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1. Introduction

1.1. Archaea

1.1.1. Classification of the living world – a historical overview

Nowadays, phylogenetic distinction of the living world and the understanding of evolutionary relationships are mainly based on the comparative analysis of molecular sequences, rather than on phenotypic criteria. Especially the small subunit of ribosomal RNA is widely used for this approach as it is essential and therefore present in all self-replicating organisms while the sequence of this molecule is changed slowly during evolution (Woese and Fox, 1977; Fox et al., 1977). Moreover, also unicellular species which cannot be cultivated in the laboratory so far can be categorized by detection of rRNA in samples from their natural habitats (Pace et al., 1986; Allers and Mevarech, 2005). As a result characterization of molecular sequences leads to an expansion of the phylogenetic diversity (Barns et al., 1996). On the other hand it enables comparison of even far distant relative organisms and reveals the true relationship of already classified living systems. Hence, the establishment of this approach also caused a dramatically reorganization of the basis of the conventional phylogenetic system (Woese and Fox., 1977).

Previously, it was only distinguished between plant and animal. This view first changed in 1866, when Ernst Haeckel defined the protists as a new category (Haeckel, 1866). Later two more kingdoms were recognized, the bacteria (Copeland, 1938) and the fungi (Whittaker, 1959), thereby dividing all organisms into Animalia, Plantae, Fungi, Protista and Monera (prokaryotes) according to their apparent phenotypic characteristics (Whittaker and Margulis, 1987). This concept, called the five-kingdom scheme, was then more and more replaced by the primary grouping of the living world into prokaryotes and eukaryotes, based on the level of their cellular organization (Chatton, 1938). In contrast to the former model, this dichotomy considers the differences within the four kingdoms Animalia, Plantae, Fungi and Protista (summarized as eukaryotes) as less significant than those to the Monera (prokaryotes) and therefore places them on the same taxonomic rank (Woese et al., 1990). Further findings strongly supported this view. Yet analysis on a molecular level finally revealed that the primary categorization into eukaryotes and prokaryotes is not completely correct, as the latter do not form a monophyletic group (Woese et al., 1990).
In 1977 Carl Woese published that the ribosomal RNA of a small group of methanogenic microorganisms (assigned to the prokaryotes up to that point) is considerably different to the one of both categories, suggesting that these organisms form an additional, distinct domain: the *Archaeabacteria* (Woese and Fox, 1977). Subsequently, comparative genomics confirmed this theory and finally the division of the living world in three domains of life, the *Eucarya*, the *Bacteria* and the *Archaea*, was generally accepted (see figure 1). In course of time further species were assigned to the new domain (Barns *et al*., 1996) and comparison of ribosomal RNA resulted in the subdivision of the *Archaea* into different kingdoms (Woese *et al*., 1990; Allers and Mevarech, 2005), enclosing a diversity of these organisms that had never been expected.

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Figure 1** | Phylogenetic tree. Based on comparative analysis of 16S/18S rRNA the living world is subdivided into three domains of life: the *Bacteria*, the *Eucarya* and the *Archaea*. (Woese *et al*., 1990)

### 1.1.2. Properties of the *Archaea*

Since *Archaea* were previously mixed with the *Bacteria*, it came as a surprise that they seem to be even closer related to the *Eucarya* than to the *Bacteria*, as the latter are splitting at an earlier time point in the evolutionary history. As illustrated in figure 1 they are on an intermediate position on the phylogenetic tree, though they must not be considered as a mosaic of *Bacteria* and *Eucarya* (Woese *et al*., 1990). They share features with either domain, resembling the *Bacteria* mainly in their cellular and genomic organization and metabolic strategies. On the other hand, similarities to eukaryotes predominate on the level of information processing, e.g. proteins involved in DNA replication, transcription and translation (Allers and Mevarech, 2005). In addition to the common characteristics,
the Archaea are also represented by peculiar features which are found in neither organism of the two other domains.

1.1.2.1. Archaea vs. Bacteria – differences and common characteristics

The main reason for the initial misclassification of Archaea is buried in their cellular and genomic similarity to Bacteria. In contrast to eukaryotes, cells of these two domains are of a comparable size and lack a cellular nucleus as well as organelles (Brown and Doolittle, 1997). Nevertheless, they are significantly different as peptidoglycan is completely missing in the Archaea, a property which was originally attributed to Eucarya exclusively (Forterre et al., 2002). Instead of the bacterial cell wall, a multitude of other, partly very unique cell-bordering structures are found in this domain (also including pseudopeptidoglycan). For that reason, in contrast to Bacteria, Archaea are not sensitive to most antibiotics like fosfomycin, vancomycin or the β-lactams (e.g. penicillin) which prevent synthesis of bacterial cell wall structures (Kandler and König, 1998). As a result, though the both domains share some basic features differing significantly to the eukaryotes, the Archaea can easily be distinguished from the Bacteria on a cellular level as well.

Another important common characteristic of the two prokaryotic groups is the structure of their genome. Both possess one large, circular chromosome comprising genes which are typically organized in an operon-like fashion. Beside, as many examples demonstrate, the genes of these clusters are often arranged in a similar order (Brown and Doolittle, 1997). In addition to the chromosome, prokaryotic cells frequently contain supplementary informations in the form of circular plasmids persisting in the cell (Brown and Doolittle, 1997). However, differences in the genomic context are rare. Though it may be mentioned that, in contrast to the bacterial genome, the chromosome of thermophilic Archaea is mainly present in a relaxed or positively supercoiled, rather than in a negatively supercoiled conformation due to the lack of a bacterial-like gyrase (Charbonnier and Forterre, 1994).

