47-48% over four steps. Although the conversion of the rearrangement step was low, almost no material was lost when short irradiation times were applied. Thus, after multiple reaction cycles acceptable yields resulted. Additionally, we observed an unexpected change of selectivity in aldol additions with cysteine derivatives, which led to the preferential formation of Chelate-Cram products. We explained this behavior by a chelation between titanium and the $\beta$-sulfur present in the substrates.

Owing to the success of aldol-type chain elongations on amino acid derived aldehydes, we were interested in additionally applying aldo-pentoses for the preparation of fluorinated heptoses, which constitute efficient heptosyl-transferase inhibitors in LPS biosynthesis. To
this end, we investigated the aldol additions of diisopropylidene-aldehyde-D-arabinose as well as aldehyde-D-arabinose tetraacetate (Scheme 84).

![Scheme 84. Aldol additions of D-arabinose derived aldehydes.](image)

Unfortunately, the conversion and yield (~5%) for diisopropylidene protected arabinose were very low. Interestingly, the application of TMEDA in this case resulted only in trace amounts of product. However, the more reactive open chained tetraacetate furnished a yield of ~60% in an initial experiment, albeit low diastereoselectivity was encountered. Thus, we were confident that a corresponding TMEDA mediated reaction would result in good yields and selectivity. Unfortunately, this approach was impeded by the difficult handling of arabinose tetraacetate. Crystallization from acetone/Et₂O/hexanes = 2/1/3 furnished unreasonably low amounts of pure aldehyde in our hands. Column chromatography resulted in complete decomposition of this labile aldehyde and the utilization of crude samples did not provide any desired aldol products. We reasoned that residual thiol species resulting from the preparation of protected aldehyde-pentoses inhibit the Ti mediated aldolization (Scheme 85).

![Scheme 85. Preparation of aldehydo-D-arabinose tetraacetate.](image)

Owing to the high lability of the acetyl protected aldehyde precursor, it would be desirable to apply other protecting groups (All, Bn), in order to establish a reliable protocol for the preparation of fluorinated heptoses, which is a matter of future research in our group.
3 Experimental part

3.1 General methods

Oxygen for photochemical oxidations and ozonisation was generated with an Anseros SEP-100 oxygen concentrator (range: 80-93% O₂). NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance DPX 400 and DPX 600 spectrometer. The chemical shift (δ) is given in parts per million [ppm]. Multiplicities are abbreviated as follows: singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), quadruplet (q), broad signal (brs), multiplet (m), signal shows conformers (cf). Spectra were recorded at 298 K using CDCl₃, D₂O or MeOD for referencing. MS experiments were performed in the ESI mode on a Finnigan MAT 900 or Bruker maxis HD spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded on an ELMER FT-IR spectrometer using an ATR unit. For chromatography Merck silica gel 60 (0.004–0.063 mm) was used. For TLC monitoring Merck plates (silica gel 60 F254) were used which were stained by treatment with ninhydrin (0.3 g in 100 ml BuOH, 3 ml AcOH), anis aldehyde (0.5 ml in 50 ml AcOH, 1 ml H₂SO₄), ammonium molybdate (4.8 g + 0.2 g Ce(SO₄)₂ in 100 ml H₂SO₄ 10%), or KMnO₄ (0.5% in H₂O) solutions and charring with a heat gun. UV detection was performed at 254 nm using an UVAC-60 neolab lamp. Acetone, DCM, HE, EA, MeOH and EtOH were distilled before use. Other solvents and chemicals were purchased in reagent grade. Dry DCM (stabilized with 0.2 % EtOH) was prepared by distillation over P₄O₁₀ and stored over molecular sieves 4 Å. Other dry solvents were purchased. Amine bases were freshly distilled from NaH or CaH₂. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin–Elmer Polarimeter 341 at 589 nm and 20 °C.
3.2 General procedures\textsuperscript{1,2}

**Method A: Acidic deacetylation, ozonolysis. Synthesis of 6a-c**

Acetyl chloride (AcCl) was added to dry MeOH under argon and stirred at room temperature for 15 min. The resulting methanolic HCl solution was added to a solution of the azide in dry MeOH under argon and stirred at room temperature for 16-24 h as judged by TLC (DCM/MeOH = 6/1). To avoid intramolecular 1,4-addition, powdered MS 4Å was then added and the reaction mixture was stirred vigorously for 20 minutes. The molecular sieve was filtered off and the filtrate diluted with dry MeOH and a few ml of dry DCM. The resulting solution was cooled to -78°C and ozone was bubbled through the reaction mixture until a blue color persisted, whereupon air was bubbled through the solution until the blue color vanished. PPh$_3$ was then added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and purification by silica gel chromatography afforded sugar azides 6a-c as light yellow viscous oils.

**Method B: Azide reduction. Synthesis of 7a-7c**

The sugar azide was dissolved in pyr/Ac$_2$O = 1/1 under argon and a catalytic amount of DMAP was added. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h and then evaporated to dryness. The crude reaction product was redissolved in dry MeCN under argon and DTT followed by DIPA were added. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then evaporated to dryness. The residue was redissolved in pyr/Ac$_2$O = 1/1 under argon and a catalytic amount of DMAP was added. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h and then evaporated to dryness. Purification by silica gel chromatography afforded peracetylated amino sugars 7a-c as colorless to light yellow viscous oils.
Method C: Zemplén saponification. Synthesis of 8a-c, 28-31

To a solution of the peracetylated amino sugar in dry MeOH a catalytic amount of NaOMe was added under argon and stirred at room temperature for 2-3 h as judged by TLC (acetone/iPrOH/H₂O = 5/4/1). Then a small amount of acidic ion exchange resin was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for additional 10 min at room temperature. After filtration, the solution was evaporated to dryness and the residue was redissolved in water, washed three times with ethyl acetate EA and evaporated to dryness. The free carbohydrates obtained in most cases needed no further purification.

Method D: Aldol addition. Synthesis of 15-19, 32-33, 42

A stirred solution of (4S,5R)-3-(2-fluoroacetyl)-4-methyl-5-phenyloxazolidin-2-one in dry DCM under an argon atmosphere was cooled to -78 °C and TiCl₄ followed by TMEDA were added. The resulting dark brown solution was stirred for 2 h at -78 °C whereupon the aldehyde dissolved in dry DCM was added. The reaction mixture was warmed to -50 °C and was then allowed to slowly warm to -10 °C over 3 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated ammonium chloride solution and the precipitate formed was filtered over a pad of celite and rinsed with DCM. The phases of the filtrate were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with DCM. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and filtered. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography to yield the fluorohydrins as white crystalline solids.

Method E: Oxazolidinone, acetonide cleavage. Synthesis of 20-23

A stirred solution of the fluorohydrin in dry MeOH under an argon atmosphere was cooled to the temperature stated and NaOMe was added. The resulting solution was stirred for 30 min whereupon acidic ion exchange resin was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for the time stated. Afterwards, the reaction mixture
was filtered and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography.

**Method F: DIBAL reduction, Boc cleavage. Synthesis of 24-27**

A stirred solution of the methyl ester in dry THF under an argon atmosphere was cooled to -78°C and DIBAL (1 M in toluene) was added dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred for 1.5 h at -78°C whereupon the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated sodium, potassium tartrate solution and allowed to warm to room temperature. The resulting biphasic mixture was diluted with EA and stirred for 3 h. Afterwards the phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with EA. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and filtered. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure the crude product was dissolved in a mixture of pyr/Ac₂O = 1/1 under an argon atmosphere and a catalytic amount of DMAP was added. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature over night and then concentrated under reduced pressure and co-evaporated with toluene. The crude reaction product was redissolved in a mixture of TFA/DCM = 1/3 and stirred for 1.5 h at ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was then evaporated to dryness and the crude product redissolved in MeOH. The resulting solution was treated with basic ion exchange resin under stirring until pH = 6 was reached. After filtration, and evaporation of the solvent the crude product was further treated as stated.

**Method G: Reductive auxiliary cleavage. Synthesis of 34-35**

A stirred solution of the fluoro-hydrin in dry THF under an argon atmosphere was cooled to 0°C and dry MeOH followed by LiBH₄ were added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 45 min at 0°C and was then quenched by the addition of saturated ammonium chloride solution. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with DCM. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and filtered. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography eluting with HE/EA = 3/2.
Method H: Pummerer rearrangement, acidic deprotection. Synthesis of 40-41

Through a stirred solution of the thiazolidine and TPP in MTBE in a round bottom flask at -78 °C a stream of oxygen was bubbled. A 500 W halogen lamp was illuminated approximately 2 cm above the flask and aluminum foil was used to ensure optimal irradiation which was maintained for 1-2 h whereupon the color of the reaction mixture changed from red to green. Subsequently PPh$_3$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 40 min whereupon the original red coloring was restored. Afterwards, the solvent was evaporated and product and starting material were separated by flash column chromatography eluting with HE/EA = 1/1. The hydroxy-thiazolidine obtained in this way was dissolved in EA and an equal amount of 3 M HCl was added. The resulting biphasic mixture was stirred vigorously for 18 h at room temperature and subsequently evaporated to dryness. The residue was redissolved in 3 M HCl, washed with EA to remove residual TPP and then heated to 100 °C for 3 h. Afterwards, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was redissolved in dry MeCN and cooled to 0 °C. TEA was added followed by Ac$_2$O after 5 min. The resulting solution was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and then evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography eluting with DCM/MeOH = 19/1.

Procedure for the preparation of the Roush reagent

In a flame dried flask, magnesium turnings (702 mg, 28.87 mmol) were suspended in dry ether (25 ml) under argon and allyl bromide (1.5 ml, 17.32 mmol) was slowly added. After the initial exothermic reaction ceased, the reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 2 h and subsequently allowed to cool to room temperature. In a separate flask, dry ether (25 ml) under argon was cooled to -78 °C. To this flask, the previously prepared Grignard reagent and B(OMe)$_3$ (2.2 ml, 19.25 mmol) in dry ether (25 ml) were slowly added simultaneously. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at -78 °C and subsequently warmed to 0 °C, whereupon HCl 2 M (25 ml) was added. The resulting biphasic mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature stirred vigorously for 1 h. Afterwards, the layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted four times with a DCM/ether = 1/5 mixture (25 ml). The
combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and filtered. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure the crude product was redissolved in dry ether (50 ml) under argon and treated with (-)-DIPT (3.61 g, 15.4 mmol) at room temperature over night. Subsequently, MgSO₄ (1 g) was added and after additional stirring for 20 min the reaction mixture was filtered and evaporated to dryness, furnishing the allyl boronate as a cloudy, white viscous material, which was used without further purification. The purity was estimated to be ~50% according to ¹H-NMR analysis.

**Procedure for the preparation of fluoroacetyl chloride**

Ethyl fluoroacetate (13.6 ml, 141 mmol) was dissolved in 200 ml of a mixture of EtOH/H₂O = 9/1 and NaOH (6.72 g, 168 mmol) was added. A white precipitate slowly starts to form and after stirring for 20 h at room temperature the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The sodium fluoroacetate obtained was redissolved in 120 ml of HCl (3 M) and the aqueous solution was saturated with NaCl and then extracted four times with Et₂O (100 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to dryness. The obtained fluoroacetic acid (10.4 g, 133 mmol, 95%) is essentially pure and was directly added to PCl₅ (30.6 g, 147 mmol) in a flask equipped with a reflux condenser under vigorous stirring and cooling (Caution! Strong exothermic reaction!). After the initial reaction subsided, the reaction mixture was heated at 80 °C for 1 h. Afterwards the fluoroacetyl chloride was directly distilled from the reaction mixture using a short distillation column (b.p. 70-71 °C); yield: 12 g, (80%). The obtained fluoroacetyl chloride contained trace amounts of POCl₃ and was used directly without further purification.

**Procedure for epimerization of L-threonine to D-allo-threonine**

L-threonine related Garner’s aldehyde (1.5 g, 6.17 mmol) was dissolved in 120 ml of THF and epimerized with LiOH (15 mg, 0.62 mmol). The resulting solution was heated to reflux over night and then evaporated to dryness. The crude product was redissolved in 50 ml of Et₂O, washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated
under reduced pressure. The diastereomers were separated by flash column chromatography eluting with HE/EA = 19/1; yield: 495 mg (33%), 990 mg (66%) starting material.

3.4 Experimental procedures and data for key intermediates and final products\(^{1,2}\)

**2-azido-2-deoxy-D-glycero-D-ido-heptose (6a)**

![Structural formula](image)

Azide 5a (157 mg, 0.34 mmol) was deacetylated in a methanolic HCl solution using AcCl (73 \(\mu\)l, 1.03 mmol) in 9 ml of dry MeOH according to method A. After ozonolysis, the reaction was quenched with PPh\(_3\) (108 mg, 0.41 mmol). Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using DCM/MeOH = 6/1 as eluent; yield: 66 mg (mixture of anomers/conformers), (82%). \([\alpha]_D^{20} = -26.3^\circ\) (4.2, H\(_2\)O); IR (neat): 3340, 2926, 2117, 1641, 1263, 813, 737, 631 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (D\(_2\)O, 600 MHz, 25 \(^\circ\)C): (1-H) \(\delta = 4.98\) (d, \(3J_{1,2} = 8.7\) Hz), 5.01 (d, \(3J_{1,2} = 4.9\) Hz), 5.18 (d, \(3J_{1,2} = 1.4\) Hz), 5.25 (d, \(3J_{1,2} = 3.5\) Hz), 5.52 (d, \(3J_{1,2} = 4.7\) Hz), \(^13\)C NMR (D\(_2\)O, 150 MHz, 25\(^\circ\)C): (1-C) \(\delta = 92.4, 93.1, 93.3, 94.8, 99.0\); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C\(_7\)H\(_{13}\)N\(_3\)NaO\(_6\) [M + Na]\(^+\) 258.0702, found 258.0701.

**2-azido-2-deoxy-D-threo-L-galacto-octose (6b)**

![Structural formula](image)

Azide 5b (155 mg, 0.29 mmol) was deacetylated in a methanolic HCl solution using AcCl (62 \(\mu\)l, 0.87 mmol) in 9 ml of dry MeOH according to method A. Since the deacetylation product was not completely soluble in MeOH about 1.5 mmol of ozone were bubbled through the suspension. After 1 h at -78\(^\circ\)C additional 0.75 mmol of ozone were added. After 1 h at -78\(^\circ\)C the reaction was quenched with PPh\(_3\) (92 mg, 0.35 mmol). Purification by silica gel
chromatography was performed using DCM/MeOH = 6/1 as eluent; yield: 55 mg (mixture of anomers, α/β = 1/2), (71%), 3 mg (3%) of not ozonolyzed deacetylation product were recovered. [α]D20 = +17.9° (2.9, H2O); IR (neat): 3341, 2927, 2118, 1591, 1350, 1064, 770, 630 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (D2O, 600 MHz, 25 °C): (β-anomer) δ = 3.49 (dd, \(^3\)J2,3 = 10.6 Hz, \(^3\)J1,2 = 8.1 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 3.61 (dd, \(^3\)J5,6 = 9.4 Hz, \(^3\)J4,5 = 0.9 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 3.71 (m, 3 H, 8a-H, 8b-H, 3-H), 3.83 (dd, \(^3\)J6,7 = 1.5 Hz, \(^3\)J5,6 = 9.4 Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 3.92 (ddd, \(^3\)J6,7 = 1.5 Hz, \(^3\)J7,8a = 5.6 Hz, \(^3\)J7,8b = 7.2 Hz, 1 H, 7-H), 4.09 (dd, \(^3\)J4,5 = 0.9 Hz, \(^3\)J5,4 = 3.4 Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 4.65 (d, \(^3\)J1,2 = 8.1 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), (α-anomer) δ = 3.71 (m, 3 H, 8a-H, 8b-H, 2-H), 3.81 (dd, \(^3\)J5,6 = 9.6 Hz, \(^3\)J6,7 = 1.7 Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 3.87 (ddd, \(^3\)J6,7 = 1.7 Hz, \(^3\)J7,8a = 5.4 Hz, \(^3\)J7,8b = 7.3 Hz, 1 H, 7-H), 4.02 (dd, \(^3\)J3,4 = 3.2 Hz, \(^3\)J2,3 = 10.8 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 4.04 (dd, \(^3\)J4,5 = 0.9 Hz, \(^3\)J5,6 = 9.6 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 4.18 (dd, \(^3\)J4,5 = 0.9 Hz, \(^3\)J3,4 = 3.2 Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 5.37 (d, \(^3\)J1,2 = 3.8 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), 13C NMR (D2O, 150 MHz, 25°C): (β-anomer) δ = 63.0 (8-C), 64.7 (2-C), 67.0 (4-C), 67.4 (6-C), 70.0 (7-C), 72.0 (3-C), 73.0 (5-C), 95.6 (1-C), (α-anomer): 60.5 (2-C), 63.0 (8-C), 67.7 (6-C), 67.9 (4-C), 68.4 (3-C), 68.4 (5-C), 70.1 (7-C), 91.4 (1-C); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C8H15N3NaO7 [M + Na]⁺ 288.0808, found 288.0791.