Despite most proteins involved in informational processes (DNA replication, transcription and translation) are generally closer related to the Eucarya, there are also some homologies to the Bacteria. Surprisingly taking into account that the archaeal and the bacterial genome are quite similar, the most unlike mechanism is the replication of the DNA. Initial studies suggested the presence of only one origin of replication in Archaea, resembling the situation in the Bacteria (Lopez et al., 1999). But this assumption turned out to be wrong, as subsequently two ore even more origins were localized in several archaeal species, e.g. Halobacterium spec. NRC-1 (Zhang and Zhang, 2003) and Sulfolobus solfatarius (Robinson et al., 2004). However, more homologies between Archaea
and *Bacteria* are known in transcription and translation. Although the archaeal main proteins involved in this processes strongly resemble those of the eukaryotes, some transcription regulators showed to have bacterial homologues (Aravind and Koonin, 1999). One example is the similarity of archaeal elongation factors to the bacterial NusA and NusG working on elongation control and anti-termination (Bell and Jackson, 1998; 1996; Klenk *et al*., 1997). Moreover, both, *Archaea* and *Bacteria*, use polycistronic mRNAs lacking 5’-end caps which are characteristic elements of eukaryotic mRNAs. Like in *Bacteria*, purine-rich Shine Dalgarno sequences are present upstream of the start codon on archaeal mRNAs, mediating recognition of the translation start site (Dennis, 1997; Allers and Mevarech, 2005).

In contrast to the informational proteins described above, probably due to extensive horizontal gene transfer operational proteins seem to share a high level of identity in *Archaea* and *Bacteria*. Mainly enzymes involved in central metabolic processes and maintenance of energy are well conserved, resulting in high similarity of the corresponding pathways (Rivera *et al*., 1998; Jain *et al*., 1999). *Archaea*, as well as *Bacteria* comprise both, heterotrophic and autotrophic species using either light or organic compounds as energy source (Huber *et al*., 2000). Thus, they possess a variety of different metabolic and energetic pathways, most of them existing in both domains. One exception is the generation of methane which is restricted to the archaeal domain (Forterre *et al*., 2002; Allers and Mevarech, 2005). Other operational proteins conserved among the *Archaea* and the *Bacteria* are cellular transporter, receptors and proteins involved in cell division. An example for the latter is an archaeal cell division protein homolog to the bacterial FtsZ. Later studies indicate that this protein also shows similarities to the eukaryotic tubulins, but yet is closer related to the bacterial version (Baumann and Jackson, 1996).

1.1.2.2. *Archaea* vs. *Eucarya* – differences and common characteristics

As discussed above the cellular and genomic features of *Archaea* are rather similar to *Bacteria* than to *Eucarya*. Nevertheless some characteristics generally assigned to the eukaryotes are also present in the third domain of life. First of all, in comparison to *Bacteria*, *Archaea* completely lack peptidoglycan, the main component of the bacterial cell wall. However, this structure is not found in any eukaryote either (Forterre *et al*., 2002). For that reason *Archaea*, like members of the *Eucarya*, are not sensible to antibiotics directed against the synthesis of bacterial cell walls. In addition, also antibiotics inhibiting the large subunit of the ribosomes do not work in either of the two domains (Brown and Doolittle, 1997).
On the genomic level, many Archaea strongly resemble Eucarya both in the sequence and the structure of proteins mediating genome compaction. In both domains four types of such proteins, designated as histones, are present: H2A, H2B, H3 and H4 (White and Bell, 2002; Allers and Mevarech, 2005). In eukaryotes two copies of each of these chromatic proteins are combined, assembling to an octameric nucleosome wrapped by the DNA. Archaeal histones in contrast can also form homotetramers composed of two H3-H4 dimers, rather than only heteropolymeric structures (Brown and Doolittle, 1997; White and Bell, 2002). Moreover they lack C-terminal and N-terminal tails, the targets of posttranslational modification in eukaryotic histones, suggesting that they may not be involved in the regulation of gene expression. Anyway, although homologues of eukaryotic histones are widespread among the phylum Euryarchaeota, none have been discovered in members of the Crenarchaeota so far. Yet, other nucleic acid binding proteins like Alba are present at a wide range of both archaeal groups (White and Bell, 2002).

However, although it is not clear whether modulation of gene expression can be performed on the level of genome compaction like in the eukaryotes, most features of transcription, translation and DNA replication seem to be well conserved between Archaea and Eucarya. The most similar process is the genome replication, as nearly all archaeal proteins involved in this procedure have a eukaryotic homologue. Though, it has to be noted that in many cases several eukaryotic proteins working on the same mechanism are represented by only one equivalent in the Archaea. Thus, the latter seem to possess a more simplified version of the replication machinery (Edgell and Doolittle, 1997; Barry and Bell, 2006). One well described example is the archaeal homologue to the eukaryotic origin recognition complex (ORC). In Eucarya the ORC comprises six proteins, Orc1-6, binding to the origin and, as a result, recruiting many proteins which are needed for the initiation of replication like for example Cdc6 (Machida et al., 2005). On the archaeal genome one to nine genes with significant similarities to both, orc1 and cdc6 are present. The numerous proteins in some species are suspected to fulfill different functions in replication; however, each Orc1/Cdc6 protein performs the work of both eukaryal proteins, Orc1 and Cdc6. Orc1/Cdc6 is highly conserved across the archaeal domain and only resembles the eukaryal version, in the sequence as well as on the structural level. To date, no homologies to the bacterial origin binding protein DnaA are known (Barry and Bell, 2006). Other proteins involved in DNA replication, which are supposed to be closer related to eukaryotic rather than to bacterial equivalents are e.g. replicative helicases, single strand binding proteins and primases (Edgell & Doolittle, 1997; Barry and Bell, 2006). For example eukaryotic primases are composed of a large (PriL) and a small (PriS) subunit forming a dimer in contrast to the monomeric DnaG of Bacteria. In Archaea homologues to both subunits are present resembling the eukaryotic protein in its structure including the existence of a Zn-binding site on the PriS-subunit (Barry and Bell,
2006). Moreover, both, *Archaea* and *Eucarya* posses a family B polymerase responsible for elongation of replicating nucleic acid strands. *Bacteria* in contrast, apart from *Escherichia coli*, completely lack this type of DNA replicating enzyme (Brown and Doolittle, 1997). In addition another sort of DNA polymerase which is supposed to be involved in discrimination between leading- and lagging-strand has been discovered in *Archaea* (Brown and Doolittle, 1997; Barry and Bell, 2006).

Just like the DNA replicating polymerase, the archaeal RNA polymerase (RNAP) working on transcription of DNA into RNA was shown to be homolog to the RNA polymerase II of eukaryotes (Huet et al., 1983). In comparison to the much simpler bacterial enzyme, the RNAPs of both other domains are assembled of at least seven subunits (Brown and Doolittle, 1997). Yet, the archaeal protein does not only resemble the eukaryotic one in its complexity, but also in the sequence of most subunits (Bell and Jackson, 1998). Nevertheless there are significant differences to the eukaryotes, as only one RNAP is present in the *Archaea* while *Eucarya* posses three different polymerases, RNAP I-III (Brown and Doolittle, 1997).

However, in both, *Archaea* and *Eucarya*, the recruitment of the RNA polymerase to the transcription start site requires a subset of components and transcription factors (TF) (Allers and Mevarech, 2005). The first step in transcription initiation is the binding of TBP (TATA-box-binding protein) to a sequence element near the transcription start site on the DNA, namely the TATA-box. Both features are found in *Archaea*, as well as in eukaryotes. The archaeal TATA-box-binding protein resembles the eukaryal one in both, its sequence and in its structure, albeit the variable amino-terminal domain of the latter is missing (Bell and Jackson, 1998). In the *Eucarya* TBP is part of a large complex, TFIID, which is attached to the promoter region and leads to the recruitment of further transcription factors involved in transcription initiation, e.g. TFIIB (Brown and Doolittle, 1997). A protein showing structural homologies to TFIIB, termed TFB, is also present in *Archaea*. Crystallization of the archaeal transcription factor B in complex with TBP and the TATA-element revealed that the proteins are bound to the DNA in inverse orientation compared to the eukaryal TFIIB. This raises the question whether and how the archaeal initiator proteins are able to determine the polarity of transcription (Bell and Jackson, 1998). Anyway, in *Archaea* TBP and TFB seem to be sufficient for the initiation of transcription, while the eukaryotic system is apparently much more complex including a multitude of transcription factors (Allers and Mevarech, 2005). However, less is known about the similarities to eukaryotes concerning regulation and transcription elongation. Initially a homologue to the eukaryotic elongation factor TFIIS was discovered in *Archaea*, but further studies indicated that this element may only be a subunit of the RNA polymerase (Bell and Jackson, 1998). As mentioned above several archaeal elongation factors are supposed to be closer related to the *Bacteria*, rather than to the *Eucarya*.
Also proteins mediating archaeal translation share high similarity with their eukaryal counterparts. Compared to the simplified system in the *Bacteria* composed of only three translation initiation factors, more than ten proteins fulfilling different roles exist in *Archaea* and eukaryotes (Allers and Mevarech, 2005). For example an archaeal homologue to the eukaryotic initiation factor eIF-1A avoids assembling of the two ribosomal subunits previously to association of the small subunit with the aminoacyl-tRNA, GTP and the mRNA (Brown and Doolittle, 1997; Bell and Jackson, 1998). Moreover counterparts to the eukaryotic eIF-4A helicase and the eIF-2 complex mediating recruitment of the tRNA and GTP to the small ribosomal subunit are found in *Archaea*. In contrast, no archaeal equivalent to eIF-4 exists, as archaeal mRNAs lack the 5’-cap which is normally recognized by this protein (Bell and Jackson, 1998). Beside, also factors mediating elongation and termination of translation like eEF-1α, eEF-2 or eRF are found in both domains. They are involved in charging aminoacyl tRNAs to the A-site of the ribosome, translocation of the ribosome during growing of the polypeptide strand and finally recognition of the stop codon (Bell and Jackson, 1998). In addition it has to be noted that in *Archaea* as well as in *Eucarya* the first amino acid recruited to the ribosome is a methionine, rather than N-formylmethionine like in *Bacteria* (Keeling and Doolittle, 1995). However, aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases as well as the ribosomes seem to be equally related to both other domains. Detailed studies indicate, that some ribosomal proteins are closer related to the *Bacteria* (RP L11) while others resemble eukaryotic counterparts (RP L10) (Brown and Doolittle, 1997). So it can be concluded that similarities concerning archaeal and eukaryal translation are reflected by many features, but mainly by the translational factors.

Mentionable homologies between *Archaea* and *Eucarya* are also found in systems responsible for DNA recombination and repair. In *Archaea* this processes are essential as many of these organisms are exposed to a harsh environment frequently causing genomic damage. For example the archaeal enzyme RadA mediating repair of damaged DNA by performing strand exchange strongly resembles the eukaryotic equivalent, a protein of the RecA family. Moreover counterparts to enzymes involved in excision repair exchanging bases in pyrimidine dimers are also found in some archaeal species (Allers and Mevarech, 2005).

**1.1.2.3. Unique characteristics of *Archaea***

As already described in detail *Archaea* share properties with both, *Eucarya* and *Bacteria*, but they are also represented by features exclusively found in this domain. The most striking characteristic is the certain composition of the phospholipids within the archaeal cell membrane. In bacterial, as well as in eukaryotic lipids, fatty acids are connected with a glycerolphosphat backbone via ester linkages (Forterre et al., 2002). Archaeal phospholipids in contrast comprise methyl
branched ether linked isoprene site chains. Moreover the hydrocarbon chains replace different phosphate groups of the glycerol, generating glycerol-1-phosphate (G1P) in Archaea and glycerol-3-phosphate (G3P) in the other domains, respectively. Beside, the archaeal lipid (L-glycerol) is reverse in its enantiomeric conformation compared to bacterial and eukaryal glycerolphosphates (D-glycerol) (Brown and Doolittle, 1997; Kandler and König, 1998). The unique composition of the lipids (especially the ether-linkages) as well as the presence of tetraether lipids in the cytoplasmic membrane, are supposed to be involved in maintaining stability and impermeability of the membrane even at high temperatures and under rough conditions, reflecting the hyperthermophilic nature of the archaeal ancestor (Forterre et al., 2002). However, not only the membrane but the cell bordering structure of the Archaea as a whole is significantly different to the other domains. As mentioned above, Archaea completely lack peptidoglycan, the main compound of bacterial cell walls. Instead some species have cell walls composed of other elements like heteropolysaccharides or pseudopeptidoglycan. Members of the Thermoplasmatales in contrast do not possess any additional structures and are only bordered by the cell membrane containing glycoproteins. The majority of Archaea however is surrounded by a glycoproteinaceous envelope, termed the S-layer (Kandler and König, 1998; Forterre et al., 2002). As both, the cell wall and the RNA polymerase are completely different among Bacteria and Archaea, another specific set of antibiotics is active in the latter (Brown and Doolittle, 1997). Anyway, in addition to the unique structural features, one metabolic capability is found in Archaea exclusively: methanogenesis (Forterre et al., 2002).