**2-azido-2-deoxy-D-erythro-L-galacto-octose (6c)**

Azide 5c (102 mg, 0.19 mmol) was deacetylated in a methanolic HCl solution using AcCl (41 µl, 0.58 mmol) in 6 ml of dry MeOH according to method A. After ozonolysis the reaction was quenched with PPh₃ (60 mg, 0.23 mmol). Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using DCM/MeOH = 6/1 as eluent; yield: 38 mg (mixture of anomers, α/β = 1/2), (75%). [α]D20 = -35.6° (12.9, H2O); IR (neat): 3339, 2923, 2121, 1641, 1252, 1017, 723, 633 cm\(^{-1}\); \(^1\)H NMR (D2O, 600 MHz, 25°C): (β-anomer) δ = 3.52 (dd, \(^3\)J1,2 = 8.1 Hz, \(^3\)J2,3 = 10.4 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 3.66 (dd, \(^3\)J7,8a = 6.5 Hz, \(^2\)J8a,8b = 11.8 Hz, 1 H, 8a-H), 3.67 (dd, \(^3\)J3,4 = 3.3 Hz, \(^3\)J2,3 = 10.4 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 3.69 (dd, \(^3\)J4,5 = 0.9 Hz, \(^3\)J5,6 = 5.3 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 3.77 (dd, \(^3\)J7,8b = 3.3 Hz, \(^3\)J8a,8b = 11.8 Hz, 1 H, 8b-H), 3.82 (ddd, \(^3\)J7,8a = 3.3 Hz, \(^3\)J6,7 = 5.9 Hz, \(^3\)J7,8a = 6.5 Hz, 1 H, 7-H), 3.94 (dd, \(^3\)J5,6 = 5.3 Hz, \(^3\)J6,7 = 5.9 Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 4.04 (dd, \(^3\)J3,4 = 3.3 Hz, \(^3\)J4,5 = 0.9 Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 4.65 (d, \(^3\)J1,2 = 8.1 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), (α-anomer) δ = 3.67 (dd, \(^3\)J7,8a = 6.5 Hz, \(^2\)J8a,8b = 11.8 Hz, 1 H, 8a-H), 3.77 (dd, \(^3\)J7,8b = 3.3 Hz, \(^2\)J8a,8b = 11.8 Hz, 1 H, 8b-H), 3.73 (dd,
\( ^3J_{1,2} = 3.8 \text{ Hz}, \ ^3J_{2,3} = 10.7 \text{ Hz}, \ ^3J_{6,7} = 5.9 \text{ Hz}, \ ^3J_{7,8a} = 6.5 \text{ Hz}, \ ^1H, 7-H), 3.93 \text{ (dd, } \ ^3J_{5,6} = 5.3 \text{ Hz}, \ ^3J_{6,7} = 5.9 \text{ Hz}, \ ^1H, 6-H), 4.01 \text{ (dd, } \ ^3J_{3,4} = 3.1 \text{ Hz}, \ ^3J_{2,3} = 10.7 \text{ Hz}, \ ^1H, 3-H), 4.13 \text{ (dd, } \ ^3J_{3,4} = 3.1 \text{ Hz}, \ ^3J_{4,5} = 0.9 \text{ Hz}, \ ^1H, 4-H), 4.13 \text{ (dd, } \ ^3J_{4,5} = 0.9 \text{ Hz}, \ ^3J_{5,6} = 5.3 \text{ Hz}, \ ^1H, 5-H), 5.42 \text{ (d, } \ ^3J_{1,2} = 3.8 \text{ Hz}, \ ^1H, 1-H), 13C NMR (CDCl}_3, 150 \text{ MHz, 25°C): (β-anomer) } \delta = 61.9 \text{ (8-C), 64.5 (2-C), 69.5 (4-C), 70.8 (7-C), 71.7 (3-C), 72.3 (6-C), 73.3 (5-C), 95.6 (1-C), (α-anomer) } \delta = 60.3 \text{ (2-C), 62.1 (8-C), 66.1 (3-C), 68.4 (5-C), 70.7 (4-C), 70.8 (7-C), 72.5 (6-C), 91.3 (1-C); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C}_8H_{15}N_3NaO_7 [M + Na]^+ 288.0808, found 288.0808.

2-acetamido-1,3,4,6,7-penta-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-D-glycero-D-ido-heptose (7a)

Sugar azide 6a (31 mg, 0.13 mmol) was peracetylated and reduced with DTT (82 mg, 0.53 mmol) and DIPA (1 ml) in 4 ml of dry MeCN according to method B. Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using HE/EA = 1/3 as eluent; yield: 20 mg, (\(^4\)C\(_1\)-pyranoid form, mixture of anomers, α/β = 3/2), (33%), 16 mg (β-furanoid form/\(^4\)C\(_4\) α-pyranoid form = 5/2), (26%). \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3, 600 \text{ MHz, 25°C): (β\)-anomer) \( \delta = 2.01, 2.02, 2.05, 2.10, 2.12, 2.14 \text{ (6s, 18 H, 6 Ac), 4.10 (dd, } \ ^3J_{6,7a} = 4.6 \text{ Hz, } ^2J_{7a,7b} = 12.4 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 7a-H}), 4.33 \text{ (ddd, } \ ^4J_{2,4} = 1.0 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{1,2} = 1.8 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{2,3} = 3.1 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{2,2-NH} = 9.8 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 2-H}), 4.38 \text{ (ddd, } \ ^4J_{5,1} = 0.6 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{4,5} = 1.9 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{5,6} = 9.9 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 5-H}), 4.46 \text{ (dd, } \ ^3J_{6,7b} = 2.4 \text{ Hz, } ^2J_{7a,7b} = 12.4 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 7b-H}), 4.84 \text{ (ddd, } \ ^4J_{3,1} = 1.1 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{2,3} = 3.1 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{3,4} = 3.1 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 3-H}), 5.08 \text{ (ddd, } \ ^5J_{4,1} = 0.7 \text{ Hz, } ^4J_{2,4} = 1.0 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{4,5} = 1.9 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{3,4} = 3.1 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 4-H}), 5.12 \text{ (ddd, } \ ^3J_{6,7b} = 2.4 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{6,7a} = 4.6 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{5,6} = 9.9 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 6-H}), 5.92 \text{ (ddd, } \ ^4J_{5,1} = 0.6 \text{ Hz, } ^5J_{4,1} = 0.7 \text{ Hz, } ^4J_{3,1} = 1.1 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{1,2} = 1.8 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 1-H}), 6.05 \text{ (d, } \ ^3J_{2,2-NH} = 9.8 \text{ Hz, 1 H, NH), (β\)-anomer) } \delta = 2.01, 2.02, 2.05, 2.09, 2.09, 2.10, 2.17 \text{ (6s, 18 H, 6 Ac), 4.13 (dd, } \ ^3J_{6,7a} = 5.0 \text{ Hz, } ^2J_{7a,7b} = 12.4 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 7a-H}), 4.20 \text{ (dd, } \ ^3J_{4,5} = 1.8 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{5,6} = 9.8 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 5-H}), 4.35 \text{ (ddd, } \ ^4J_{2,4} = 1.0 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{1,2} = 2.1 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{2,3} = 2.9 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{2,2-NH} = 9.7 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 2-H}), 4.43 \text{ (dd, } \ ^3J_{6,7b} = 2.3 \text{ Hz, } ^2J_{7a,7b} = 12.4 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 7b-H}), 4.97 \text{ (ddd, } \ ^4J_{2,4} = 1.0 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{3,4} = 2.9 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{2,3} = 2.9 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 4-H}), 5.00 \text{ (dd, } \ ^3J_{2,3} = 2.9 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{3,4} = 2.9 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 3-H}), 5.18 \text{ (ddd, } \ ^3J_{6,7b} = 2.3 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{6,7a} = 5.0 \text{ Hz, } ^3J_{5,6} = 9.8 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 6-H}), 5.94 \text{ (d, } \ ^3J_{1,2} = 2.1 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 1-H}), 6.10 \text{ (d, } \ ^3J_{2,2-NH} = 2.9 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 2-H}).
9.7 Hz, 1 H, 2-NH), (β-furanoid form) δ = 2.00, 2.04, 2.04, 2.10, 2.12, 2.16 (6s, 18 H, 6 Ac), 4.10 (dd, \(^3J_{6,7a} = 6.7\) Hz, \(^2J_{7a,7b} = 12.4\) Hz, 1 H, 7a-H), 4.37 (dd, \(^3J_{6,7b} = 3.0\) Hz, \(^2J_{7a,7b} = 12.4\) Hz, 1 H, 7b-H), 4.55 (ddd, \(^3J_{1,2} = 3.1\) Hz, \(^1J_{2,2} = 5.1\) Hz, \(^2J_{2,2,NH} = 8.1\) Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 4.59 (dd, \(^3J_{4,5} = 5.1\) Hz, \(^1J_{3,4} = 6.8\) Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 5.13 (ddd, \(^3J_{6,7b} = 3.0\) Hz, \(^3J_{5,6} = 5.1\) Hz, \(^3J_{6,7a} = 6.7\) Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 5.32 (dd, \(^3J_{3,4} = 2.9\) Hz, \(^3J_{2,3} = 2.9\) Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 5.36 (dd, \(^3J_{4,5} = 5.1\) Hz, \(^3J_{5,6} = 9.8\) Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 5.93 (d, \(^3J_{2,2,NH} = 8.1\) Hz, 1 H, 2-NH), 6.03 (d, \(^3J_{1,2} = 2.1\) Hz, 1 H, 1-H), (\(^1\)C\(_4\) α-pyranoid form) δ = 1.95, 2.01, 2.07, 2.12, 2.13, 2.22 (6s, 18 H, 6 Ac), 4.11 (dd, \(^3J_{4,5} = 1.5\) Hz, \(^3J_{6,7a} = 9.6\) Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 4.14 (dd, \(^3J_{6,7a} = 5.1\) Hz, \(^2J_{7a,7b} = 12.3\) Hz, 1 H, 7a-H), 4.42 (dd, \(^3J_{6,7b} = 2.4\) Hz, \(^2J_{7a,7b} = 12.3\) Hz, 1 H, 7b-H), 4.54 (ddd, \(^3J_{2,3} = 3.4\) Hz, \(^3J_{1,2} = 9.3\) Hz, \(^2J_{2,2,NH} = 9.3\) Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 5.04 (dd, \(^3J_{4,5} = 1.5\) Hz, \(^3J_{3,4} = 3.6\) Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 5.15 (ddd, \(^3J_{6,7b} = 2.4\) Hz, \(^3J_{6,7a} = 5.1\) Hz, \(^3J_{5,6} = 9.6\) Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 5.16 (dd, \(^3J_{2,3} = 3.4\) Hz, \(^3J_{3,4} = 3.6\) Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 5.47 (d, \(^3J_{2,2,NH} = 9.3\) Hz, 1 H, 2-NH), 5.84 (d, \(^3J_{1,2} = 9.3\) Hz, 1 H, 1-H), \(^{13}\)C NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 150 MHz, 25°C): (\(^1\)C\(_1\)-pyranoid form), (α-anomer) δ = 20.6, 20.7, 20.7, 20.7, 20.8, 23.2 (6 CH\(_3\)), 45.7 (2-C), 62.2 (7-C), 65.0 (4-C), 65.2 (5-C), 67.1 (3-C), 67.2 (6-C), 91.8 (1-C), 168.1, 168.5, 168.8, 168.9, 170.3 (6 CO-Ac), (\(^1\)C\(_1\)-pyranoid form), (β-anomer) δ = 20.6, 20.7, 20.7, 20.8, 23.3 (6 Ac), 47.0 (2-C), 62.4 (7-C), 64.2 (4-C), 67.0 (6-C), 68.7 (3-C), 72.3 (5-C), 90.7 (1-C), 168.2, 168.3, 168.6, 169.4, 169.8, 170.6 (6 CO-Ac), (β-furanoid form) δ = 20.6, 20.7, 20.8, 20.9, 21.1, 23.1 (6 Ac), 60.0 (2-C), 61.8 (7-C), 69.2 (5-C), 70.1 (6-C), 74.8 (4-C), 78.3 (3-C), 98.5 (1-C), 169.5, 169.8, 170.0, 170.1, 170.3, 170.7 (6 CO-Ac), (\(^1\)C\(_4\) α-pyranoid form) δ = 20.7, 20.7, 20.7, 20.9, 21.0, 23.2 (6 Ac), 47.3 (2-C), 62.5 (7-C), 64.8 (4-C), 67.3 (6-C), 70.0 (3-C), 71.1 (5-C), 94.1 (1-C), 168.8, 169.4, 169.6, 169.6, 170.0, 170.6 (6 CO-Ac); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C\(_{19}\)H\(_{27}\)NNaO\(_{12}\) [M + Na\(^+\)] 484.1431, found 484.1419 and 484.1425.

2-acetamido-1,3,4,6,7,8-hexa-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-D-threo-L-galacto-octose (7b)

Sugar azide 6b (55 mg, 0.21 mmol) was peracetylated and reduced with DTT (128 mg, 0.83 mmol) and DIPA (1.5 ml) in 6 ml of dry MeCN according to method B. Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using HE/EA = 1/4 as eluent; yield 73 mg (mixture of
anomers, α/β = 1/1), (66%). $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz, 25°C): (β-anomer) δ = 1.93, 2.00, 2.01, 2.04, 2.12, 2.13 (7s, 21 H, 7 Ac), 3.87 (dd, $^3$J$_{4,5}$ = 1.1 Hz, $^3$J$_{5,6}$ = 9.5 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 3.96 (dd, $^2$J$_{8a,8b}$ = 11.8 Hz, $^3$J$_{7,8a}$ = 5.8 Hz, 1 H, 8a-H), 4.17 (dd, $^3$J$_{7,8b}$ = 4.8 Hz, $^2$J$_{8a,8b}$ = 11.8 Hz, 1 H, 8b-H), 4.36 (dd, $^3$J$_{1,2}$ = 9.0 Hz, $^3$J$_{2,2,-NH}$ = 9.1 Hz, $^3$J$_{2,3}$ = 11.3 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 5.12 (dd, $^3$J$_{3,4}$ = 3.5 Hz, $^3$J$_{2,3}$ = 11.3 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 5.30 (d, $^3$J$_{2,2,-NH}$ = 9.1 Hz, 1 H, 2-NH), 5.35 (m, 3 H, 4-H, 6-H, 7-H), 5.62 (d, $^3$J$_{1,2}$ = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), (α-anomer) δ = 1.94, 2.01, 2.02, 2.10, 2.12, 2.15 (7s, 21 H, 7 Ac), 3.94 (dd, $^3$J$_{7,8a}$ = 7.1 Hz, $^2$J$_{8a,8b}$ = 11.8 Hz, 1 H, 8a-H), 4.06 (dd, $^3$J$_{4,5}$ = 0.9 Hz, $^3$J$_{5,6}$ = 9.7 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 4.21 (dd, $^3$J$_{7,8b}$ = 5.6 Hz, $^2$J$_{8a,8b}$ = 11.8 Hz, 1 H, 8b-H), 4.72 (dd, $^3$J$_{1,2}$ = 3.7 Hz, $^3$J$_{2,2,-NH}$ = 9.3 Hz, $^3$J$_{2,3}$ = 11.5 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 5.15 (dd, $^3$J$_{6,7}$ = 2.0 Hz, $^3$J$_{5,6}$ = 9.7 Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 5.18 (dd, $^3$J$_{3,4}$ = 3.3 Hz, $^3$J$_{2,3}$ = 11.5 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 5.31 (d, $^3$J$_{2,2,-NH}$ = 9.3 Hz, 1 H, 2-NH), 5.35 (m, 2 H, 4-H, 7-H), 6.23 (d, $^3$J$_{1,2}$ = 3.7 Hz, 1 H, 1-H); $^{13}$C-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz, 25 °C): (β-anomer) δ = 20.5, 20.6, 20.6, 20.7, 20.7, 20.8, 23.7 (7 Ac), 50.1 (2-C), 62.9 (8-C), 65.1 (6-C), 65.6 (4-C), 68.7 (7-C), 70.3 (3-C), 71.6 (5-C), 93.2 (1-C), 169.2, 169.5, 169.9, 170.2, 170.4, 170.7, 171.2 (7 CO-Ac), (α-anomer) δ = 20.4, 20.6, 20.6, 20.7, 20.7, 20.8, 23.7 (7 Ac), 50.1 (2-C), 62.9 (8-C), 65.1 (6-C), 65.6 (4-C), 68.7 (7-C), 70.3 (3-C), 71.6 (5-C), 93.2 (1-C), 169.2, 169.5, 169.9, 170.2, 170.4, 170.7, 171.2 (7 CO-Ac); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_{22}$H$_{31}$NNaO$_{14}$ [M + Na]$^+$ 556.1642, found 556.1633.