1.1.3. Evolution of the Archaea

The discovery of the Archaea as the third domain of life changed the view on phylogenetic relationships and considerably supported reconstruction of the evolutionary history. The first classical phylogenetic tree comprising all three domains was created by Woese and colleagues based on the comparative analysis of small subunit ribosomal RNA (SS rRNA). It shows all life emerging from one common ancestor early splitting into the bacterial domain and the eukaryal-archaeal lineage which subsequently gave rise to the Archaea and the Eucarya by branching into two domains. This concept considers the latter two as sister groups, while the Bacteria are supposed to be the eldest domain, closest related to the common ancestor of all life (Woese et al., 1990). However, this scheme can neither give a deeper insight in phylogenetic development of the Archaea since the groups within this domain are poorly resolute by rRNA analysis, nor does it describe the nature and origin of the common ancestor. Today the identity and characteristics of this progenitor, designated as last common ancestor (LCA) or last universal common ancestor (LUCA), are still unclear and a
controversial issue (Glansdorff et al., 2008). In 1998 Carl Woese described the origin of extant life as a community of primitive cell, evolving as a diverse unit, in course of time becoming more complex until exchange between the different groups was not possible any more, thereby giving rise to the individual ancestors of the three certain domains (Woese, 1998). To a large extent this concept was based on the idea of high mutation rates and frequently occurring lateral gene transfer (LGT) within the simple ancestor cells. In contrast to this, theses events were supposed to happen only rarely when cellular functions become more specific and, as a result, certain proteins cannot simply be replaced any more. Hence, both, the development of the common ancestor from progenotes (rudimentary self replicating units) as well as the similarities between *Archaea* and *Bacteria* in operational proteins can be explained by LGT in early stages of development (Woese, 1998). Further publications supported this view and highlighted the importance of LGT on evolutionary processes (Wolf et al., 2001; Forterre et al., 2002; Gribaldo and Brochier-Armanet, 2006). For example homologies between archaeal and eukaryal enzymes involved in informational processes were also traced back to early transferring events (Gribaldo and Brochier-Armanet, 2006). Yet, neither of these concepts of evolutionary processes clearly defines which domain is the closest related to the last common ancestor, only speculating about the chronologic order and relationships of *Archaea, Eucarya* and *Bacteria* in the phylogenetic tree. The most traditional model however is the classical rooting in the bacterial branch, implying that eukaryotes arose from the combination of early bacterial cells by endosymbiosis developing to a more complex system (Woese, 1998; Rivera and Lake, 2004). Moreover also the possibility that none of the three domains could be traced back to LUCA was not excluded and even a eukaryal basis was discussed (Gribaldo and Brochier-Armanet, 2006). Anyway, Woese clearly pointed out that the common ancestor of all life has had to be a quite primitive cell with limited functions, giving rise to more complex mechanisms, indicating a more prokaryote-like nature of LUCA (Woese and Fox, 1977; Woese, 1998). Recent studies in contrast define the common ancestor as a more complex system with eukaryal features but, so far, lacking cellular organelles (Glansdorff et al., 2008). In this model the evolutionary development of the three domains of life is traced back to reductive evolution of LUCA giving rise to the *Bacteria* and the *Archaea*, whereas the *Eucarya* are supposed to be emerged from reception of primitive bacteria by the protoeukaryotic LUCA. Hence, similarities among two domains can be explained by the complex and diverse characteristics of the common ancestor, rather than by lateral gene transfer. Beside LUCA is described in more details as “a complex community of protoeukaryotes with a RNA genome, adapted to a broad range of moderate temperatures, genetically redundant, morphologically and metabolically diverse”, also mentioning certain features like e.g. the presence of sn1,2 fatty acid lipids (Glansdorff et al., 2008).
Despite this view is inconsistent with previous models in most aspects, it conforms to a hyperthermophilic origin of the archaeal domain (Glansdorff et al., 2008). This assumption is also reflected by the adaption to high temperatures found in all lineages primary branching from the archaeal ancestor (Gribaldo and Brochier-Armanet, 2006). Though methanogenesis also occurred early in the evolution of Archaea, it is probably no characteristic of the individual ancestor as initially assumed (Forterre et al., 2002; Gribaldo and Brochier-Armanet, 2006). Yet, all present-day Archaea are supposed to originate in an anaerobic but maybe oxygen tolerant, chemolithotrophic or possibly heterotrophic precursor cell (Forterre et al., 2002). However, the probably most striking feature of the archaeal ancestor is the presence of sn2,3 isoprenyl ether lipids, a characteristic which enabled the establishment at high temperatures and had apparently been remained in all archaeal groups (Glansdorff et al., 2008).