2-acetamido-1,3,4,6,7,8-hexa-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-D-erythro-L-galacto-octose

(7c)

Sugar azide 6c (38 mg, 0.14 mmol) was peracetylated and reduced with DTT (88 mg, 0.57 mmol) and DIPA (1 ml) in 4 ml of dry MeCN according to method B. Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using HE/EA = 1/4 as eluent; yield: 51 mg, (mixture of anomers, α/β= 1/2), (67%). $[^1]$D$_{20}$ = -12.0° (1.0, CH$_2$Cl$_2$); $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz, 25°C): (β-anomer) δ = 1.94, 2.01, 2.01, 2.07, 2.11, 2.11, 2.23 (7s, 21 H, 7 Ac), 3.90 (dd, $^3$J$_{4,5}$ = 0.5 Hz, $^3$J$_{5,6}$ = 7.6 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 4.17 (dd, $^3$J$_{7,8a}$ = 5.9 Hz, $^2$J$_{8a,8b}$ = 11.9 Hz, 1 H, 8a-H), 4.28 (dd, $^3$J$_{7,8b}$ = 5.1 Hz, $^2$J$_{8a,8b}$ = 11.9 Hz, 1 H, 8b-H), 4.32 (ddd, $^3$J$_{1,2}$ = 8.8 Hz, $^3$J$_{2,2,-NH}$ = 9.4 Hz, $^3$J$_{2,3}$ = 11.1 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 4.95 (ddd, $^3$J$_{6,7}$ = 3.5 Hz, $^3$J$_{7,8a}$ = 5.1 Hz, $^3$J$_{7,8b}$ = 5.9 Hz, 1 H, 7-H), 5.14 (dd, $^3$J$_{3,4}$ = 3.3 Hz, $^3$J$_{2,3}$ = 11.1 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 5.32 (d, $^3$J$_{2,2,-NH}$ = 9.4 Hz, 1 H, 2-NH), 5.46
(dd, $^3J_{6,7} = 3.5$ Hz, $^3J_{5,6} = 7.6$ Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 5.52 (dd, $^3J_{4,5} = 0.5$ Hz, $^3J_{3,4} = 3.3$ Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 5.65 (d, $^3J_{1,2} = 8.8$ Hz, 1 H, 1-H), (α-anomer) δ = 1.95, 2.02, 2.02, 2.07, 2.08, 2.18, 2.21 (7s, 21 H, 7 Ac), 4.05 (dd, $^3J_{4,5} = 1.0$ Hz, $^3J_{5,6} = 6.6$ Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 4.14 (dd, $^3J_{7,8a} = 6.3$ Hz, $^3J_{8a,8b} = 12.0$ Hz, 1 H, 8a-H), 4.28 (dd, $^3J_{7,8b} = 5.1$ Hz, $^3J_{8a,8b} = 12.0$ Hz, 1 H, 8b-H), 4.72 (ddd, $^3J_{1,2} = 3.7$ Hz, $^3J_{2,2-NH} = 9.2$ Hz, $^3J_{2,3} = 11.6$ Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 5.00 (ddd, $^3J_{6,7} = 4.1$ Hz, $^3J_{7,8a} = 5.1$ Hz, $^3J_{7,8b} = 6.3$ Hz, 1 H, 7-H), 5.16 (ddd, $^3J_{3,4} = 3.3$ Hz, $^3J_{2,3} = 11.6$ Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 5.32 (d, $^3J_{2,2-NH} = 9.2$ Hz, 1 H, 2-NH), 5.37 (dd, $^3J_{6,7} = 4.1$ Hz, $^3J_{5,6} = 6.6$ Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 5.53 (ddd, $^3J_{4,5} = 1.0$ Hz, $^3J_{3,4} = 3.3$ Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 6.19 (d, $^3J_{1,2} = 3.7$ Hz, 1 H, 1-H); $^{13}$C-NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz, 25 °C): (β-anomer) δ = 20.6, 20.6, 20.7, 20.7, 20.8, 20.9, 23.4 (7 Ac), 50.2 (2-C), 61.1 (8-C), 66.2 (4-C), 69.2 (7-C), 69.9 (6-C), 70.3 (3-C), 73.3 (5-C), 93.2 (1-C), 169.6, 169.8, 170.1, 170.2, 170.5, 170.6, 170.9 (7 CO-OAc), (α-anomer) δ = 20.6, 20.6, 20.7, 20.7, 20.8, 20.9, 23.2 (7 Ac), 46.9 (2-C), 61.4 (8-C), 67.0 (4-C), 68.1 (3-C), 69.0 (5-C), 69.5 (6-C), 69.6 (7-C), 91.6 (1-C), 169.7, 169.8, 170.9, 170.3, 170.5, 170.52, 170.5 (7 CO-OAc); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_{22}$H$_{31}$NNaO$_{14}$ [M + Na]$^+$ 556.1642, found 556.1638.

**2-acetamido-2-deoxy-D-glycero-D-ido-heptose (8a)**

Peracetylated amino sugar 7a (20 mg, 0.04 mmol) was deacetylated according to method C in 3 ml of dry MeOH; yield: 11 mg, (100%). [α]$^D_{20}$ = -12.8° (2.5, H$_2$O); $^1$H NMR (D$_2$O, 600 MHz, 25 °C): (1-H) δ = 4.92 (d, $^3J_{1,2} = 8.9$ Hz), 5.05 (d, $^3J_{1,2} = 3.0$ Hz), 5.15 (d, $^3J_{1,2} = 3.8$ Hz), 5.19 (d, $^3J_{1,2} = 1.9$ Hz), 5.45 (d, $^3J_{1,2} = 4.9$ Hz), $^{13}$C NMR (D$_2$O, 150 MHz, 25°C): (1-C) δ = 91.4, 91.8, 92.9, 93.5, 93.6; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_9$H$_{17}$NNaO$_7$ [M+Na]$^+$ 274.0903, found 274.0905.
2-acetamido-2-deoxy-D-threo-L-galacto-octose (8b)

Peracetylated amino sugar 7b (44 mg, 0.08 mmol) was deacetylated according to method C in 4 ml of dry methanol; yield: 23 mg, (mixture of anomers, α/β = 1/1), (100%). $[\alpha]^D_{20} = -30^\circ$ (5.0, H$_2$O), $^1$H NMR (MeOD, 600 MHz, 25°C): (β-anomer) $\delta$ = 2.00 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 3.52 (dd, $^3$J$_{4,5}$ = 1.0 Hz, $^3$J$_{5,6}$ = 9.0 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 3.58 (dd, $^3$J$_{3,4}$ = 3.4 Hz, $^3$J$_{2,3}$ = 10.8 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 3.65 (m, 2 H, 8a-H, 8b-H), 3.88 (m, 3 H, 2-H, 6-H, 7-H), 4.04 (dd, $^3$J$_{4,5}$ = 1.0 Hz, $^3$J$_{3,4}$ = 3.4 Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 4.55 (d, $^3$J$_{1,2}$ = 8.4 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), (α-anomer) $\delta$ = 2.00 (s, 3 H, NHAc) 3.65 (m, 2 H, 8a-H, 8b-H), 3.83 (dd, $^3$J$_{3,4}$ = 3.2 Hz, $^3$J$_{2,3}$ = 10.9 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 3.83 (ddd, $^3$J$_{6,7}$ = 1.6 Hz, $^3$J$_{7,8a}$ = 6.5 Hz, $^3$J$_{7,8b}$ = 6.5 Hz, 1 H, 7-H), 3.85 (dd, $^3$J$_{6,7}$ = 1.6 Hz, $^3$J$_{5,6}$ = 9.2 Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 4.03 (dd, $^3$J$_{4,5}$ = 1.2 Hz, $^3$J$_{5,6}$ = 9.2 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 4.10 (dd, $^3$J$_{4,5}$ = 1.2 Hz, $^3$J$_{3,4}$ = 3.2 Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 4.22 (dd, $^3$J$_{1,2}$ = 3.7 Hz, $^3$J$_{2,3}$ = 10.9 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 5.14 (d, $^3$J$_{1,2}$ = 3.7 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), $^{13}$C NMR (MeOD, 150 MHz, 25°C): (β-anomer) $\delta$ = 22.9 (NHAc), 55.9 (2-C), 64.8 (8-C), 68.7 (4-C), 69.1 (6-C), 71.7 (7-C), 73.6 (3-C), 74.8 (5-C), 97.6 (1-C), 174.7 (CO-NHAc), (α-anomer) $\delta$ = 22.7 (NHAc), 52.1 (2-C), 64.8 (8-C), 69.5 (6-C), 69.6 (4-C), 69.9 (3-C), 70.0 (5-C), 71.8 (7-C), 93.0 (1-C), 174.0 (CO-NHAc); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_{10}$H$_{19}$NNaO$_8$ [M + Na]$^+$ 304.1008, found 304.1003.

2-acetamido-2-deoxy-D-erythro-L-galacto-octose (8c)

Peracetylated amino sugar 7c (51 mg, 0.10 mmol) was deacetylated according to method C in 5 ml of dry methanol; yield: 27 mg, (mixture of anomers, α/β = 1/1), (100%). $[\alpha]^D_{20} = -31.6^\circ$ (7.9, H$_2$O), $^1$H NMR (D$_2$O, 600 MHz, 25°C): (β-anomer) $\delta$ = 2.06 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 3.67 (dd, $^3$J$_{7,8a}$ = 6.7 Hz, $^2$J$_{8a,8b}$ = 12.0 Hz, 1 H, 8a-H), 3.70 (dd, $^3$J$_{4,5}$ = 1.1 Hz, $^3$J$_{5,6}$ = 5.3 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 3.72 (dd, $^3$J$_{3,4}$ = 3.3 Hz, $^3$J$_{2,3}$ = 10.8 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 3.78 (dd, $^3$J$_{7,8b}$ = 3.5 Hz, $^2$J$_{8a,8b}$ = 12.0 Hz, 1 H, 8b-H), 3.83 (ddd, $^3$J$_{7,8b}$ = 3.5 Hz, $^3$J$_{6,7}$ = 6.1 Hz, $^3$J$_{7,8a}$ = 6.7 Hz, 1 H, 7-H), 3.92 (dd, $^3$J$_{1,2}$ =
= 8.5 Hz, $^{3}J_{2,3} = 10.8$ Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 3.96 (dd, $^{3}J_{5,6} = 5.3$ Hz, $^{3}J_{6,7} = 6.1$ Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 4.06 (dd, $^{3}J_{4,5} = 1.1$ Hz, $^{3}J_{3,4} = 3.3$ Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 4.66 (d, $^{3}J_{1,2} = 8.5$ Hz, 1 H, 1-H), (α-anomer) δ = 2.06 (s, 3 H, NHAc) 3.67 (dd, $^{3}J_{7,8a} = 6.5$ Hz, $^{2}J_{8a,8b} = 11.9$ Hz, 1 H, 8a-H), 3.78 (dd, $^{3}J_{7,8b} = 3.5$ Hz, $^{3}J_{6,7} = 6.2$ Hz, 1 H, 7-H), 3.92 (dd, $^{3}J_{3,4} = 3.2$ Hz, $^{3}J_{2,3} = 10.9$ Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 3.94 (dd, $^{3}J_{5,6} = 5.0$ Hz, $^{3}J_{6,7} = 6.2$ Hz, 1 H, 6-H), 4.12 (dd, $^{3}J_{4,5} = 1.3$ Hz, $^{3}J_{3,4} = 3.2$ Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 4.14 (dd, $^{3}J_{4,5} = 1.3$ Hz, $^{3}J_{5,6} = 5.0$ Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 4.18 (dd, $^{3}J_{1,2} = 3.8$ Hz, $^{3}J_{2,3} = 10.9$ Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 5.28 (d, $^{3}J_{1,2} = 3.8$ Hz, 1 H, 1-H), $^{13}$C NMR (D$_2$O, 150 MHz, 25°C): (β-anomer) δ = 22.2 (NHAc), 53.5 (2-C), 61.8 (8-C), 69.4 (4-C), 70.1 (7-C), 71.2 (3-C), 72.4 (6-C), 73.2 (5-C), 95.5 (1-C), 175.0 (CO-NHAc), (α-anomer) δ = 22.0 (NHAc), 50.1 (2-C), 62.1 (8-C), 67.5 (3-C), 68.4 (5-C), 70.3 (4-C), 71.0 (7-C), 72.6 (6-C), 91.0 (1-C), 174.7 (CO-NHAc), HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_{10}$H$_{19}$NNaO$_8$ [M + Na]$^+$ 304.1008, found 304.1002.

(R,E)-methyl 4-(dibenzylamino)-5-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)pent-2-enoate (9a)

Oxalyl chloride (164 μl, 1.91 mmol) in dry DCM (20 ml) under argon was cooled to -78 °C and dry DMSO (163 μl, 2.29 mmol) was slowly added. The resulting solution was stirred at -78 °C for 5 min and then treated with (R)-2-(dibenzylamino)-3-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)propan-1-ol (500 mg, 1.28 mmol). After stirring at -78 °C for 1 h TEA (517 μl, 3.73 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and subsequently quenched with HCl 1% (20 ml). The layers were separated and the organic phase was washed with saturated NaHCO$_3$ solution (20 ml). The combined aqueous phases were extracted two times with DCM (20 ml) and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$ and filtered. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure the crude product was redissolved in dry DCM (20 ml) and treated with methyl 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate (853 mg, 2.55 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature and subsequently evaporated to dryness. Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with HE/EA = 4/1 afforded compound 9a as a yellow oil; yield: 500 mg (88%). $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz, 25°C): δ = 3.56 (m, 1 H, 4-H), 3.56 (m, 1 H, 4-H),...
3.60 (d, $^2J = 13.9$ Hz, 2 H, N-CH$_2$-Ph), 3.62 (dd, $^3J_{4,5a} = 6.8$ Hz, $^2J_{5a,5b} = 9.4$ Hz, 1 H, 5a-H), 3.74 (dd, $^3J_{4,5b} = 5.6$ Hz, $^2J_{5a,5b} = 9.4$ Hz, 1 H, 5b-H), 3.77 (s, 3 H, -COOCH$_3$), 3.77 (d, $^2J = 13.6$ Hz, 2 H, N-CH$_2$-Ph), 3.81 (s, 3 H, Ph-OCH$_3$), 4.41 (s, 2 H, O-CH$_2$-Ar), 6.03 (dd, $^3J_{2,3} = 15.8$ Hz, $^4J_{2,4} = 1.3$ Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 6.85-6.89 (m, 2 H, o-CH-Ar-PMB), 7.04 (dd, $^3J_{3,4} = 6.6$ Hz, $^3J_{2,3} = 15.8$ Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 7.19-7.38 (m, 12 H, CH-Ar), $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz, 25°C): δ = 51.7 (-COOCH$_3$), 54.7 (N-CH$_2$-Ph), 55.4 (Ar-OCH$_3$), 58.4 (4-C), 70.0 (5-C), 73.0 (O-CH$_2$-Ar), 114.0 (o-CH-Ar-PMB), 123.6 (2-C), 127.1, 128.5, 128.6, 129.4 (CH-Ar), 130.3 (Cq-Ar-OCH$_3$), 139.8 (Cq-Ar-NBn$_2$), 146.4 (3-C), 159.4 (Cq-Ar-OCH$_2$), 166.9 (1-C); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_{28}$H$_{31}$NNaO$_4$ [M + Na]$^+$ 468.2151, found 468.2156.

**(R,E)-methyl 5-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)-4-(dibenzylamino)pent-2-enoate (9b)**

![9b](image)

Compound 9b was prepared analogously to 9a from (S)-methyl 3-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)-2-(dibenzylamino)propanoate (1.40 g, 2.61 mmol). Flash column chromatography was performed eluting with HE/EA = 19/1; yield: 986 mg (67%). For spectroscopic data see Ref. 126.

**(R,E)-methyl 4-(dibenzylamino)-5-(pivaloyloxy)pent-2-enoate (9c)**

![9c](image)

A solution of compound 9b (905 mg, 1.61 mmol) in dry THF (8 ml) under argon was treated with TBAF (1 M in THF, 2.1 ml, 2.1 mmol) and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction was quenched by adding saturated NaCl solution (10 ml) and the layers were separated. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$ and filtered. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure the crude product was redissolved in a mixture of dry pyridine/DCM = 1/1 (20 ml) and a catalytic amount of DMAP was added. Subsequently PivCl (810 µl, 6.58 mmol) was added dropwise and the resulting solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched by the addition
of water (20 ml) and after separation of the layers the aqueous phase was extracted three
times with DCM (20 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄
and filtered. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure the crude product was
purified by flash column chromatography eluting with HE/EA = 2/1; yield: 540 mg (82 %).

\[ \text{\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 25°C)}: \delta = 1.19 (s, 9 H, 3 CH₃), 3.60 (d, 2J = 13.8 Hz, 2 H, N-CH₂-Ph), 3.62 (m, 1 H, 4-H), 3.78 (s, 3 H, -OCH₃), 3.82 (d, 2J = 13.9 Hz, 2 H, N-CH₂-Ph), 4.23 (dd, 3J₄,₅ₐ = 6.3 Hz, 2J₅ₐ,₅ₕ = 11.4 Hz, 1 H, 5ₐ-H), 4.34 (dd, 3J₄,₅ₕ = 6.6 Hz, 2J₅ₐ,₅ₕ = 11.4 Hz, 1 H, 5ₕ-H), 6.00 (dd, 3J₂,₃ = 15.9 Hz, 4J₂,₄ = 1.3 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 6.98 (dd, 3J₃,₄ = 7.3 Hz, 3J₂,₃ = 15.9 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 7.21-7.39 (m, 10 H, CH-Ar), \text{\textsuperscript{13}C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, 25°C)}: \delta = 27.3 (3 CCH₃), 38.9 (Cq-Piv), 51.8 (-OCH₃), 54.6 (N-CH₂-Ph), 57.9 (4-C), 63.3 (5-C), 124.4 (2-C), 127.3, 128.5, 128.6 (CH-Ar), 139.3 (Cq-Ar-NBn₂), 144.3 (3-C), 166.5 (1-C), 178.4 (C=O-Piv); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C₂₅H₃₂NO₄ [M + H]⁺ 410.2331, found 410.2307.

\((2S,3S)-3-((S)-1-(dibenzylamino)-2-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)ethyl)oxiran-2-yl)methanol (10)\)

Compound 9a (495 mg, 1.11 mmol) was treated according to method F with DIBAL (3.9 ml, 3.9 mmol) furnishing essentially pure allylic alcohol [yield: 458 mg (99%)] which was
subsequently epoxidized under the conditions developed by Sharpless et al. (-)-Diisopropyl
D-tartrate (128 mg, 0.55 mmol) and Ti(OiPr)₄ (114 μl, 0.38 mmol) were added to a
suspension of powdered molecular sieves 4 Å (300 mg) in dry DCM (20 ml) under argon and
cooled to -35 °C. After stirring for 30 min, the allylic alcohol (458 mg, 1.10 mmol) and
tBuOOH (5.5 M in nonane, 300 μl, 1.65 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was
stirred for 24 h at -30 °C. The reaction was quenched by the addition of tartaric acid (120 mg/ml, 10 ml) and FeSO₄.7H₂O (400 mg). After stirring for 90 min at room temperature, the
reaction mixture was diluted with DCM (20 ml), dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. After
removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the crude product was purified by flash
column chromatography, eluting with HE/EA = 4/1; yield: 252 mg (53%), 188 mg (41%) of
starting material recovered. \[ \text{\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 25°C)}: \delta = 1.60 (brs, 1 H, 5-OH), 2.86 (ddd, 3J₄,₅ₕ = 5.6 Hz, 3J₃,₄ = 6.8 Hz, 3J₄,₅ₐ = 6.9 Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 2.99 (ddd, 3J₁ₐ,₂ₐ = 2.5 Hz,
$^3$J$_{1b,2} = 4.1$ Hz, $^3$J$_{2,3} = 2.3$ Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 3.22 (dd, $^3$J$_{2,3} = 2.3$ Hz, $^3$J$_{3,4} = 6.8$ Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 3.58 (dd, $^3$J$_{4,5a} = 6.9$ Hz, $^2$J$_{5a,5b} = 9.7$ Hz, 1 H, 5a-H), 3.59 (m, 1 H, 1a-H), 3.66 (dd, $^3$J$_{4,5b} = 5.6$ Hz, $^2$J$_{5a,5b} = 9.7$ Hz, 1 H, 5b-H), 3.81 (s, 3 H, Ph-OCH$_3$), 3.83 (m, 1 H, 1b-H), 3.85, 3.86 (2s, 4 H, 2 N-CH$_2$-Ph), 4.38 (s, 2 H, O-CH$_2$-Ar), 6.85-6.89 (m, 2 H, o-CH-Ar-PMB), 7.18-7.39 (m, 12 H, CH-Ar), $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz, 25°C): δ = 55.4 (3-C, Ar-OCH$_3$), 55.6 (N-CH$_2$-Ph), 56.0 (2-C), 58.6 (4-C), 61.8 (1-C), 69.4 (5-C), 73.1 (O-CH$_2$-Ar), 114.0 (o-CH-Ar-PMB), 127.0, 128.3, 128.8, 129.3 (CH-Ar), 130.3 (Cq-Ar-OCH$_3$), 140.2 (Cq-Ar-NBn$_2$), 159.4 (Cq-Ar-OCH$_2$); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_{27}$H$_{32}$NO$_4$ [M + H]$^+$ 434.2331, found 434.2309.