1.1.4. Phylogeny and diversity

According to comparative analysis of small subunit rRNA as well as Bergey’s manual definition, the Archaea are subdivided into two main phyla: the Crenarchaeota and the Euryarchaeota (Woese et al., 1990; Boone and Castenholz, 2001). In addition the Nanoarchaeota and the Korarchaeota, two smaller, probably very deeply branching lineages, were assigned to this domain later on, splitting the Archaea into four distinct phyla. The latter comprise a couple of uncultivated species which have only been postulated by the detection of DNA sequences in environmental samples (Barns et al., 1996). The Nanoarchaeota however are represented by only few members, e.g. Nanoarchaeum equitans, small coci colonizing rocks in hot submarine vents. They grow attached to large spherical Crenarchaeota of the genus Ignococcus, completely depending on these symbiotic associations. This certain life style can be traced back to their strongly reduced genome (less than 500 kilobases) lacking many important genes which are conserved among other archaeal groups (Huber et al., 2002; Huber et al., 2003). Thus, the Nanoarchaeota have to be clearly differentiated from the other archaeal phyla such as the Crenarchaeota, another more basal lineage. Based on ss rRNA analysis the latter is subdivided into three orders, the Desulfurococcales, the Sulfolobales and the Thermoproteales, all of them inhabiting mainly hot environments (Forterre et al., 2002). Nevertheless, also uncultivated crenarchaeal species living at moderate or even low temperatures have been detected in marine water samples (Forterre et al., 2002; Barns et al., 1996). The Euryarchaeota however also contain hyperthermophiles, but are much more phenotypic diverse, including also methanogene, psychrophilic, halophilic, alkaliphilic, thermoacidophilic and other multiple extremophilic species. It can be distinguished between nine euryarchaeal orders: Archaeoglobales, Halobacteriales, Methanobacteriales, Methanococcales, Methanomicrobiales, Methanosarcinales, Methanopyrales, Thermococcales and Thermoplasmatales (Forterre et al., 2002).
Despite their poor phylogenetic presence compared to other domains (only 4 phyla compared to p.e. 23 within the Bacteria, Boone and Castenholz, 2001), the Archaea are a group of “metabolically diverse organisms coexisting with Bacteria and Eucarya in the majority of Earth environments, both terrestrial and aquatic, including also extreme ones, such as high or low pH, low temperature, high salinity or pressure” (Gribaldo and Brochier-Armanet, 2006). The initial view that this domain is composed of only a few methanogene members was quickly replaced as further species were discovered, but though the idea that Archaea are original organisms inhabiting hostile environments exclusively persisted for a very long time (Olsen, 1994). Yet, nowadays it is known that the Archaea are not limited to extreme habitats, as well as conversely not all extremophiles necessarily have to be members of the archaean branch. In fact, all three domains are found side by side, colonizing the same environments all over the world (Rothschild and Mancinelli, 2001). So the Archaea are widely distributed, especially in the oceans as they constitute up to 30% of the entire picoplanktonic cell population (DeLong, 2001). Hence, they have to be considered as significant components of the global ecological system, both, because of their substantial contribution to total biomass, but also due to their presence at a variety of hostile environments. Adaption to high temperatures for example is common within the Archaea, since all archaean phyla include hyperthermophilic species (Gribaldo and Brochier-Armanet, 2006). However, though they are also found within the bacterial branch, hyperthermophiles are clearly dominated by the Archaea. Exposed to temperatures above 80°C these organisms are mainly challenged to prevent denaturation of their proteins and nucleic acids as well as maintaining stability of their cytoplasmic membrane. Psychrophilic Archaea in contrast, living at low temperatures, run the risk of getting damaged due to the formation of ice crystals (Rothschild and Mancinelli, 2001). However, Archaea can also be adapted to other tasking conditions such as radiation, high pressure e.g. at deep aquatic environments (barophily) or extensive desiccation (xerophily) (Marteinsson et al., 1999; Rothschild and Mancinelli, 2001; Zivanovic et al., 2009). Cellular desiccation can be achieved simply by reduced availability of water, but also by the presence of high salt concentrations in the external environment (Rothschild and Mancinelli, 2001). Organisms living under such conditions, the so called halophiles, have to cope with osmotic stress due to a continuous efflux of water from the cytoplasm to the surrounding medium, resulting in cellular dehydration. Thus, they have to make use of certain strategies to keep their osmotic balance (Oren, 1999). Moreover in many cases adaption to high salinity implies the absolute requirement of extracellular ions in order to maintain cellular stability. Yet, there are also halotolerant microbes which are able to live under high salt concentrations for a certain period of time, but are not addicted to it (Rothschild and Mancinelli, 2001). Within the archaean lineage halotolerant and halophilic species are members of the euryarchaean order Halobacterales and the class Methanothermea, respectively (Oren, 2008a). The former order also includes a certain group of
organisms adapted to both, high salinity and high pH values: the haloalkaliphiles (Kamekura et al., 1997). In addition to osmotic demands, these Archaea are energetically challenged due to the limitation of $H^+$-ions in the surrounding medium. As well as acidophilic organisms living at low pH, alkaliphiles are capable of extruding the external milieu by active and passive mechanisms, maintaining a neutral cytoplasmic pH, thereby preventing denaturation of internal biological structures. Anyway, strategies enhancing stability of the genome, proteins and membranes as well as efficient repair mechanisms reducing cellular damage are essential in microbes exposed to rough environmental conditions in general (Rothschild and Mancinelli, 2001). Those approaches enabling life at high salt concentrations and elevated pH values will be discussed in the next chapter in detail.

1.1.5. Halophilic and haloalkaliphilic Archaea

Halotolerant and halophilic representatives are found in all three domains: the Eucarya, the Bacteria and the Archaea (Oren 2002). Usually they are phylogenetically mixed with non-halophilic relatives, forming inhomogeneous taxonomic lineages. Yet, there are three groups comprising halophilic organisms almost exclusively. Two of them belong to the bacterial lineage: the aerobic, heterotrophic Bacteria of the family Halomonadaceae (Gammaproteobacteria) and anaerobic, fermentative Bacteria of the order Halanaerobiales (Firmicutes). The third group however is an archaeal family branching from the euryarchaeal order Halobacteriales: the Halobacteriaceae (Oren, 2008a). Beside, a methanogene class of the Euryarchaeota, the Methanothermea, also contains species living at hypersaline environments, yet this group mainly consists of moderate halophiles. Anyway, no halotolerant or halophilic members are known within the other three archaeal phyla (Oren 2002; Oren, 2008a).