(2R,3S)-methyl 3-((S)-2-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)-1-(dibenzylamino)ethyl)oxirane-2-carboxylate (11)

![Chemical Structure](image)

A flame dried, three-necked flask was charged with KOTBu (134 mg, 1.19 mmol) under argon and cooled to -78 °C. Dry ammonia was purged through the flask until condensation of ~20 ml of NH$_3$ was achieved. Compound 9b (560 mg, 0.99 mmol) in dry THF (2 ml) was added followed by tBuOOH (5.5 M in nonane, 217 μl, 1.19 mmol). The resulting brightly red colored solution was allowed to warm to -40 °C and stirred for 12 h. The ammonia was subsequently left to evaporate at 0 °C and the residue was redissolved in pH 7 phosphate buffer (20 ml) and extracted three times with ether (20 ml). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$ and filtered. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography, eluting with HE/EA = 4/1; yield: 68 mg (8%). $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz, 25°C): δ = 1.04 (s, 9 H, 3 CCH$_3$), 2.81 (ddd, $^3$J$_{4,5b} = 5.8$ Hz, $^3$J$_{3,4} = 5.8$ Hz, $^3$J$_{4,5a} = 6.4$ Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 3.28 (dd, $^3$J$_{2,3} = 2.1$ Hz, $^3$J$_{3,4} = 5.8$ Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 3.33 (d, $^3$J$_{2,3} = 2.1$ Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 3.77 (d, $^3$J = 13.8 Hz, 2 H, N-CH$_2$-Ph), 3.82 (dd, $^3$J$_{4,5a} = 6.4$ Hz, $^2$J$_{5a,5b} = 10.6$ Hz, 1 H, 5a-H), 3.86 (d, $^3$J = 13.6 Hz, 2 H, N-CH$_2$-Ph), 3.89 (dd, $^3$J$_{4,5b} = 5.8$ Hz, $^2$J$_{5a,5b} = 9.7$ Hz, 1 H, 5b-H), 3.81 (s, 3 H, Ph-OCH$_3$), 3.83 (m, 1 H, 1b-H), 5.35, 5.95 (2 brs, 2 H, -NH$_2$), 7.16-7.43, 7.58-7.66 (m, 20 H, CH-Ar), $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz, 25°C): δ = 19.3 (Cq-t-Bu), 27.0 (3 CCH$_3$), 52.7 (2-C), 55.5 (N-CH$_2$-Ph), 59.0 (3-C), 59.7 (4-C), 62.8 (5-C), 127.1, 128.0, 128.4, 128.8,
130.0, 135.8 (CH-Ar), 133.0, 133.2, 139.8 (Cq-Ar), 171.1 (1-C); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for 
C_{35}H_{40}N_{2}NaO_{3}Si [M + Na]^+ 587.2706, found 587.2695.

(S)-tert-butyl 4-((R)-1-(benzyloxy)-3-oxopropyl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine-3-
carboxylate (12)

L-Garner’s aldehyde (917 mg, 4.00 mmol) and freshly prepared Roush reagent (2.5 ml, see 
section 3.2) were added separately to two suspensions of powdered molecular sieves 4 Å 
(300 mg) in dry ether (15 ml) under argon and stirred vigorously for 30 min at room 
temperature. The suspension of the aldehyde was cooled to -78 °C and the pre-dried allyl-
borate was added drop-wise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at -78 °C and 
quenched by the addition of NaOH 1 M (20 ml). After stirring for 1 h at room temperature, 
the reaction mixture was filtered and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was 
extracted three times with EA (30 ml) and the combined organic extracts were washed with 
brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO_{4} and filtered. After removal of the solvent under reduced 
pressure the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography, eluting with 
HE/EA = 5/1; yield: 896 mg (82%), inseparable mixture of diastereomers, 73 mg (8%) of 
starting material recovered. The allylic alcohol obtained (896 mg, 3.28 mmol) was 
subsequently added to a suspension of NaH (197 mg, 4.92 mmol) in dry THF (20 ml) under 
argon at 0 °C. After stirring for 30 min, TBAI (242 mg, 0.66 mmol) and BnBr (1.2 ml, 10.10 
mmol) were added and the reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 16 h. The reaction was 
quenched by adding saturated ammonium chloride solution (20 ml). After separation of the 
layers, the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ether (20 ml) and the combined 
organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO_{4} and filtered. After removal of the solvent 
under reduced pressure the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography, 
eluting with HE/EA = 9/1; yield: 900 mg (76%), inseparable mixture of diastereomers, 125 
mg (14%) of starting material recovered. The benzylated olefin (900 mg, 2.49 mmol) in dry 
DCM (50 ml) was subsequently subjected to ozonolysis, quenching with PPh_{3} (784 mg, 2.99 
mmol) according to method A. Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed.
using HE/EA = 9/1 as eluent; yield: 643 mg (71%), (main diastereomer), 71 mg (8%), (minor diastereomer), (dr = 9/1). For spectroscopic data of compounds see Ref. 105.

(R)-tert-butyl 4-((1R,2S,E)-1-(benzyloxy)-5-ethoxy-2-fluoro-5-oxopent-3-en-1-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (13)

A solution of compound 12 (40 mg, 0.11 mmol) and the catalyst (7 mg, 0.02 mmol) in MTBE (3 ml) was stirred for 10 min at room temperature and subsequently cooled to -20 °C, whereupon NFSI (35 mg, 0.11 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at -20 to -15 °C for 40 h and subsequently diluted with dry DCM (3 ml) and treated with ethyl 2-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate (77 mg, 0.22 mmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 6 h, whereupon the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The crude residue was subjected to purification by silica gel chromatography using HE/EA = 9/1 as eluent; yield: 10 mg (20%). 1H NMR (CDCl3, 600 MHz, 25°C): δ = 1.30 (t, 3J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH2-CH3), 1.47, 1.49, 1.52, 1.54, 1.62 (5 s, 5 CCH3, cf), 3.89-4.04 (m, 2 H, 6-H, 7a-H), 4.11-4.25 (m, 2 H, 5-H, 7b-H), 4.21 (q, 3J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, O-CH2-CH3), 4.59-4.72 (m, cf, 2 H, O-CH2-Ph), 5.14-5.28 (m, 1 H, 4-H), 6.18 (d, 3J2,3 = 15.4 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 6.95-7.13 (m, 1 H, 3-H), 7.27-7.36 (m, 5 H, CH-Ar), 13C NMR (CDCl3, 150 MHz, 25°C): δ = 14.4 (CH3), 23.4, 25.2, 26.7, 27.1, 28.5, 28.7 (cf, 5 CCH3), 57.2, 57.6 (cf, 6-C), 60.7 (O-CH2-CH3), 64.1, 64.5 (cf, 7-C), 74.3, 74.5 (cf, O-CH2-Ph), 78.9 (cf, d, J4,F,5 = 20.3 Hz, 5-C), 80.9, 81.1 (cf, Cq-Boc), 92.6 (cf, d, J4,F,4 = 180.0 Hz, 4-C), 122.8 (cf, d, J4,F,2 = 8.9 Hz, 2-C), 128.1, 128.3, 128.6 (CH-Ar), 137.7 (Cq-Ar), 141.5, 141.7 (cf, 3-C), 165.8 (1-C), 19F NMR (CDCl3, 600 MHz, 25°C): δ = -192.93, -189.59 (cf); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C24H34FNNaO6 [M + Na]+ 474.2268, found 474.2277.
(4S,5R)-3-(2-fluoroacetyl)-4-methyl-5-phenyloxazolidin-2-one (14)

A stirred solution of (4S,5R)-4-methyl-5-phenyloxazolidin-2-one (14.52 g, 81.94 mmol) in 300 ml of dry tetrahydrofuran (THF) under an argon atmosphere was cooled to -78°C and BuLi 1.6 M in hexanes (53.8 ml, 86.08 mmol) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78°C for 30 min, and then fluoroacetyl chloride (6.3 ml, 90.10 mmol) was added dropwise. After additional stirring for 10 min the reaction mixture was warmed to 0°C and quenched by the addition of water. After separation of the phases the aqueous layer was extracted three times with Et2O (100 ml). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and filtered. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography eluting with hexanes (HE)/EA = 9/1; yield: 11.08 g (57%). [α]D20 = -23.5° (6.9, CH2Cl2); m.p. 87-89°C; 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz, 25°C): δ = 0.96 (d, 3JH,H = 6.72 Hz, 3 H, CH3), 4.80 (dq, 3JH,H = 7.08 Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 5.43 (dd, 2JH,H = 16.55 Hz, 2JF,H = 47.45 Hz, 1 H, 2a'-H), 5.47 (dd, 1 H, 2b'-H), 5.79 (d, 1 H, 5-H), 7.27-7.32 (m, 2 H, CH-Ar), 7.36-7.47 (m, 3 H, CH-Ar); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz, 25°C): δ = 14.54 (CH3), 54.45 (4-C), 79.03, 81.09 (2'-C), 80.67 (5-C), 125.63, 128.85, 129.10 (CH-Ar), 132.70 (Cq-Ar), 152.99 (2-C), 167.15, 167.36 (1'-C), 19F NMR (CDCl3, 600 MHz, 25°C): δ = -229.89; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C12H12FNNaO3 [M + Na]+ 260.0699, found 260.0709.

(S)-tert-butyl-4-((1S,2R)-2-fluoro-1-hydroxy-3-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (15)

(4S,5R)-3-(2-fluoroacetyl)-4-methyl-5-phenyloxazolidin-2-one (1.35 g, 5.67 mmol) in dry DCM (40 ml) was treated according to method D with TiCl4 (670 μl, 6.11 mmol), freshly distilled TMEDA (2.6 ml, 17.45 mmol) and the aldehyde (1 g, 4.36 mmol). Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using HE/E A = 3/1 as eluent; yield: (main
diastereomers, could not be separated at this stage) 1.24 g, (61%), (dr = 4/1), (minor diastereomers) 142 mg, (7%), (dr = 1/1). $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz, 25°C), (main diastereomer): $\delta = 0.98$ (d, $^3$J$_{4',CH3}$ = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, CH$_3$), 1.50, 1.52, 1.61 (3s, 15 H, 5 CCH$_3$), 4.03 (dd, $^3$J$_{4,5a}$ = 6.0 Hz, $^2$J$_{5a,5b}$ = 9.4 Hz, 1 H, 5a-H), 4.09-4.26 (m, 2 H, 3-H, 5b-H), 4.28-4.45 (m, 1 H, 4-H), 4.64 (d, $^3$J$_{3-OH,3}$ = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, OH), 4.77 (dq, $^3$J$_{4',5'}$ = 6.7 Hz, $^3$J$_{4',CH3}$ = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, 4'-H), 5.78 (d, $^3$J$_{4',5'}$ = 6.7 Hz, 1 H, 5'-H), 5.96 (d, $^2$J$_{2-F,2}$ = 48.8 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 7.27-7.46 (m, 5 H, CH-Ar), $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz, 25°C): $\delta = 14.2$ (4'-CH$_3$), 24.3, 27.3, 28.4 (5 CCH$_3$), 55.5 (4'-C), 58.3 (4-C), 64.4 (5-C), 73.9 (d, $^2$J$_{2-F,3}$ = 18.9 Hz, 3-C), 80.3 (5'-C), 81.8 (Cq-Boc), 89.4 (d, $^1$J$_{2,F,2}$ = 185.8 Hz, 2-C), 94.7 (Cq-Isoprop), 125.6, 128.8, 129.0 (CH-Ar), 132.6 (Cq-Ar), 152.9 (2'-C), 166.5 (d, $^2$J$_{2,F,1}$ = 24.1 Hz, 1-C), $^{19}$F NMR (CDCl$_3$, 565 MHz, 25°C): $\delta = -211.02$, HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_{23}$H$_{31}$FN$_2$NaO$_7$ [M + Na]$^+$ 489.2013, found 489.2012.

(S)-tert-butyl-4-((1R,2S)-2-fluoro-1-hydroxy-3-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (16)

(4S,5R)-3-(2-fluoroacetyl)-4-methyl-5-phenyloxazolidin-2-one (1.35 g, 5.67 mmol) in dry DCM (40 ml) was treated according to method D with TiCl$_4$ (670 $\mu$L, 6.11 mmol), freshly distilled TMEDA (2.6 ml, 17.45 mmol) and the aldehyde (1 g, 4.36 mmol). Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using HE/EA = 2/1 as eluent; yield: (main diastereomers) 1.18 g, (58%), (dr = 17/1), (minor diastereomers) 163 mg, (8%), (dr = 1/1). [a]$_{D}^{20} = +4.6^\circ$ (7.1, CH$_2$Cl$_2$); m.p. 87-90 °C; $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz, 25°C): $\delta = 0.97$ (d, $^3$J$_{4',CH3}$ = 6.6 Hz, 3 H, CH$_3$), 1.51, 1.64 (2s, 15 H, 5 CCH$_3$), 3.97-4.23 (m, 2 H, 3-H, 5a-H), 4.28-4.37 (m, 2 H, 4-H, 5b-H), 4.78 (dq, $^3$J$_{4',5'}$ = 7.1 Hz, $^3$J$_{4',CH3}$ = 6.6 Hz, 1 H, 4'-H), 4.90 (d, $^3$J$_{3-OH,3}$ = 9.2 Hz, 1 H, OH), 5.76 (d, $^3$J$_{4',5'}$ = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, 5'-H), 6.16 (d, $^2$J$_{2-F,2}$ = 47.8 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 7.27-7.31 (m, 2 H, CH-Ar), 7.34-7.46 (m, 3 H, CH-Ar), $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz, 25°C): $\delta = 14.2$ (4'-CH$_3$), 23.9, 26.5, 28.4 (5 CCH$_3$), 55.5 (4'-C), 60.1 (4-C), 64.6 (5-C), 72.9 (d, $^2$J$_{2,F,3}$ = 20.2 Hz, 3-C), 80.3 (5'-C), 81.6 (Cq-Boc), 89.1 (d, $^1$J$_{2,F,2}$ = 183.6 Hz, 2-C), 94.7 (Cq-Isoprop), 125.6, 128.8, 129.0 (CH-Ar), 132.7 (Cq-Ar), 152.9 (2'-C), 167.3 (1-C), $^{19}$F

4R,5R)-tert-butyl-4-((1R,2S)-2-fluoro-1-hydroxy-3-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-2,2,5-trimethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (17)

(4S,5R)-3-(2-fluoroacetyl)-4-methyl-5-phenyloxazolidin-2-one (1.92 g, 8.09 mmol) in dry DCM (60 ml) was treated according to method D with TiCl₄ (950 μl, 8.66 mmol), freshly distilled TMEDA (3.8 ml, 25.18 mmol) and the aldehyde (1.516 g, 6.23 mmol). Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using HE/EA = 4/1 as eluent; yield: (main diastereomers) 1.20 g, (40%), (dr = 5/1), (minor diastereomers) 120 mg, (4%), (dr = 1/1). [α]D₂₀ = -67.7° (8.2, CH₂Cl₂); m.p. 164-167 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, 25°C): δ = 0.98 (d, 3J₄',₄'-CH₃ = 6.8 Hz, 3 H, 4'-CH₃), 1.41 (d, 3J₅,₆ = 6.3 Hz, 3 H, 6-CH₃), 1.49, 1.52, 1.64 (3s, 15 H, 5 CCH₃), 4.12-4.27 (m, 2 H, 3-H, 4-H), 4.39 (dq, 3J₄,₅ = 3.1 Hz, 3J₅,₆ = 6.3 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 4.78 (dq, 3J₄',₄'-CH₃ = 6.8 Hz, 3J₄',₅' = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, 4'-H), 4.91 (brs, 1 H, OH), 5.79 (d, 3J₅,₆ = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, 5'-H), 5.92 (dd, 3J₂,₃ = 1.3 Hz, 2J₂,F₂ = 48.5 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 7.26-7.31 (m, 2 H, CH-Ar), 7.35-7.46 (m, 3 H, CH-Ar), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, 25°C): δ = 14.6 (4'-CH₃), 21.8 (6-C), 28.1, 28.7, 29.4 (5 CCH₃), 55.9 (4'-C), 64.7 (4-C), 73.2 (5-C), 74.9 (3-C), 80.8 (5'-C), 82.2 (Cq-Boc), 89.3 (d, 1J₁₂,F₂ = 184.3 Hz, 2-C), 95.0 (Cq-Isoprop), 126.0, 129.2 (CH-Ar), 133.0 (Cq-Ar), 153.4 (2'-C), 166.3 (d, 2J₁₂,F,₁ = 24.1 Hz, 1-C), ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 565 MHz, 25°C): δ = -209.80; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C₂₉H₃₃FN₂NaO₇ [M + Na]^+ 503.2170, found 503.2176.
(4S,5S)-tert-butyl 4-((1R,2S)-2-fluoro-1-hydroxy-3-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenylxazolidin-3-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-2,2,5-trimethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (18)

(4S,5R)-3-(2-fluoroacetyl)-4-methyl-5-phenylxazolidin-2-one (0.76 g, 3.20 mmol) in dry DCM (25 ml) was treated according to method D with TiCl$_4$ (400 μl, 3.65 mmol), freshly distilled TMEDA (1.5 ml, 9.94 mmol) and the aldehyde (0.60 g, 2.47 mmol). Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using HE/EA = 4/1 as eluent; yield: (main diastereomers) 624 mg, (53%), (dr = 16/1), (minor diastereomers) 27 mg, (2%), (dr = 2/1).

$[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -4.8^\circ$ (9.3, CH$_2$Cl$_2$); m.p. 70-73 °C; $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz, 25°C): δ = 0.97 (d, $^3$J$_{4',4''}$CH$_3$ = 6.6 Hz, 3 H, 4''-CH$_3$), 1.40 (d, $^3$J$_{5,6}$ = 6.3 Hz, 3 H, 6-CH$_3$), 1.50, 1.51, 1.61 (3s, 9 H, 5 CCH$_3$), 3.91 (dd, $^3$J$_{5,6}$ = 2.3 Hz, $^3$J$_{4,5}$ = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, H-4), 4.01-4.17 (m, 1 H, 3-H), 4.33-4.44 (m, 1 H, 5-H), 4.79 (d, $^3$J$_{4',4''}$CH$_3$ = 6.6 Hz, $^3$J$_{4',5'}$ = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, 4'-H), 5.78 (d, $^3$J$_{4',5'}$ = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, 5'-H), 6.08 (d, $^2$J$_{2-F,2}$ = 48.3 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 6.15 (brs, 1 H, OH), 7.27-7.31 (m, 2 H, CH-Ar), 7.36-7.45 (m, 3 H, CH-Ar), $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz, 25°C): δ = 14.2 (4''-CH$_3$), 19.5 (6-C), 26.4, 28.5, 28.6 (5 CCH$_3$), 55.7 (4'-C), 67.9 (4-C), 70.7 (d, $^2$J$_{2-F,3}$ = 18.5 Hz, 3-C), 71.9 (5-C), 80.5 (5'-C), 81.8 (Cq-Boc), 89.2 (d, $^1$J$_{2-F,2}$ = 187.9 Hz, 2-C), 94.7 (Cq-Isoprop), 125.7, 128.9, 129.1 (CH-Ar), 132.8 (Cq-Ar), 153.2 (2'-C), 167.2 (d, $^2$J$_{2-F,1}$ = 24.7 Hz, 1-C),$^{19}$F NMR (CDCl$_3$, 565 MHz, 25°C): δ = -210.78; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_{24}$H$_{33}$FN$_2$NaO$_7$ [M + Na]$^+$ 503.2170, found 503.2160.

(4S,5R)-tert-butyl 4-((1R,2S)-2-fluoro-1-hydroxy-3-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenylxazolidin-3-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-2,2,5-trimethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (19)

(4S,5R)-3-(2-fluoroacetyl)-4-methyl-5-phenylxazolidin-2-one (512 mg, 2.16 mmol) in dry DCM (20 ml) was treated according to method D with TiCl$_4$ (260 μl, 2.37 mmol), freshly
distilled TMEDA (1 ml, 6.63 mmol) and the aldehyde (404 mg, 1.66 mmol). Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using HE/EA = 4/1 as eluent; yield: (main diastereomers) 374 mg, (47%), (dr = 20/1). [α]D 20 = -7.9° (6.4, CH2Cl2); m.p. 80-83 °C; 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz, 25°C): δ = 0.98 (d, 3J4',4'-CH3 = 6.6 Hz, 3 H, 4'-CH3), 1.46 (d, 3J5,6 = 6.6 Hz, 3 H, 6-CH3), 1.51, 1.56, 1.66 (3s, 9 H, 5 CCH3), 4.09-4.22 (m, 2 H, 3-H, 4-H), 4.31-4.40 (m, 1 H, 5-H), 4.62 (brs, 1 H, OH), 4.77 (dq, 3J4',4'-CH3 = 6.6 Hz, 3J4',5' = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, 4'-H), 5.76 (d, 3J4',5' = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, 5'-H), 6.10 (d, 3J2-F,2 = 47.0 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 7.27-7.31 (m, 2 H, CH-Ar), 7.35-7.45 (m, 3 H, CH-Ar), 13C NMR (CDCl3, 150 MHz, 25°C): δ = 14.2 (4'-CH3), 15.0 (6-C), 24.6, 26.7, 28.4 (5 CCH3), 55.7 (4'-C), 62.8 (4-C), 70.1 (d, 3J2-F,3 = 19.4 Hz, 3-C), 72.0 (5-C), 80.2 (5'-C), 81.4 (Cq-Boc), 89.9 (d, 3J2-F,2 = 184.3 Hz, 2-C), 93.4 (Cq-Isoprop), 125.6, 128.8, 129.0 (CH-Ar), 132.7 (Cq-Ar), 152.59 (2'-C), 154.46 (C=O-Boc), 167.3 (d, 3J2-F,1 = 24.5 Hz, 1-C), 19F NMR (CDCl3, 565 MHz, 25°C): δ = -208.66; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C24H33FN2NaO7 [M + Na]+ 503.2170, found 503.2171.

(2S,3R,4S)-methyl-4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-2-fluoro-3,5-dihydroxypentanoate (20)

Fluorohydrin 15 (788 mg, 1.69 mmol) in dry MeOH (20 ml) was cooled to -40°C and treated according to method E with NaOMe (18 mg, 0.34 mmol) and then with acidic ion exchange resin for 4 h. Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using HE/EA = 1/1 as eluent; yield: (main diastereomer) 165 mg, (35%), (minor diastereomer) 33 mg, (7%). [α]D 20 = -6.1° (15.0, CH2Cl2); 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz, 25°C): δ = 1.43 (s, 9 H, 3 CH3), 3.24 (brs, 1 H, 5-OH), 3.72-3.90 (m, 3 H, 4-H, 5a-H, 5b-H), 3.82 (s, 3 H, OCH3), 4.30 (m, 1 H, 3-H), 5.06 (dd, 3J2,3 = 3.0 Hz, 3J2-F,2 = 48.0 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 5.36 (d, 3J4-NH,4 = 8.6 Hz, 1 H, NH), 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz, 25°C): δ = 28.7, 28.7 (3 CH3), 53.1 (OCH3), 53.7 (4-C), 63.3 (5-C), 70.7 (d, 3J2-F,3 = 19.1 Hz, 3-C), 80.7 (Cq-Boc), 89.6 (d, 3J2-F,2 = 188.9 Hz, 2-C), 157.0 (C=O-Boc), 168.6 (d, 3J2-F,1 = 24.6 Hz, 1-C), 19F NMR (CDCl3, 565 MHz, 25°C): δ = -206.97; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C11H20FNNaO6 [M + Na]+ 304.1172, found 304.1177.
(2S,3R,4R)-methyl-4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-2-fluoro-3,5-dihydroxypentanoate (21)

Fluorohydrin 16 (486 mg, 1.04 mmol) in dry MeOH (10 ml) was cooled to -25°C and treated according to method E with NaOMe (23 mg, 0.42 mmol) and then with acidic ion exchange resin for 16h. Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using HE/EA = 1/2 as eluent; yield: 121 mg (41%). \([\alpha]^{D}_{20} = -17.2^\circ (4.7, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2);\) m.p. 123-125 °C; \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR (CDCl}_3, 400 \text{MHz, 25°C):} \delta = 1.45 \text{ (s, 9 H, 3 CCH}_3\text{), 3.78-3.88 \text{ (m, 2 H, 4-H, 5a-H), 3.85 \text{ (s, 3 H, OCH}_3\text{), 4.06 \text{ (dd, } J_{5,5b} = 3.0 \text{ Hz, } J_{5a,5b} = 10.9 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 5b-H), 4.22 \text{ (m, 1 H, 3-H), 5.11 \text{ (dd, } J_{2,3} = 1.8 \text{ Hz, } J_{2-F,2} = 47.5 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 5.29 \text{ (bsrs, 1 H, NH), 13C NMR (CDCl}_3, 100 \text{ MHz, 25°C)}:}} \delta = 28.3 \text{ (3 CCH}_3\text{), 52.5 (4-C), 52.7 (OCH}_3\text{), 62.4 (5-C), 71.6 (d, } J_{2-F,3} = 19.8 \text{ Hz, 3-C), 80.4 (Cq-Boc), 89.0 \text{ (d, } J_{2-F,2} = 189.4 \text{ Hz, 2-C), 156.0 (C=O-Boc), 168.9 (d, } J_{2-F,1} = 25.5 \text{ Hz, 1-C), 19F NMR (CDCl}_3, 565 \text{ MHz, 25°C):} \delta = -208.49;\) HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C\text{11H}_{20}\text{FNNaO}_6 \text{[M + Na]}^+ 304.1172, found 304.1178.

(2S,3R,4S,5R)-methyl-4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-2-fluoro-3,5-dihydroxyhexanoate (22)

Fluorohydrin 17 (200 mg, 0.42 mmol) in dry MeOH (10 ml) was cooled to -30°C and treated according to method E with NaOMe (11 mg, 0.20 mmol) and then with acidic ion exchange resin for 2h. Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using T/EA = 2/1 as eluent; yield: 70 mg, (57%). \([\alpha]^{D}_{20} = -15.0^\circ (13.9, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2);\) \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR (CDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz, 25°C):} \delta = 1.23 \text{ (d, } J_{5,6} = 6.2 \text{ Hz, 3 H, 6-CH}_3\text{), 1.44 \text{ (s, 9 H, 3 CCH}_3\text{), 2.71 \text{ (bsrs, 1 H, 5-OH), 3.42 \text{ (bsrs, 1 H, 3-OH), 3.71 \text{ (m, 1 H, 4-H), 3.83 \text{ (s, 3 H, OCH}_3\text{), 4.14 \text{ (m, 1 H, 5-H), 4.29 \text{ (m, 1 H, 3-H), 5.05 \text{ (dd, } J_{2-F,2} = 47.8 \text{ Hz, } J_{2-F,3} = 3.0 \text{ Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 5.24 \text{ (d, } J_{4-NH,4} = 9.60 \text{ Hz, 1 H, NH), 13C NMR (CDCl}_3, 100 \text{ MHz, 25°C):} \delta = 20.6 \text{ (6-C), 28.5 (3 CCH}_3\text{), 52.9 (OCH}_3\text{), 55.8 (4-C), 69.6 (5-C), 73.4 \text{ (d, } J_{2-F,3} = 19.1 \text{ Hz, 3-C), 80.1 (Cq-Boc), 89.3 \text{ (d, } J_{2-F,2} = 188.9 \text{ Hz, 2-}\text{)}}\)
C), 157.3 (C=O-Boc), 168.3 (d, $^2J_{2-F, 1} = 23.8$ Hz, 1-C), $^{19}$F NMR (CDCl$_3$, 565 MHz, 25°C): $\delta$ = -207.49; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_{12}$H$_{22}$FN$_2$O$_6$ [M + Na]$^+$ 318.1329, found 318.1336.

(2S,3R,4R,5S)-methyl-4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-2-fluoro-3,5-dihydroxyhexanoate (23)

Fluorohydrin 18 (202 mg, 0.42 mmol) in dry MeOH (10 ml) was cooled to -30°C and treated according to method E with NaOMe (9 mg, 0.17 mmol) and then with acidic ion exchange resin for 2.5 h. Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using toluene (T)/EA = 2/1 as eluent; yield: 70 mg, (56%). [$\alpha$]$^D_{20} = -10.8^\circ$ (7.1, CH$_2$Cl$_2$); m.p. 138-140 °C; $^1$H NMR (MeOD, 600 MHz, 25°C): $\delta$ = 1.15 (d, cf, $^3J_{5,6} = 6.5$ Hz, 3 H, 6-CH$_3$), 1.45 (s, 9 H, 3 CCH$_3$), 3.62 (dd, $^3J_{4,5} = 1.5$ Hz, $^3J_{3,4} = 10.4$ Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 3.80 (s, 3 H, OCH$_3$), 4.04 (ddd, $^3J_{2,3} = 1.2$ Hz, $^3J_{3,4} = 10.4$ Hz, $^3J_{2-F,3} = 28.9$ Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 4.20 (dq, $^3J_{4,5} = 1.5$ Hz, $^3J_{5,6} = 6.5$ Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 5.06 (dd, cf, $^3J_{2,3} = 1.2$ Hz, $^2J_{2-F, 2} = 47.8$ Hz, 1 H, 2-H), $^{13}$C NMR (MeOD, 150 MHz, 25°C): $\delta$ = 20.4 (cf, 6-C), 28.7 (cf, 3 CCH$_3$), 52.7 (OCH$_3$), 56.4 (d, cf, $^3J_{2-F, 4} = 2.7$ Hz, 4-C), 65.7 (cf, 5-C), 71.9 (d, $^2J_{2-F, 3} = 19.3$ Hz, 3-C), 80.4 (Cq-Boc), 90.3 (d, $^1J_{2-F, 2} = 188.5$ Hz, 2-C), 158.2 (C=O-Boc), 170.9 (d, cf, $^2J_{2-F, 1} = 25.2$ Hz, 1-C), $^{19}$F NMR (MeOD, 565 MHz, 25°C): $\delta$ = -211.85 (cf); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_{12}$H$_{22}$FN$_2$O$_6$ [M + Na]$^+$ 318.1329, found 318.1327.

4-acetamido-1,3-di-O-acetyl-2,4-dideoxy-2-fluoro-D-xylose (24)

Ester 20 (88 mg, 0.31 mmol) in dry THF (7 ml) was treated according to method F with DIBAL (1.9 ml, 1.9 mmol). The crude amino sugar was then dissolved in dry DCM (5 ml) and treated with Ac$_2$O (60 µl, 0.63 mmol) for 1 h at room temperature. After evaporation of the solvent, purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using HE/EA = 1/4 as eluent; yield: 49 mg, (mixture of anomers: $\alpha/\beta = 1/7$), (56%). $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 600 MHz,
25°C): (α-anomer) δ = 1.93 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 2.13, 2.15 (2s, 6 H, 2 OAc), 3.50 (dd, 2J5a,5b = 11.4 Hz, 3J4,5a = 11.3 Hz, 1H, 5a-H), 3.91 (ddd, 4J = 1.5 Hz, 3J4,5b = 5.5 Hz, 2J5a,5b = 11.4 Hz, 1H, 5b-H), 4.18 (m, 1H, 4-H), 4.63 (ddd, 3J1,2 = 3.9 Hz, 3J2,3 = 9.3 Hz, 2J2-F,2 = 48.5 Hz, 1H, 2-H), 5.28 (ddd, 3J2,3 = 9.3 Hz, 3J3,4 = 10.4 Hz, 3J2-F,3 = 11.3 Hz, 1H, 3-H), 5.93 (dd, 3J4-NH,4 = 7.7 Hz, 1H, NH), 6.32 (dd, 3J2-F,1 = 1.3 Hz, 3J1,2 = 3.9 Hz, 1H, 1-H), (β-anomer) δ = 1.95 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 2.12, 2.14 (2s, 6H, 2OAc), 3.38 (dd, 3J4,5a = 8.4 Hz, 2J5a,5b = 11.8 Hz, 1H, 5a-H), 4.13 (dd, 3J4,5b = 4.7 Hz, 2J5a,5b = 11.8 Hz, 1H, 5b-H), 4.18 (m, 1H, 4-H), 4.43 (ddd, 3J1,2 = 6.2 Hz, 3J2,3 = 7.3 Hz, 2J2-F,2 = 48.7 Hz, 1H, 2-H), 5.08 (ddd, 3J2,3 = 7.3 Hz, 3J3,4 = 8.2 Hz, 3J2-F,3 = 12.6 Hz, 1H, 3-H), 5.78 (dd, 3J2-F,1 = 5.9 Hz, 3J1,2 = 6.2 Hz, 1H, 1-H), 6.08 (d, 3J4-NH,4 = 8.5 Hz, 1H, NH), 13C NMR (CDCl₃, 150 MHz, 25°C): (α-anomer) δ = 20.8, 20.9 (2OAc), 23.1 (NHAc), 49.5 (d, 3J2-F,4 = 5.8 Hz, 4-C), 62.0 (5-C), 70.3 (d, 3J2-F,3 = 18.9 Hz, 3-C), 86.2 (d, 2J2-F,2 = 193.0 Hz, 2-C), 89.0 (d, 3J2-F,1 = 22.7 Hz, 1-C), 168.9, 172.0 (2 CO-OAc), 170.3 (CO-NHAc), (β-anomer) δ = 20.8, 20.8 (2OAc), 23.1 (NHAc), 48.4 (d, 3J2-F,4 = 4.4 Hz, 4-C), 63.6 (5-C), 70.8 (d, 3J2-F,3 = 21.1 Hz, 3-C), 86.9 (d, 3J2-F,2 = 186.5 Hz, 2-C), 91.4 (d, 2J2-F,1 = 26.0 Hz, 1-C), 169.0, 171.0 (2 CO-OAc), 170.3 (CO-NHAc) 19F NMR (CDCl₃, 565 MHz, 25°C): δ = -201.08, -197.06; HRMS (ESI): calcld. for C₁₁H₁₆FNNaO₆ [M + Na]⁺ 300.0859, found 300.0845.