However, in the course of this work only halophilic Archaea assigned to the Halobacteriaceae are on the focus of closer consideration. This family is composed of 26 genera known to date: Halobacterium, Haloadaptus, Halalkalicoccus, Haloarcula, Halobaculum, Halobiforma, Halococcus, Haloferax, Halogeometricum, Halomicrobium, Halopiger, Haloplanus, Haloquadratum, Halorhabdus, Halorubrum, Halosimplex, Halostagnicola, Haloterrigena, Halovivax, Natrrialba, Natrinema, Natronobacterium, Natronococcus, Natronolimnobius, Natronomonas, and Natronorubrum (Oren, 2008b). All of them are strictly aerobic organisms, mainly extreme halophiles which totally require salt concentrations of more than 20 % for optimal growth (Lanyi, 1974). They are widespread over a broad range of hypersaline habitats, including marine water (e.g. the Dead Sea), soda lakes and saltern crystallizer ponds as well as environments resulting from evaporation of seawater (Oren 2002). In addition to the high concentrations of NaCl, some hypersaline lakes like Lake Magadi
(Kenya) or Wadi Natrun (Egypt) exhibit especially high pH values ranging from 10.5 to 12. The haloalkaliphilic Archaea populating these habitats, e.g. members of the genera *Natrialba*, *Natronobacterium* or *Natronomonas*, are well established at the predominating conditions, reaching titres up to $10^8$ cells per ml of water (Horikoshi, 1999). Hence, they have to be highly adapted to both, high salt concentrations and high pH values.

1.1.5.1. Adaptions to hypersaline conditions

As already mentioned above, the main challenge for organisms living in hypersaline habitats is the regulation of their osmotic state since high concentrations of salt in the external medium usually result in rapid loss of water to the environment (Oren, 1999). In the microbial world two fundamentally different tactics have evolved to overcome this problem. The so called “high-salt-in strategy” implies the compensation of the high external NaCl concentrations by the accumulation of salt (usually KCl) inside the cell. As a result both, intracellular and extracellular proteins are exposed to high salinity; hence specific adaptations are required to maintain their function (Oren, 1999; Oren, 2008a). The strategy used by most halophilic organisms in contrast, the “compatible solute strategy”, does neither include a change in intracellular salt concentrations nor general proteomic adaptations. Instead of this it is based on the biosynthesis and/or uptake of low-molecular-weight compounds working as organic osmotic solutes such as polyols (e.g. glycerol), sugars, amino acids and derivates as well as glycine betaine (Oren, 1999; Oren, 2008a). These organic compatible solutes are known to interact with cellular enzymes, protecting them from harmful effects, thereby supporting their functionality at stressful conditions. However, the production of these molecules requires high energetical investment, hence this mechanism is much more expensive than the “high-salt-in strategy” (Oren, 1999). So it can be concluded that both strategies involve advantages for halophilic cells: while using organic compatible solutes for osmoregulation does not require adaptations of the entire proteomic machinery, intercellular accumulation of potassium chloride is less costly. Nevertheless, the “high-salt-in strategy” is much less widespread in nature than the “compatible solute strategy” as it is not applied by halophilic Eucarya at all and limited to one bacterial order, the *Haloanaerobiales*. Within the archaeal domain however, this approach is of central importance as it is commonly used by the extreme halophilic members of the family *Halobacteriaceae* (Oren, 1999; Oren, 2008a). On one hand haloarchaea, like halophiles in general, have to be able to totally exclude sodium chloride from the interior of the cells which is mainly achieved by Na$^+/H^+$-antiporters (Oren 2002). Yet, in addition they have to show significant alterations in their cellular membranes, as well as in both, intracellular and extracellular proteins in order to enable stability in contact with external and internal hypersaline conditions.
Cellular membranes: As already discussed in detail archaeal membranes are highly stable in general as they possess sn2,3 isoprenyl ether lipids (Glansdorff et al., 2008). In addition the membranes of extreme halophilic Archaea like the Halobacteriaceae share some unique structural and compositional characteristics which are found within these group of organisms exclusively. For example the lipids completely lack inositol, serin and ethanolamine head groups (Technov et al., 2006). The most striking feature however is the common presence of archaetidylglycerol methylphosphate (PGP-Me), an archaeal analogue of phosphatidylglycerol methylphosphate. This certain phospholipid is limited to haloadapted membranes where it amounts to 50-80 % of the polar membrane lipids, suggesting a function in enhancing membrane stability at high salt concentrations. Indeed the relevance of PGP-Me to prevent aggregation of membranes under these conditions could be demonstrated (Technov et al., 2006).

Proteomic adaptations: Most importantly, the proteins adapted to high salt concentrations are characterized by a large proportion of acidic amino acids like glutamic and aspartic acid. For example in Halobacterium species these residues were determined to contribute more than 10 mole percent of the total content, resulting in very low isoelectric points around 4.2. In cell envelope proteins they were even stated to account for about 20 mole- % (Reistadt, 1970; Fendrihan, 2006). Yet, this high excess of negative charges within haloadapted proteins leads to repulsive electrostatic forces which can be compensated by additional linkages preventing protein unfolding, e.g. residue interactions or disulfide bridges (Lanyi, 1974). Further effects crucial for the stability of the proteins are hydrophobic interactions. Exposed to high salt concentrations proteins get tightly packaged. Hence, some residues which use to get in contact with the aqueous phase at low salinity may preferentially be buried in the interior of the protein at hypersaline conditions, resulting in the formation of new hydrophobic bonds (Lanyi, 1974). Although they are reduced in their number, these interactions are highly important since hydrophobic amino acids were found to be rare in haloadapted proteins (Lanyi, 1974; Fendrihan, 2006). Yet, other studies indicated that only the content of aliphatic amino acids with longer side chains is low, while the number of small residues such as glycine, alanine or valine is increased (Madern et al., 1995). However, to maintain the hydrophobic interactions stabilizing halophilic proteins, high amounts of salt in the surrounding solutes are absolutely necessary (Fendrihan, 2006). Moreover especially cations were shown to be of crucial importance for the stability, as they interact with the highly negatively charged haloadapted biological structures (Lanyi, 1974). Yet, due to these proteomic adaptations, members of the Halobacteriaceae totally depend on the presence of high salt concentrations. Thus, compared to some organisms applying the “compatible solute”, they are not able to tolerate a low salt content in the external environment and hence are limited to hypersaline habitats exclusively (Oren, 1999; Oren, 2008a).
1.1.5.2. Adaptations to high pH