4-acetamido-1-O-acetyl-2,4-dideoxy-2-fluoro-D-arabinose (25)

Ester 21 (94 mg, 0.33 mmol) in dry THF (7 ml) was treated according to method F with DIBAL (2 ml, 2 mmol). Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using EA/MeOH = 99/1 as eluent; yield: 52 mg, (mixture of anomers: α/β = 1/1), (66%). 1H NMR (MeOD, 400 MHz, 25°C): (α-anomer) δ = 2.01 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 2.13 (s, 3 H, OAc), 3.66 (ddd, 3J5a,5b = 12.3 Hz, 3J4,5a = 3.9 Hz, 4J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, 5a-H), 3.92 (dd, 3J5a,5b = 12.3 Hz, 3J4,5b = 2.8 Hz, 1H, 5b-H), 4.19 (ddd, 3J2-F,3 = 10.7 Hz, 3J2,3 = 8.7 Hz, 3J3,4 = 4.6 Hz, 1H, 3-H), 4.33-4.38 (m, 1H, 4-H), 4.67 (ddd, 3J1,2 = 3.4 Hz, 3J2,3 = 8.7 Hz, 2J2-F,2 = 48.2 Hz, 1H, 2-H), 6.21 (dd, 3J1,2 = 3.4 Hz, 3J2-F,1 = 5.1 Hz, 1H, 1-H), (β-anomer) δ = 2.00 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 2.11 (s, 3 H, OAc), 3.62 (dd, 3J5a,5b = 11.6 Hz, 3J4,5a = 3.0 Hz, 1H, 5a-H), 3.87 (ddd, 4J = 0.8 Hz, 3J4,5b = 5.7 Hz, 3J5a,5b = 11.6 Hz, 1H, 5b-H), 4.05 (ddd, 3J2-F,3 = 11.8 Hz, 3J2,3 = 6.8 Hz, 3J3,4 =
4.6 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 4.25-4.30 (m, 1 H, 4-H), 4.51 (ddd, 3\textsuperscript{J}1,2 = 5.3 Hz, 3\textsuperscript{J}2,3 = 6.8 Hz, 2\textsuperscript{J}2-F,2 = 48.1 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 5.74 (ddd, 2\textsuperscript{J}1,2 = 5.3 Hz, 3\textsuperscript{J}2-F,1 = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (MeOD, 100 MHz, 25°C): (α-anomer) δ = 21.1 (OAc), 22.9 (NHAc), 51.3 (d, 3\textsuperscript{J}2-F,4 = 6.6 Hz, 4-C), 64.8 (5-C), 67.9 (d, 2\textsuperscript{J}2-F,3 = 20.5 Hz, 3-C), 89.2 (d, 1\textsuperscript{J}2-F,2 = 186.8 Hz, 2-C), 91.5 (d, 3\textsuperscript{J}2-F,1 = 20.7 Hz, 1-C), 171.3 (CO-OAc), 174.1 (CO-NHAc), (β-anomer) δ = 21.1 (OAc), 23.0 (NHAc), 50.0 (d, 3\textsuperscript{J}2-F,4 = 4.5 Hz, 4-C), 64.3 (5-C), 69.6 (d, 2\textsuperscript{J}2-F,3 = 22.2 Hz, 3-C), 90.5 (d, 1\textsuperscript{J}2-F,2 = 179.4 Hz, 2-C), 93.4 (d, 2\textsuperscript{J}2-F,1 = 29.0 Hz, 1-C), 171.3 (CO-OAc), 174.4 (CO-NHAc), \textsuperscript{19}F NMR (MeOD, 565 MHz, 25°C): δ = -201.19, -208.69; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C\textsubscript{9}H\textsubscript{14}FNNaO\textsubscript{5} [M + Na]\textsuperscript{+} 258.0754, found 258.0751.

4-acetamido-1,3-di-O-acetyl-2,4,6-trideoxy-2-fluoro-D-idose (26)

Ester 22 (66 mg, 0.22 mmol) in dry THF (6 ml) was treated according to method F with DIBAL (1.4 ml, 1.4 mmol). The crude amino sugar was then dissolved in dry DCM (5 ml) and treated with Ac\textsubscript{2}O (40 µl, 0.42 mmol) for 1 h at room temperature. After evaporation of the solvent, purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using HE/EA = 1/2 as eluent; yield: 33 mg, (mixture of anomers: α/β = 2/3), (53%). \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 600 MHz, 25°C): (α-anomer) δ = 1.19 (d, 3\textsuperscript{J}5,6 = 6.5 Hz, 3 H, 6-CH\textsubscript{3}), 2.04 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 2.11, 2.12 (2s, 6 H, 2 OAc), 4.15 (m, 1 H, 4-H), 4.43 (dq, 3\textsuperscript{J}4,5 = 2.1 Hz, 3\textsuperscript{J}5,6 = 6.5 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 4.45-4.47, 4.52-4.54 (m, 1 H, 2-H), 5.01-5.04 (m, 1 H, 3-H), 5.92 (d, 3\textsuperscript{J}4,NH,4 = 10.5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 6.15 (d, 2\textsuperscript{J}2-F,1 = 11.8 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), (β-anomer) δ = 1.24 (d, 3\textsuperscript{J}5,6 = 6.3 Hz, 3 H, 6-CH\textsubscript{3}), 2.03 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 2.13, 2.19 (2s, 6 H, 2 OAc), 4.15 (m, 1 H, 4-H), 4.23 (dq, 3\textsuperscript{J}4,5 = 2.3 Hz, 3\textsuperscript{J}5,6 = 6.3 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), 4.50-4.52, 4.58-4.60 (m, 1 H, 2-H), 5.19 (m, 1 H, 3-H), 5.91 (d, 3\textsuperscript{J}2-F,1 = 22.8 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), 6.01 (d, 3\textsuperscript{J}4,NH,4 = 9.2 Hz, 1 H, NH), \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 150 MHz, 25°C): (α-anomer) δ = 16.5 (6-C), 20.8, 21.0 (2 OAc), 23.3 (NHAc), 47.8 (4-C), 64.5 (5-C), 67.1 (d, 2\textsuperscript{J}2-F,3 = 27.7 Hz, 3-C), 83.2 (d, 1\textsuperscript{J}2-F,2 = 171.6 Hz, 2-C), 90.5 (d, 2\textsuperscript{J}2-F,1 = 35.3 Hz, 1-C), 168.6, 169.2 (2 CO-OAc), 170.2 (CO-NHAc), (β-anomer) δ = 16.5 (6-C), 20.9, 21.0 (2 OAc), 23.3 (NHAc), 47.9 (4-C), 68.8 (d, 2\textsuperscript{J}2-F,3 = 25.8 Hz, 3-C), 71.4 (5-C), 84.4 (d, 1\textsuperscript{J}2-F,2 = 184.9 Hz, 2-C), 90.4 (d, 2\textsuperscript{J}2-F,1 = 15.2 Hz, 1-C), 168.8, 168.9 (2 CO-OAc), 170.0 (CO-NHAc), \textsuperscript{19}F NMR
(CDCl₃, 565 MHz, 25°C): δ = -190.05, -208.98; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C₁₂H₁₈FNNaO₆ [M + Na]⁺ 314.1016, found 314.0998.

4-acetamido-1-O-acetyl-2,4,6-trideoxy-2-fluoro-L-galactose (27)

Ester 23 (69 mg, 0.23 mmol) in dry THF (5 ml) was treated according to method F with DIBAL (1.6 ml, 1.6 mmol). After the final work up, the crude product was stirred for 1 h at room temperature in methanolic solution. Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using EA/HE = 4/1 as eluent; yield: 27 mg, (mixture of anomers: α/β = 2/3), (47%). ¹H NMR (MeOD, 600 MHz, 25°C): (α-anomer) δ = 1.08 (d, ³J₅,₆ = 6.4 Hz, 3 H, 6-CH₃), 2.05 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 2.13 (s, 3 H, OAc), 4.21 (dd, ³J₃,₄ = 4.8 Hz, ³J₂,₂ = 10.2 Hz, ³J₂₋F,₂ = 12.7 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 4.22 (dq, ³J₄,₅ = 2.0 Hz, ³J₅,₆ = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 4.40 (m, 1 H, 4-H), 4.66 (dd, ³J₁₂ = 4.2 Hz, ³J₂₃ = 10.2 Hz, ²J₂₋F₂ = 48.6 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 6.28 (d, ³J₁₂ = 4.2 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), (β-anomer) δ = 1.13 (d, ³J₅,₆ = 6.4 Hz, 3 H, 6-CH₃), 2.05 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 2.12 (s, 3 H, OAc), 3.92 (dq, ³J₄,₅ = 1.7 Hz, ³J₅,₆ = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 4.03 (dd, ³J₃,₄ = 5.1 Hz, ³J₂₃ = 9.7 Hz, ³J₂₋F₂ = 14.9 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 4.33 (m, 1 H, 4-H), 4.45 (dd, ³J₁₂ = 8.0 Hz, ³J₂₃ = 9.7 Hz, ²J₂₋F₂ = 51.6 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 5.64 (dd, ³J₂₋F₁ = 4.4 Hz, ³J₁₂ = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), ¹³C NMR (MeOD, 150 MHz, 25°C): (α-anomer) δ = 16.7 (6-C), 20.7 (OAc), 22.5 (NHAc), 55.3 (d, ³J₂₋F₄ = 8.4 Hz, 4-C), 68.4 (d, ³J₂₋F₃ = 17.9 Hz, 3-C), 69.1 (5-C), 88.8 (d, ³J₂₋F₂ = 186.7 Hz, 2-C), 90.8 (1-C), 171.0 (CO-OAc), 174.7 (CO-NHAc), (β-anomer) δ = 16.6 (6-C), 20.7 (OAc), 22.4 (NHAc), 55.1 (d, ³J₂₋F₄ = 8.7 Hz, 4-C), 71.7 (d, ³J₂₋F₃ = 17.6 Hz, 3-C), 72.3 (5-C), 91.4 (d, ³J₂₋F₂ = 159.9 Hz, 2-C), 93.5 (d, ²J₂₋F₁ = 25.0 Hz, 1-C), 170.9 (CO-OAc), 174.7 (CO-NHAc), ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 565 MHz, 25°C): δ = -208.92, -210.42; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C₁₀H₁₆FNNaO₅ [M + Na]⁺ 272.0912, found 272.0908.
4-acetamido-2,4-dideoxy-2-fluoro-D-xylose (28)

Acetylated sugar 24 (32 mg, 0.12 mmol) in dry MeOH (3 ml) was treated according to method C; yield: 22 mg, (mixture of anomers: α/β = 2/3), (100%). [α]D 20 = -45.9° (8.5, H2O);

1H NMR (D2O, 600 MHz, 25°C): (α-anomer) δ = 1.94 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 3.59 (ddd, 4J = 1.8 Hz, 3J4,5a = 6.2 Hz, 2J5a,5b = 11.4 Hz, 1 H, 5a-H), 3.62 (dd, 3J4,5b = 10.1 Hz, 2J5a,5b = 11.4 Hz, 1 H, 5b-H), 3.86 (ddd, 3J4,5a = 6.2 Hz, 3J3,4 = 9.9 Hz, 3J4,5b = 10.1 Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 3.94 (ddd, 3J2,3 = 9.0 Hz, 3J3,4 = 9.9 Hz, 3J2,F,3 = 12.4 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 4.41 (ddd, 3J1,2 = 3.7 Hz, 3J2,3 = 9.0 Hz, 2J2,F,2 = 48.9 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 5.35 (d, 3J1,2 = 3.7 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), (β-anomer) δ = 1.94 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 3.26 (dd, 3J4,5a = 10.5 Hz, 2J5a,5b = 11.4 Hz, 1 H, 5a-H), 3.78 (ddd, 3J2,3 = 8.8 Hz, 3J3,4 = 10.0 Hz, 3J2,F,3 = 14.3 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 3.83 (dd, 3J4,5b = 5.2 Hz, 2J5a,5b = 11.4 Hz, 1 H, 5b-H), 3.87 (ddd, 3J4,5b = 5.2 Hz, 3J3,4 = 10.0 Hz, 3J4,5a = 10.5 Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 4.08 (ddd, 3J1,2 = 7.8 Hz, 3J2,3 = 8.8 Hz, 2J2,F,2 = 51.0 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 4.75 (dd, 3J2,F,1 = 2.8 Hz, 3J1,2 = 7.8 Hz, 1 H, 1-H),

13C NMR (D2O, 150 MHz, 25°C): (α-anomer) δ = 21.9 (NHAc), 50.5 (d, 3J2,F,4 = 7.3 Hz, 4-C), 59.1 (5-C), 68.4 (d, 3J2,F,3 = 18.7 Hz, 3-C), 90.0 (d, 3J2,F,1 = 21.1 Hz, 1-C), 90.6 (d, 3J2,F,2 = 184.9 Hz, 2-C), 174.6 (CO-NHAc), (β-anomer) δ = 21.9 (NHAc), 50.7 (d, 3J2,F,4 = 7.9 Hz, 4-C), 63.3 (5-C), 71.5 (d, 3J2,F,3 = 18.4 Hz, 3-C), 93.3 (d, 3J2,F,2 = 182.6 Hz, 2-C), 94.2 (d, 3J2,F,1 = 23.3 Hz, 1-C), 174.7 (CO-NHAc), 19F NMR (CDCl3, 565 MHz, 25°C): δ = -199.71, -199.04; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C7H12FNNaO4 [M + Na]+ 216.0648, found 216.0636.

4-acetamido-2,4-dideoxy-2-fluoro-D-arabinose (29)

Acetylated sugar 25 (52 mg, 0.22 mmol) in dry MeOH (5 ml) was treated according to method C. Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using DCM/MeOH = 9/1 as eluent; yield: 38 mg, (mixture of anomers: α/β = 1/2), (89%). [α]D 28 = -66.8° (14.0, H2O);

1H NMR (D2O, 600 MHz, 25°C): (α-anomer) δ = 2.06 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 3.42 (ddd, 4J = 1.8 Hz, 3J4,5a = 4.5 Hz, 2J5a,5b = 12.2 Hz, 1 H, 5a-H), 4.06 (dd, 3J4,5b = 3.0 Hz, 2J5a,5b = 12.2 Hz, 1 H, 5b-H), 4.29 (ddd, 3J3,4 = 4.4 Hz, 3J2,3 = 8.4 Hz, 3J2,F,3 = 10.4 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 4.35 (m, 1 H, 4-H), 4.62 (ddd, 3J1,2 = 3.1 Hz, 3J2,3 = 8.4 Hz, 2J2,F,2 = 48.2 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 5.39 (dd, 3J1,2 = 3.1 Hz,
$^3J_{2-F,1} = 6.3$ Hz, $1$ H, $1$-H), ($\beta$-anomer) $\delta = 2.08$ (s, $3$ H, NHAc), $3.75$ (dd, $^3J_{4,5a} = 2.2$ Hz, $^2J_{5a,5b} = 12.7$ Hz, $1$ H, $5b$-H), $4.15$ (ddd, $^3J_{3,4} = 4.9$ Hz, $^3J_{2,3} = 9.2$ Hz, $^3J_{2-F,3} = 14.0$ Hz, $1$ H, $3$-H), $4.32$ (ddd, $^3J_{1,2} = 7.2$ Hz, $^3J_{2,3} = 9.2$ Hz, $^2J_{2-F,2} = 50.5$ Hz, $1$ H, $2$-H), $4.34$ (m, $1$ H, $4$-H), $4.84$ (dd, $^3J_{2-F,1} = 3.9$ Hz, $^3J_{1,2} = 7.2$ Hz, $1$ H, $1$-H), $^{13}$C NMR (D$_2$O, $150$ MHz, $25^\circ$C): ($\alpha$-anomer) $\delta = 21.9$ (CH$_3$), $49.1$ (d, $^3J_{2-F,4} = 8.2$ Hz, $4$-C), $60.9$ (5-C), $65.9$ (d, $^3J_{2-F,3} = 18.8$ Hz, $3$-C), $88.7$ (d, $^1J_{2-F,2} = 185.1$ Hz, $2$-C), $90.3$ (d, $^2J_{2-F,1} = 20.1$ Hz, $1$-C), $174.6$ (CO), ($\beta$-anomer) $\delta = 21.9$ (CH$_3$), $50.1$ (d, $^3J_{2-F,4} = 8.2$ Hz, $4$-C), $64.5$ (5-C), $69.4$ (d, $^2J_{2-F,3} = 17.2$ Hz, $3$-C), $91.9$ (d, $^1J_{2-F,2} = 177.1$ Hz, $2$-C), $94.2$ (d, $^2J_{2-F,1} = 24.1$ Hz, $1$-C), $174.7$ (CO), $^{19}$F NMR (CDCl$_3$, $565$ MHz, $25^\circ$C): $\delta = -207.10$, $-203.18$; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_7$H$_{12}$FNNaO$_4$ [M + Na]$^+$ 216.0648, found 216.0644.

4-acetamido-2,4,6-trideoxy-2-fluoro-D-idose (30)

Acetylated sugar 26 (19 mg, $0.07$ mmol) in dry MeOH (2 ml) was treated according to method C; yield: $13$ mg, (mixture of anomers: $\alpha/\beta = 1/2$), (97%). [$\alpha$]$^D_{20} = +18.3^\circ$ (5.9, H$_2$O); $^1$H NMR (D$_2$O, $600$ MHz, $25^\circ$C): ($\alpha$-anomer) $\delta = 1.19$ (d, $^3J_{5,6} = 6.9$ Hz, $3$ H, $6$-CH$_3$), $2.02$ (s, $3$ H, NHAc), $3.95$ (dd, $^3J_{4,5} = 4.4$ Hz, $^3J_{3,4} = 7.3$ Hz, $1$ H, $4$-H), $4.02$ (ddd, $^3J_{2,3} = 6.4$ Hz, $^3J_{3,4} = 7.3$ Hz, $^3J_{2-F,3} = 12.1$ Hz, $1$ H, $3$-H), $4.29$ (ddd, $^3J_{1,2} = 5.0$ Hz, $^3J_{2,3} = 6.4$ Hz, $^2J_{2-F,2} = 48.7$ Hz, $1$ H, $2$-H), $4.40$ (dq, $^3J_{4,5} = 4.4$ Hz, $^3J_{5,6} = 6.9$ Hz, $1$ H, $5$-H), $5.17$ (dd, $^3J_{1,2} = 5.0$ Hz, $^3J_{2-F,1} = 8.1$ Hz, $1$ H, $1$-H), ($\beta$-anomer) $\delta = 1.17$ (d, $^3J_{5,6} = 6.6$ Hz, $3$ H, $6$-CH$_3$), $2.04$ (s, $3$ H, NHAc), $3.82$ (ddd, $^4J = 0.6$ Hz, $^4J_{2-F,4} = 0.9$ Hz, $^3J_{4,5} = 2.4$ Hz, $^3J_{3,4} = 3.0$ Hz, $1$ H, $4$-H), $4.14$ (ddd, $^3J_{3,4} = 3.0$ Hz, $^3J_{3,4} = 3.4$ Hz, $^3J_{2-F,3} = 6.7$ Hz, $1$ H, $3$-H), $4.24$ (dq, $^3J_{4,5} = 2.4$ Hz, $^3J_{5,6} = 6.6$ Hz, $1$ H, $5$-H), $4.45$ (ddd, $^3J_{1,2} = 1.0$ Hz, $^4J = 1.0$ Hz, $^3J_{2,3} = 3.4$ Hz, $^2J_{2-F,2} = 46.0$ Hz, $1$ H, $2$-H), $5.09$ (ddd, $^4J = 0.5$ Hz, $^3J_{1,2} = 1.0$ Hz, $^3J_{2-F,1} = 23.2$ Hz, $1$ H, $1$-H), $^{13}$C NMR (D$_2$O, $150$ MHz, $25^\circ$C): ($\alpha$-anomer) $\delta = 13.9$ (6-C), $21.7$ (NHAc), $52.0$ (d, $^3J_{2-F,4} = 3.9$ Hz, $4$-C), $65.5$ (5-C), $67.2$ (d, $^2J_{2-F,3} = 21.5$ Hz, $3$-C), $90.3$ (d, $^3J_{2-F,1} = 28.6$ Hz, $1$-C), $90.9$ (d, $^1J_{2-F,2} = 177.1$ Hz, $2$-C), $174.4$ (CO-NHAc), ($\beta$-anomer) $\delta = 15.8$ (6-C), $21.7$ (NHAc), $50.4$ (4-C), $67.3$ (d, $^2J_{2-F,3} = 24.0$ Hz, $3$-C), $68.9$ (5-C), $87.8$ (d, $^1J_{2-F,2} = 179.9$ Hz, $2$-C), $91.2$ (d, $^2J_{2-F,1} = 15.9$ Hz, $1$-C), $174.3$ (CO-NHAc), $^{19}$F NMR (D$_2$O, $565$ MHz, $25^\circ$C): $\delta = -194.82$, $-211.86$; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_8$H$_{14}$FNNaO$_4$ [M + Na]$^+$ 230.0805, found 230.0796.
4-acetamido-2,4,6-trideoxy-2-fluoro-D-galactose (31)

Acetylated sugar 27 (20 mg, 0.08 mmol) in dry MeOH (ml) was treated according to method C; yield: 16 mg, (mixture of anomers: α/β = 1/2), (96%), [α]D20 = -66.7° (4.7, H2O); 1H NMR (D2O, 600 MHz, 25°C): (α-anomer) δ = 1.11 (d, 3J5,6 = 6.5 Hz, 3 H, 6-CH3), 2.11 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 4.30 (ddd, 3J3,4 = 4.7 Hz, 3J2,3 = 10.3 Hz, 3J2,F,3 = 13.2 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 4.35 (m, 1 H, 4-H), 4.42 (dq, 3J4,5 = 1.7 Hz, 3J5,6 = 6.5 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 4.58 (ddd, 3J1,2 = 4.1 Hz, 3J2,3 = 10.3 Hz, 2J2,F,2 = 49.0 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 5.44 (d, 3J1,2 = 4.1 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), (β-anomer) δ = 1.16 (d, 3J5,6 = 6.4 Hz, 3 H, 6-CH3), 2.12 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 3.99 (dq, 3J4,5 = 1.6 Hz, 3J5,6 = 6.4 Hz, 1 H, 5-H), 4.14 (ddd, 3J3,4 = 4.9 Hz, 3J2,3 = 9.8 Hz, 3J2,F,3 = 14.8 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 4.24 (ddd, 3J1,2 = 7.8 Hz, 3J2,3 = 9.8 Hz, 2J2,F,2 = 51.0 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 4.31 (m, 1 H, 4-H), 4.86 (dd, 3J2,F,1 = 3.6 Hz, 3J1,2 = 7.8 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), 13C NMR (D2O, 150 MHz, 25°C): (α-anomer) δ = 15.4 (6-C), 21.8 (NHAc), 54.3 (d, 3J2,F,4 = 8.3 Hz, 4-C), 65.0 (5-C), 66.7 (d, 3J2,F,3 = 17.9 Hz, 3-C), 88.8 (d, 1J2,F,2 = 183.3 Hz, 2-C), 89.8 (d, 2J2,F,1 = 21.1 Hz, 1-C), 175.5 (CO-NHAc), (β-anomer) δ = 15.4 (6-C), 21.8 (NHAc), 54.0 (d, 3J2,F,4 = 8.8 Hz, 4-C), 70.1 (d, 3J2,F,3 = 17.5 Hz, 3-C), 70.1 (5-C), 92.2 (d, 1J2,F,2 = 180.6 Hz, 2-C), 93.9 (d, 2J2,F,1 = 23.6 Hz, 1-C), 175.5 (CO-NHAc), 19F NMR (D2O, 565 MHz, 25°C): δ = -206.13, -206.34; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C8H14FNNaO4 [M + Na]+ 230.0805, found 230.0804.

(S)-tert-butyl 4-((1R,2S)-2-fluoro-1-hydroxy-3-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-2,2-dimethylthiazolidine-3-carboxylate (32)

(4S,5R)-3-(2-fluoroacetyl)-4-methyl-5-phenyloxazolidin-2-one (663 mg, 2.79 mmol) in dry DCM (20 ml) was treated according to method D with TiCl4 (330 μl, 3.01 mmol), freshly distilled TMEDA (1.3 ml, 8.59 mmol) and the aldehyde (527 mg, 2.15 mmol). Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using EA/HE = 1/3 as eluent; yield: (main diastereomer) 509 mg, (49%), (minor diastereomers) 117 mg, (11%), 43 mg, (4%), (dr = 7/5).
(R)-tert-butyl 4-((1R,2S)-2-fluoro-1-hydroxy-3-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-2,2-dimethylthiazolidine-3-carboxylate (33)

(4S,5R)-3-(2-fluoroacetyl)-4-methyl-5-phenyloxazolidin-2-one (1.63 g, 6.89 mmol) in dry DCM (50 ml) was treated according to method D with TiCl$_4$ (810 μl, 7.42 mmol), freshly distilled TMEDA (3.2 ml, 21.20 mmol) and the aldehyde (1.3 g, 5.30 mmol). Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using EA/HE = 1/3 as eluent; yield: (main diastereomer) 1.51 g, (59%), (minor diastereomers) 0.23 g, (9%), (dr = 3/2/1). [α]$_D^{20}$ = -112.3° (8.0, CH$_2$Cl$_2$); m.p. 177-180 °C; $^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 25 °C): δ = 0.99 (d, $^3$J$_{4',4'}$-CH$_3$ = 6.6 Hz, 3 H, 4'-CH$_3$), 1.50, 1.79, 1.81 (3 s, 15 H, 5 CCH$_3$), 2.95 (d, $^2$J$_{5a,5b}$ = 12.4 Hz, 1 H, 5a-H), 3.25 (dd, $^3$J$_{4,4'}$ = 5.8 Hz, $^2$J$_{5a,5b}$ = 12.4 Hz, 1 H, 5b-H), 4.17-4.46 (m, 2 H, 3-H, 3-OH), 4.77 (dq, $^3$J$_{4',4'}$-CH$_3$ = 6.6 Hz, $^3$J$_{4',5'}$ = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, 4'-H), 4.81-4.95 (m, 1 H, 4-H), 5.80 (d, $^3$J$_{4',5'}$ = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, 5'-H), 5.98 (d, $^2$J$_{2,2'}$ = 48.7 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 7.27-7.31 (m, 2 H, CH-Ar), 7.36-7.46 (m, 3 H, CH-Ar), $^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 25 °C): δ = 14.3 (4'-CH$_3$), 28.5, 30.8 (5 CCH$_3$), 29.2 (5-C), 55.7 (4'-C), 64.2 (4-C), 74.0 (3-C), 80.5 (5'-C), 82.0 (Cq-Boc), 89.8 (d, $^1$J$_{2,2'}$ = 184.6 Hz, 2-C), 125.7, 128.9, 129.1 (CH-Ar), 132.7 (Cq-Ar), 153.2 (2'-C), 166.8 ($^2$J$_{2,2'}$ = 23.8 Hz, 1-C), $^{19}$F NMR (CDCl$_3$, 565 MHz, 25°C): (cf) δ = -211.69, -212.29; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_{23}$H$_{31}$FN$_2$NaO$_6$S [M + Na]$^+$ 505.1785, found 505.1793.
(S)-tert-butyl 4-((1R,2R)-2-fluoro-1,3-dihydroxypropyl)-2,2-dimethylthiazolidine-3-carboxylate (34)

Fluoro-hydrin 32 (420 mg, 0.87 mmol) was treated according to method G with LiBH₄ (28 mg, 1.31 mmol) and dry MeOH (50 μl, 1.23 mmol) in dry THF (25 ml). Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using EA/HE = 2/3 as eluent; yield: 254 mg (94%) as a white crystalline solid. \([\alpha]_D^{20} = +26.1^\circ\) (6.1, CH₂Cl₂); m.p. 113-115 °C; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 1.48, 1.78, 1.79 (3 s, 15 H, 5 CCH₃), 3.16-3.23 (m, 2 H, 5a-H, 5b-H), 2.53 (brs, 1 H, 1-OH), 3.58 (brs, 1 H, 3-OH), 3.85-3.94 (m, 1 H, 1a-H), 3.99 (ddd, \(^3\)J₁b,₂ = 5.2 Hz, \(^2\)J₁a,₁b = 12.5 Hz, \(^3\)J₂,F,₁b = 23.2 Hz, 1 H, 1b-H), 4.10-4.19 (m, 1 H, 3-H), 4.56 (m, 1 H, 4-H), 4.63 (d, \(^2\)J₂,F,₂ = 48.0 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), \(^1\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 28.5, 29.2 (5 CCH₃), 63.7 (d, \(^3\)J₂,F,₁ = 23.8 Hz, 1-C), 65.6 (4-C), 73.2 (d, \(^2\)J₂,F,₁ = 17.0 Hz, 3-C), 81.4 (Cq-Boc), 92.6 (d, \(^1\)J₂,F,₁ = 177.9 Hz, 2-C), \(^1\)F NMR (CDCl₃, 565 MHz, 25°C): δ = -208.17; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C₁₃H₂₄FNNaO₄S [M + Na]⁺ 332.1308, found 332.1301.

(R)-tert-butyl 4-((1R,2R)-2-fluoro-1,3-dihydroxypropyl)-2,2-dimethylthiazolidine-3-carboxylate (35)

Fluoro-hydrin 33 (1.51 g, 3.13 mmol) was treated according to method G with LiBH₄ (102 mg, 4.69 mmol) and dry MeOH (190 μl, 4.68 mmol) in dry THF (100 ml). Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using EA/HE = 2/3 as eluent; yield: 919 mg (95%) as a colorless oil. \([\alpha]_D^{20} = -54.3^\circ\) (23.0, CH₂Cl₂); \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 1.48, 1.76, 1.79 (3 s, 15 H, 5 CCH₃), 2.65 (d, \(^2\)J₅ₐ,₅₅b = 12.5 Hz, 1 H, 5a-H), 2.80 (brs, 1 H, 1-OH), 3.22 (ddd, \(^4\)J₅ₐ,₅₆ = 1.8 Hz, \(^3\)J₄₆,₅₆ = 6.0 Hz, \(^2\)J₅₆,₅₅b = 12.5 Hz, 1 H, 5b-H), 3.82-3.92 (m, 1 H, 1a-H), 3.96-4.08 (m, 2 H, 1b-H, 3-H), 4.31 (brs, 1 H, 3-OH), 4.50-4.54, 4.58-4.62 (m, 1 H, 2-H), 4.72-4.85 (m, 1 H, 4-H), \(^1\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 28.5, 29.2, 30.8 (5 CCH₃), 63.0 (d, \(^2\)J₂,F,₁ = 23.5 Hz, 1-C), 64.8 (4-C), 74.5 (3-C), 82.1 (Cq-Boc),
92.7 (d, $^1J_{2-F,2} = 177.7$ Hz, 2-C), $^{19}$F NMR (CDCl$_3$, 565 MHz, 25°C): $\delta = -210.13$; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_{13}$H$_{24}$FN$_2$NaO$_4$S $[M + Na]^+$ 332.1308, found 332.1310.

(2R,3R,4R)-4-((2,4-dinitrophenyl)amino)-5-((2,4-dinitrophenyl)thio)-2-fluoropentane-1,3-diol (36)

Compound 15 (321 mg, 1.04 mmol) was deprotected under acidic conditions, according to method H and subsequently dissolved in MeOH (12 ml) and treated with TEA (580 μl, 4.18 mmol), followed by Sanger’s reagent (260 μl, 2.07 mmol). The resulting bright yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 18 h and subsequently evaporated to dryness. Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using EA/HE = 2/1 as eluent; yield: 403 mg (78%). $^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, MeOD, 25 °C): $\delta = 3.62$ (dd, $^3J_{1a,2} = 5.8$ Hz, $^2J_{1a,1b} = 13.9$ Hz, 1 H, 1a-H), 3.69 (ddd, $^3J_{4,F,5a} = 5.2$ Hz, $^2J_{5a,5b} = 12.6$ Hz, $^3J_{4,F,5a} = 23.6$ Hz, 1 H, 5a-H), 3.71 (dd, $^3J_{1b,2} = 7.8$ Hz, $^2J_{1a,1b} = 13.9$ Hz, 1 H, 1b-H), 3.77 (ddd, $^3J_{4,F,5b} = 3.9$ Hz, $^2J_{5a,5b} = 12.6$ Hz, $^3J_{4,F,5b} = 22.7$ Hz, 1 H, 5b-H), 4.19 (ddd, $^3J_{2,3} = 2.3$ Hz, $^3J_{3,4} = 5.3$ Hz, $^3J_{4,F,3} = 17.9$ Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 4.51 (ddd, $^3J_{2,3} = 2.3$ Hz, $^3J_{1a,2} = 5.8$ Hz, $^3J_{1b,2} = 7.8$ Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 4.52 (dddd, $^3J_{4,F,5b} = 3.9$ Hz, $^3J_{4,F,5a} = 5.2$ Hz, $^3J_{3,4} = 5.3$ Hz, $^2J_{4,F,3} = 47.7$ Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 7.20 (d, $^3J = 9.7$ Hz, 1 H, CH-Ar), 7.99 (d, $^3J = 9.0$ Hz, 1 H, CH-Ar), 8.18 (dd, $^4J = 2.7$ Hz, $^3J = 9.7$ Hz, 1 H, CH-Ar), 8.39 (dd, $^4J = 2.5$ Hz, $^3J = 9.0$ Hz, 1 H, CH-Ar), 8.80 (d, $^4J = 2.5$ Hz, 1 H, CH-Ar), 8.91 (d, $^4J = 2.7$ Hz, 1 H, CH-Ar), $^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, MeOD, 25 °C): $\delta = 36.4$ (1-C), 54.7 (d, $^3J_{4,F,2} = 5.6$ Hz, 2-C), 62.2 (d, $^3J_{4,F,3} = 24.0$ Hz, 5-C), 71.5 (d, $^2J_{4,F,3} = 20.9$ Hz, 3-C), 95.9 (d, $^1J_{4,F,4} = 175.0$ Hz, 4-C), 116.2, 122.0, 124.5, 128.2, 130.0, 131.0 (CH-Ar), 131.7, 137.5, 145.4, 145.8, 147.6, 149.1 (Cq-Ar), $^{19}$F NMR (MeOD, 565 MHz, 25°C): $\delta = -201.53$; HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C$_{17}$H$_{16}$FN$_5$NaO$_{10}$S $[M + Na]^+$ 524.0500, found 524.0488.
2-acetamido-2,4-dideoxy-4-fluoro-D-lyxose (40)

Thiazolidine 34 (102 mg, 0.33 mmol) was treated according to method H with TPP (2 mg, 0.003 mmol) in MTBE (5 ml) and quenched with PPh₃ (138 mg, 0.50 mmol); yield: 24 mg (22%), 67 mg (66%) of starting material recovered. Hydroxy-thiazolidine 38 (32 mg, 0.10 mmol) was then treated with 3 M HCl/EA = 1/1 (3 ml) followed by TEA (20 μl, 0.14 mmol) and Ac₂O (9 μl, 0.10 mmol) in dry MeCN (2 ml); yield: 11 mg (58%). [α]D₂₀ = +13.5° (4.0, H₂O); ¹H-NMR (600 MHz, D₂O, 25 °C): (α/β = 3/1), (α-anomer) δ = 2.06 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 4.01 (dd, ³J₁,₅₅ = 1.9 Hz, ²J₅₅,₅₆ = 13.5 Hz, ³J₄₋₅₆,₅₅ = 32.9 Hz, 1 H, 5a-H), 4.03 (dd, ³J₂,₃ = 3.4 Hz, ²J₁,₂ = 7.7 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 4.05 (ddddd, ⁴J = 1.1 Hz, ³J₂,₃ = 3.4 Hz, ³J₃,₄ = 4.8 Hz, ³J₄₋₅₆ = 11.0 Hz, ³J₅₅,₅₆ = 14.9 Hz, 1 H, 5b-H), 4.22 (ddddd, ⁴J = 1.1 Hz, ³J₂,₃ = 3.4 Hz, ³J₃,₄ = 4.8 Hz, ³J₄₋₅₆ = 11.0 Hz, ³J₅₅,₅₆ = 14.9 Hz, 1 H, 5b-H), 4.65 (ddddd, ³J₅₅,₅₆ = 1.9 Hz, ³J₄₋₅₆ = 3.1 Hz, ³J₃,₄ = 4.8 Hz, ³J₄₋₅₆ = 45.5 Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 4.95 (dd, ³J₁,₂ = 7.7 Hz, ²J₄₋₅₆,₁ = 1.5 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), (β-anomer) δ = 2.09 (s, 3 H, NHAc), 3.70 (ddddd, ³J₅₅,₅₆ = 5.0 Hz, ²J₅₅,₅₆ = 13.1 Hz, ³J₄₋₅₆,₅₅ = 11.1 Hz, 1 H, 5a-H), 4.12 (ddddd, ³J₂,₃ = 4.0 Hz, ³J₃,₄ = 6.0 Hz, ³J₄₋₅₆ = 8.9 Hz, 1 H, 3-H), 4.24 (ddddd, ³J₅₅,₅₆ = 2.9 Hz, ²J₅₅,₅₆ = 13.1 Hz, ³J₄₋₅₆,₅₅ = 26.7 Hz, 1 H, 5b-H), 4.31 (dd, ³J₁,₂ = 3.0 Hz, ³J₂,₃ = 4.0 Hz, 1 H, 2-H), 4.17 (ddddd, ³J₄₋₅₆ = 2.9 Hz, ³J₃,₄ = 6.0 Hz, ²J₄₋₅₆,₅₅ = 46.6 Hz, 1 H, 4-H), 5.11 (d, ³J₁,₂ = 3.0 Hz, 1 H, 1-H), ¹³C-NMR (150 MHz, D₂O, 25 °C): (α-anomer) δ = 21.9 (NHAc), 51.8 (2-C), 62.8 (d, ²J₄₋₅₆ = 20.7 Hz, 5-C), 66.9 (d, ²J₄₋₅₆,₃ = 27.4 Hz, 3-C), 88.0 (d, ³J₄₋₅₆ = 174.5 Hz, 4-C), 92.3 (1-C), 174.3 (CO-NHAc), (β-anomer) δ = 21.9 (NHAc), 49.2 (2-C), 58.7 (d, ²J₄₋₅₆ = 23.1 Hz, 5-C), 67.3 (d, ²J₄₋₅₆,₃ = 24.8 Hz, 3-C), 88.2 (d, ³J₄₋₅₆ = 175.0 Hz, 4-C), 91.9 (1-C), 174.7 (CO-NHAc), ¹⁹F NMR (D₂O, 565 MHz, 25°C): δ = -194.67 (α-anomer), -198.74 (β-anomer); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C₇H₁₂FNNaO₄ [M + Na]⁺ 216.0648, found 216.0643.