Although alkaliphilic organisms are exposed to high pH values in their natural habitats (above pH 9), estimation of the internal pH revealed that their cytoplasm is in a neutral rather than in an alkaline range. For example the internal pH of one alkaliphilic bacterial member, the *Micrococcus* sp. strain 31-2, was determined to be around pH 7.5 based on the catalytic pH optimum of the intracellular enzyme ß-galactosidase. Furthermore, also approaches implying the detection of weak bases distributed inside and outside of the cells supported the assumption of an almost neutral intracellular pH (Horikoshi, 1999). As a result, in contrast to cell walls and membranes, intracellular proteins do not have to be adapted to alkaline conditions. Nevertheless, concerning proteins which are segregated to the extracellular medium, specific alterations are absolutely required in order to enable stability at high pH. However, all approaches enhancing these adaptations imply a modulation of the amino acid composition. One strategy is based on the variation of amino acids which are involved in the formation of hydrogen bonds, resulting in a shift of the pKa towards higher values. Another possibility, like in haloadapted proteins, is an increased content of acidic amino acids (aspartic and glutamic acid) resulting in highly negative net charge of the proteins (Shirai *et al.*, 2008). The latter phenomenon however is also found in alkaline cell bordering structures like cell walls and membranes which are often characterized by a large excess of acidic polymers. Studies on *Bacillus* species for example indicate that polymers such as gluconic, galacturonic, glutamic and aspartic acid are common in cell walls of alkaliphilic members, while they are absent in those of the neutrophilic *Bacillus subtilis*. The function of these additional negative charges is supposed to be the adsorption of the positively charged Na\(^+\) and H\(_3\)O\(^+\) ions while hydroxide ions (dominating at high pH) are repulsed, hence reducing the pH at the immediate cell surface (Horikoshi, 1999).

However, in addition to its adaptations to alkaline conditions, the certain role of the cellular membrane in extruding the basic milieu from the interior of the cell has to be highlighted (Horikoshi, 1999). On one hand of course the membrane has to be completely impermeable for certain ions in order to separate the external and internal conditions. On the other hand active transporters are needed to maintain the optimal intracellular milieu and generate electrochemical gradients (Horikoshi, 1999; (van de Vossenberg *et al.*, 1999). The major transport systems used by haloalkaliphilic *Archaea* are Na\(^+\)/H\(^+\)-antiporters combined with H\(^+\)-coupled respiration in order to regulate the pH within the cell. These Na\(^+\)/H\(^+\)-antiporters drive both, the uptake of H\(^+\) and the extrusion of Na\(^+\) at the same time, establishing an optimal system under haloalkaline conditions as sodium ions are abundant in hypersaline environments while protons are rare at high pH (van de Vossenberg *et al.*, 1999). However, the formation of an electrochemical gradient due to H\(^+\) transport results in the generation a proton motive force (pmf) composed of two factors: the transmembrane
electric potential ($\Delta\Psi$) and the transmembrane pH gradient ($\Delta\text{pH}$) (van de Vossenberg et al., 1999). This energetic storage is finally able to drive endogenous processes in haloalkaliphilic cells.

1.1.6. *Natrialba magadii*

A typical representative of haloalkaliphilic *Archaea* belonging to the euryarchaeal family *Halobacteriaceae* is *Natrialba magadii*. Together with other prokaryotes this rod shaped archaeon populates one of the highly alkaline soda lakes of the east African Rift valley, the Lake Magadi in Kenya (Jones et al., 1998). When *Nab. magadii* was first isolated from its natural habitat in 1984, it was assigned to the genus *Natronobacterium* according to its morphology. By that time the discovered microorganisms were divided into two groups: rods forming the genus *Natronobacterium* and cocci, combined to the genus *Natronococcus* (Tindall et al., 1984). In 1997 however comparative analysis of 16S rRNA highlighted the close phylogenetic relationship of the former *Natronobacterium magadii* to two *Natrialba asiatica* strains (93.3 and 93.7 % sequence similarity, respectively), resulting in the transfer of *Natronobacterium magadii* to the genus *Natrialba* (Kamekura et al., 1997). Although this classification is not supported by analysis of membrane lipids, it is still accepted today.

1.1.6.1. Characteristics of *Nab. magadii*

The cells of *Nab. magadii* are motile rods with a length of $0.5$ – $0.7\text{µm}$ (Tindall et al., 1984). Like other members of the *Halobacteriaceae* they have an orange to red color due to the presence of carotenoids (e.g. $\alpha$-bacterioruberin) stored in their membrane, leading to reddish coloration of the water they inhabit (Oren, 2002). Cultivated in the laboratory, optimal growth of *Nab. magadii* was observed at $4M$ sodium chloride and pH values of $8.5$ – $10.5$. However, to avoid lysis of the cells concentrations of at least $2M$ NaCl are absolutely required. In addition, reflecting the lack of these ions in its natural environment, low concentrations of $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ (below $10\text{mM}$) are needed. Moreover *Nab. magadii* is sensible to low temperatures while optimal growth is achieved at $37$ – $42\text{°C}$ (Tindall et al., 1984). However, even at ideal conditions one generation cycle takes about nine hours in the logarithmic growth phase; hence *Nab. magadii* grows very slowly compared to other, more popular microorganisms, e.g. *E. coli* (generation time: 20 minutes).
1.1.6.2. Two laboratory strains: L11 and L13