2-acetamido-2,4-dideoxy-4-fluoro-D-xylose (41)

Thiazolidine 35 (400 mg, 1.29 mmol) was treated according to method H with TPP (8 mg, 0.01 mmol) in MTBE (10 ml) and quenched with PPh₃ (540 mg, 1.94 mmol); yield: 96 mg (23%), 264 mg (66%) of starting material recovered. Hydroxy-thiazolidine 39 (77 mg, 0.24
mmol) was then treated with 3 M HCl/EA = 1/1 (6 ml) followed by TEA (50 μl, 0.36 mmol) and Ac₂O (22 μl, 0.23 mmol) in dry MeCN (3 ml); yield: 27 mg (59%). \([\alpha]_D^{20} = +13.5^\circ\ (4.0,\ H_2O)\); \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, D₂O, 25 °C): mixture of anomers, \(\alpha/\beta = 3/2\), (\(\alpha\)-anomer) \(\delta = 2.05\) (s, 3 H, NHAc), 3.88-3.96 (m, 3 H, 5a-H, 5b-H), 4.00 (ddd, \(^3J_{3,4} = 8.0\ Hz, ^3J_{2,3} = 9.9\ Hz, ^3J_{4,F,3} = 13.8\ Hz, 1\ H, 3-H\)), 4.56 (ddd, \(^3J_{4,5a} = 6.1\ Hz, ^3J_{3,4} = 8.0\ Hz, ^3J_{4,5b} = 9.3\ Hz, ^2J_{4,F,4} = 49.6\ Hz, 1\ H, 4-H\)), 5.17 (dd, \(^3J_{1,2} = 3.3\ Hz, ^5J_{4,F,1} = 3.3\ Hz, 1\ H, 1-H\), (\(\beta\)-anomer) \(\delta = 2.05\) (s, 3 H, NHAc), 3.55 (ddd, \(^3J_{4,F,5a} = 4.4\ Hz, ^3J_{4,5a} = 9.9\ Hz, ^2J_{5a,5b} = 11.7\ Hz, 1\ H, 5a-H\)), 3.73 (dd, \(^3J_{1,2} = 8.0\ Hz, ^3J_{2,3} = 10.2\ Hz, 1\ H, 2-H\)), 3.82 (ddd, \(^3J_{2,3} = 10.2\ Hz, ^3J_{5,4} = 8.3\ Hz, ^3J_{4,F,3} = 14.8\ Hz, 1\ H, 3-H\)), 4.17 (ddd, \(^3J_{4,5b} = 5.5\ Hz, ^3J_{4,F,5b} = 2.7\ Hz, ^2J_{5a,5b} = 11.7\ Hz, 1\ H, 5b-H\)), 4.58 (ddd, \(^3J_{4,5b} = 5.5\ Hz, ^3J_{3,4} = 8.3\ Hz, ^3J_{4,5a} = 9.9\ Hz, ^2J_{4,F,4} = 49.8\ Hz, 1\ H, 4-H\), 4.71 (d, \(^3J_{1,2} = 8.0\ Hz, 1\ H, 1-H\), \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, D₂O, 25 °C): (\(\alpha\)-anomer) \(\delta = 21.8\) (NHAc), 53.2 (d, \(^3J_{4,F,2} = 7.5\ Hz, 2-C\)), 58.9 (d, \(^2J_{4,F,5} = 27.7\ Hz, 5-C\)), 60.2 (d, \(^3J_{4,F,3} = 19.2\ Hz, 3-C\)), 89.3 (d, \(^1J_{4,F,4} = 177.4\ Hz, 4-C\)), 90.7 (d, \(^4J_{4,F,1} = 1.2\ Hz, 1-C\)), 174.5 (CO-NHAc), (\(\beta\)-anomer) \(\delta = 22.1\) (NHAc), 55.6 (d, \(^3J_{4,F,2} = 8.7\ Hz, 2-C\)), 62.2 (d, \(^2J_{4,F,5} = 28.6\ Hz, 5-C\)), 71.8 (d, \(^2J_{4,F,3} = 18.8\ Hz, 3-C\)), 89.2 (d, \(^1J_{4,F,4} = 178.1\ Hz, 4-C\)), 95.3 (d, \(^4J_{4,F,1} = 1.1\ Hz, 1-C\)), 174.7 (CO-NHAc), \(^{19}\)F NMR (D₂O, 565 MHz, 25°C): \(\delta = -199.86\) (\(\alpha\)-anomer), -197.00 (\(\beta\)-anomer); HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C₇H₁₂FNNaO₄ [M + Na]⁺ 216.0648, found 216.0647.

(2R,3R,4R,5R,6S)-6-fluoro-5-hydroxy-7-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)-7-oxoheptane-1,2,3,4-tetrayl tetraacetate (42)

(4S,5R)-3-(2-fluoroacetyl)-4-methyl-5-phenyloxazolidin-2-one (197 mg, 0.83 mmol) in dry DCM (10 ml) was treated according to method D with TiCl₄ (100 μl, 0.91 mmol), freshly distilled DIPEA (220 μl, 1.29 mmol) and the aldehyde (203 mg, 0.64 mmol). Purification by silica gel chromatography was performed using EA/HE = 1/1 as eluent; yield: 111 mg (31%), (main diastereomer, contaminated with minor amounts of auxiliary), 120 mg (34%), (minor diastereomers, ratio not determined). \(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): \(\delta = 0.95\) (d, \(^3J_{4',4'}\-CH₃ = 6.6\ Hz, 3\ H, 4'-CH₃\)), 2.06, 2.07, 2.13, 2.18 (4 s, 12 H, 4 OAc), 2.63 (d, \(^3J_{3,OH,3} = 11.5\ Hz, 1\ H, 3-OH\)), 4.11 (dd, \(^3J_{6,7a} = 5.2\ Hz, ^2J_{7a,7b} = 12.5\ Hz, 1\ H, 7a-H\)), 4.25 (dd, \(^3J_{6,7b} = 2.6\ Hz, ^2J_{7a,7b} = 12.5\ Hz, 1\ H, 7b-H\)), 4.38 (ddd, \(^3J_{2,3} = 1.5\ Hz, ^3J_{3,4} = 6.1\ Hz, ^3J_{3,OH,3} = 11.5\ Hz, 123

123
\[ ^{3}J_{2-F,3} = 27.1 \text{ Hz}, \ 1 \text{ H}, \ 3\text{-H}, \ \ 4.75 \ (dq, \ \ ^{3}J_{4',4'-CH3} = 6.6 \text{ Hz}, \ \ ^{3}J_{4',5'} = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, \ 1 \text{ H}, \ 4'\text{-H}), \ 5.20 \ (ddd, \ \ ^{3}J_{6,7b} = 2.6 \text{ Hz}, \ \ ^{3}J_{6,7a} = 5.2 \text{ Hz}, \ \ ^{3}J_{5,6} = 8.6 \text{ Hz}, \ 1 \text{ H}, \ 6\text{-H}), \ 5.45 \ (dd, \ \ ^{3}J_{4,5} = 2.6 \text{ Hz}, \ \ ^{3}J_{3,4} = 6.1 \text{ Hz}, \ 1 \text{ H}, \ 4\text{-H}), \ 5.56 \ (dd, \ \ ^{3}J_{4,5} = 2.6 \text{ Hz}, \ \ ^{3}J_{5,6} = 8.6 \text{ Hz}, \ 1 \text{ H}, \ 5\text{-H}), \ 5.79 \ (d, \ \ ^{3}J_{4',5'} = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, \ 1 \text{ H}, \ 5'\text{-H}), \ 5.99 \ (dd, \ \ ^{3}J_{2,3} = 1.5 \text{ Hz}, \ \ ^{3}J_{2-F,2} = 48.3 \text{ Hz}, \ 1 \text{ H}, \ 2\text{-H}), \ 7.26-7.46 \ (m, \ 5 \text{ H}, \ \text{CH-Ar}), \ 13\text{C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl}_3, \ 25 \degree \text{C)}: \ \delta = 14.4 \ (4'\text{-CH}_3), \ 20.8, \ 20.9, \ 21.1 \ (4 \text{ OAc}), \ 55.6 \ (4'\text{-C}), \ 61.9 \ (7\text{-C}), \ 68.2 \ (6\text{-C}), \ 68.5 \ (5\text{-C}), \ 70.6 \ (d, \ \ ^{3}J_{2-F,3} = 19.2 \text{ Hz}, \ 3\text{-C}), \ 70.9 \ (d, \ \ ^{3}J_{2-F,4} = 3.2 \text{ Hz}, \ 4\text{-C}), \ 80.6 \ (5'\text{-C}), \ 90.2 \ (d, \ \ ^{1}J_{2-F,2} = 183.1 \text{ Hz}, \ 2\text{-C}), \ 125.7, \ 129.0, \ 129.2 \ (\text{CH-Ar}), \ 132.6 \ (\text{Cq-Ar}), \ 153.0 \ (2'\text{-C}), \ 165.8 \ (d, \ \ ^{2}J_{2-F,1} = 24.0 \text{ Hz}, \ 1\text{-C}), \ 170.0, \ 170.7, \ 170.9, \ 171.3 \ (4 \text{ CO-OAc}), \ 19\text{F NMR (CDCl}_3, \ 565 \text{ MHz, 25\degree C)}: \ \delta = -209.99; \ \text{HRMS (ESI): calcd. for C}_{25}\text{H}_{30}\text{FNNaO}_{12} [\text{M + Na}]^+ 578.1650, \text{ found 578.1640.} \]
4 NMR Spectra$^{1,2}$
2-azido-2-deoxy-D-glycero-D-id-o-heptose (6a)
2-azido-2-deoxy-D-threo-L-galacto-octose (6b)
2-azido-2-deoxy-D-erythro-L-galacto-octose (6c)
2-acetamido-1,3,4,6,7-penta-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-D-glycero-D-idono-heptose (7a)
2-acetamido-1,3,4,6,7,8-hexa-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-D-threo-L-galacto-octose (7b)
2-acetamido-1,3,4,6,7,8-hexa-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-d-erythro-L-galacto-octose (7c)
2-acetamido-2-deoxy-D-glycero-D-idono-heptose (8a)
2-acetamido-2-deoxy-D-threo-L-galacto-octose (8b)
2-acetamido-2-deoxy-ᴅ-erythro-ʟ-galacto-octose (8c)
(R,E)-methyl 4-(dibenzylamino)-5-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)pent-2-enoate (9a)
(R,E)-methyl 4-(dibenzylamino)-5-(pivaloyloxy)pent-2-enoate (9c)
\[ \text{((2S,3S)-3-((S)-1-(dibenzylamino)-2-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)ethyl)oxiran-2-yl)methanol} \]

(10)
(2R,3S)-methyl 3-((S)-2-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)-1-(dibenzylamino)ethyl)oxirane-2-carboxylate (11)

![Chemical Structure](image)

![NMR Spectrogram](image)
(R)-tert-butyl 4-((1R,2S,E)-1-(benzyloxy)-5-ethoxy-2-fluoro-5-oxopent-3-en-1-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (13)
(4S,5R)-3-(2-fluoroacetyl)-4-methyl-5-phenyloxazolidin-2-one (14)
(S)-tert-butyl-4-((1S,2R)-2-fluoro-1-hydroxy-3-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (15)
(S)-tert-butyl-4-((1R,2S)-2-fluoro-1-hydroxy-3-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (16)
4R,5R)-tert-butyl-4-((1R,2S)-2-fluoro-1-hydroxy-3-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-2,2,5-trimethylloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (17)
(4S,5S)-tert-butyl 4-((1R,2S)-2-fluoro-1-hydroxy-3-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-2,2,5-trimethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (18)
(4S,5R)-tert-butyl-4-((1R,2S)-2-fluoro-1-hydroxy-3-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-2,2,5-trimethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (19)
(2S,3R,4S)-methyl-4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-2-fluoro-3,5-dihydroxypentanoate (20)
(2S,3R,4R)-methyl-4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-2-fluoro-3,5-dihydroxypentanoate (21)
(2S,3R,4S,5R)-methyl-4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-2-fluoro-3,5-dihydroxyhexanoate

(22)
(2S,3R,4R,5S)-methyl-4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-2-fluoro-3,5-dihydroxyhexanoate (23)
4-acetamido-1,3-di-O-acetyl-2,4-dideoxy-2-fluoro-D-xylose (24)
4-acetamido-1-O-acetyl-2,4-dideoxy-2-fluoro-D-arabinose (25)
4-acetamido-1,3-di-O-acetyl-2,4,6-trideoxy-2-fluoro-D-idose (26)
4-acetamido-1-O-acetyl-2,4,6-trideoxy-2-fluoro-L-galactose (27)
4-acetamido-2,4-dideoxy-2-fluoro-D-xylose (28)
4-acetamido-2,4-dideoxy-2-fluoro-D-arabinose (29)
4-acetamido-2,4,6-trideoxy-2-fluoro-d-idose (30)
4-acetamido-2,4,6-trideoxy-2-fluoro-D-galactose (31)
(S)-tert-butyl 4-((1R,2S)-2-fluoro-1-hydroxy-3-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-2,2-dimethylthiazolidine-3-carboxylate (32)
(R)-tert-butyl 4-((1R,2S)-2-fluoro-1-hydroxy-3-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)-3-oxopropyl)-2,2-dimethylthiazolidine-3-carboxylate (33)
(S)-tert-butyl 4-((1R,2R)-2-fluoro-1,3-dihydroxypropyl)-2,2-dimethylthiazolidine-3-carboxylate (34)
(R)-tert-butyl 4-((1R,2R)-2-fluoro-1,3-dihydroxypropyl)-2,2-dimethylthiazolidine-3-carboxylate (35)
(2R,3R,4R)-4-((2,4-dinitrophenyl)amino)-5-((2,4-dinitrophenyl)thio)-2-fluoropentane-1,3-diol (36)
2-acetamido-2,4-dideoxy-4-fluoro-D-lyxose (40)
2-acetamido-2,4-dideoxy-4-fluoro-\textit{d}-xylose (41)
(2R,3R,4R,5R,6S)-6-fluoro-5-hydroxy-7-((4S,5R)-4-methyl-2-oxo-5-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)-7-oxoheptane-1,2,3,4-tetrayl tetraacetate (42)


6 Appendix

6.1 Abstract

This thesis comprises two projects. On the one hand, a synthetic protocol for the preparation of higher amino sugars was devised; on the other hand, a short approach for the incorporation of fluorine into amino sugars was developed. The indium mediated allylation protocol for unprotected carbohydrates, which represents a valuable tool for carbon chain elongation, was applied in the synthesis of 2-acetamido-heptoses and octoses starting from readily available pentoses and hexoses. The new stereocenters were constructed by a proline catalyzed epoxidation followed by the introduction of nitrogen via a Tsuji-Trost like azide opening of allylic epoxides. A general deprotection sequence for the obtained azido alcohols was devised, furnishing either the target higher amino sugars or their corresponding acetyl C-glycosides, depending on the conditions applied. These compounds are of considerable interest regarding their biological activity since aminohexoses and -octoses are known constituents of aminoglycoside antibiotics. The second project described herein represents a new approach for the synthesis of fluorinated amino-pentoses and hexoses. Serine, threonine and cysteine derived compounds related to Garner’s aldehyde were chain-elongated via a stereoselective aldol addition using fluoroacetyl ephedrine-oxazolidinone. Thus, the fully functionalized carbon backbone of the target compounds was constructed in a single step. In the case of serine and threonine derivatives sequential acidic cleavage of the protecting groups furnished 4-acetamido-2,4-dideoxy-2-fluoro-pentoses respectively 4-acetamido-2,4,6-trideoxy-2-fluoro-hexoses. In the case of the cysteine derivatives, a photochemical Pummerer rearrangement was performed, finally yielding 2-acetamido-2,4-dideoxy-4-fluoro-pentoses. These compounds are of high interest since they represent potential antimicrobial reagents and can be used in biochemical assays for the elucidation of biological processes such as antigen-antibody interactions.
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