In 1997, spontaneous lysis of the *Nab. magadii* wild type strain isolated from its natural habitat gave rise to the discovery of a temperate virus persisting in this archaeon during its lysogenic cycle: the halophage φCh1 (Witte et al., 1997). However, repeated subculturing and testing of single colonies of the original organism revealed a non-lysogenic *Nab. magadii* strain, termed L13. In contrast to the wild type strain carrying φCh1 as a prophage, this cured strain can be re-infected with the virus, hence serving as an indicator strain. In addition, for laboratory work a *Nab. magadii* strain resembling the wild type was isolated from plaques resulting from re-infection of L13. This strain, named L11, of course contains the virus φCh1 which is integrated into the host chromosome until it enters the lytic cycle during stationary growth of the *Nab. magadii* culture (Witte et al., 1997). Both strains, L11 and L13 (see figure 2), are currently used in our laboratory for detailed studies of φCh1 and its host *Natrialba magadii*, respectively.
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*Figure 2* | *Natrialba magadii*. Electron micrographs of the haloalkaliphilic archaeon *Nab. magadii*, initially isolated from Lake Magadi (Kenya). (a) Wild type strain L11 carrying φCh1 as a prophage. (b) Strain L13, cured from the virus.

1.1.6.3. *Nab. magadii* in the lab – transformation, vectors, genetic markers

In the very beginning of working with *Nab. magadii* in the laboratory, neither selection markers and vectors, nor an efficient transformation method for haloalkaliphilic *Archaea* was available. However, the transformation strategy applied today is derived from an approach evolved in 1987 by Cline and Doolittle for the transfection of the halophilic archaeon *Halobacterium salinarum* (initially termed *Halobacterium halobium*) with the DNA from the phage φH. This method is based on the generation of spheroplasts by treatment with EDTA. This chelating agent withdraws
Mg\textsuperscript{2+} ions stabilizing the S-layer, thereby resulting in the removal of this glycoproteinaceous envelope and, thus, enables transfection of the competent cells. The uptake of foreign DNA however was mediated by coupling to polyethylene glycol 600 (PEG 600) (Cline and Doolittle, 1987). Later on, based on this principle, methods for the transformation of several archaeal species were developed and summarized in a manuscript termed “The Halohandbook” (Dyall-Smith, 2008). In alkaliphilic Archaea like \textit{Nab. magadii} however the S-layer is not stabilized by divalent cations, thus it cannot be affected by the addition of EDTA. Instead of this, spheroblast cells of \textit{Nab. magadii} can be generated by primary treatment with bacitracin, an agent interfering with the glycosylation of the S-layer proteins, followed by partially enzymatic digestion performed by proteinase K. Immediately afterwards, introduction of foreign DNA by PEG mediated transformation is possible (Iro \textit{et al.}, in prep). This method evolved in the laboratory of Angela Witte is still successfully used today.

Anyway, for the transformation of \textit{Natrialba magadii} shuttle vectors for \textit{E. coli} and \textit{Nab. magadii} had to be constructed. The first vector working efficiently in both organisms was developed by Iro and co-workers (Iro \textit{et al.}, in prep). This plasmid is based on the construct of a pKS\textsubscript{II} vector, hence possessing an origin of replication active in \textit{E. coli} as well as an ampicillin resistance (\textit{bla}) for cloning in the bacterium. This system was supplemented with the \textit{gyrB} gene of \textit{Haloferax alicantei}, providing a novobiocin resistance for selection in \textit{Nab. magadii}, yielding the vector pNov-1. Moreover, to enable amplification in the archaeon, different constructs of the region comprising the putative origin of replication of the \textit{Nab. magadii} specific phage \textit{φCh1} were cloned onto this vector. This region, ranging from ORF53 to ORF54, shows remarkable sequence homologies to the \textit{repH} gene of pNRC100, a plasmid found in \textit{Haloarcula marismortui} (Iro \textit{et al.}, in prep). In general, RepH is known to be a part of the replicons of plasmids persisting in halophilic Archaea (Ng and DasSarma, 1993; Klein \textit{et al.}, 2002). Hence, this region of \textit{φCh1} was supposed to enable the replication of the new shuttle vector in \textit{Nab. magadii} too. Indeed, incorporation of three different fragments into pNov-1 yielded high transformation efficiencies of the corresponding plasmids, termed pRo-3, pRo-5 and pRo-6. The best results however were achieved with the vector pRo-5, which has successfully been employed for transformation of \textit{Nab. magadii} up to date (Iro \textit{et al.}, in prep).

Only few other plasmids of haloarchaea, e.g. pNB102, can be used in \textit{Nab. magadii}. However, the production of different shuttle systems is constricted by the poor presence of genetic markers, as most antibiotics working in \textit{Bacteria} are not active in Archaea. However, two selectable markers are commonly used in \textit{Nab. magadii}: resistances against novobiocin (nov) and mevinolin (mev), respectively. In \textit{Bacteria} the antibiotic novobiocin is known to prevent the binding of ATP to the B subunit of the DNA gyrase, an enzyme working on the introduction of negative supercoils into the bacterial genome. As a result the function of this enzyme is blocked, causing inhibition of bacterial
growth (Holmes and Dyall-Smith, 1991). The same effect was observed in *Archaea*, suggesting a similar mechanism in targeting the DNA gyrase of this domain. The resistance to novobiocin however was discovered in a spontaneous mutated strain of the genus *Halofex*. Comparative analysis of this mutant and the wild type genome revealed the presence of three base exchanges in the ATP binding region of the DNA gyrase, probably resulting in a reduced affinity of the archaeal enzyme to the drug in the resistant cells (Holmes and Dyall-Smith, 1991).

Just like novobiocin, the mevinolin resistance determinant was initially isolated from a *Halofex* strain (Lam and Doolittle, 1992). Investigation of further mutants revealed that tolerance to mevinolin can be achieved by two different kinds of alterations: the introduction of a point mutation in the promoter region and a variation in the number of tandem repeat elements. Both events however result in an overexpression of the gene coding for the 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase, which is usually inhibited by mevinolin. In *Archaea* this enzyme is of crucial importance as it catalyses synthesis of mevalonate, which is urgently needed for the production of isoprenoid side chains, components of the peculiar archaeal lipids (Lam and Doolittle, 1992).

### 1.2. Archaeal viruses

The first archaeal virus ever discovered (later termed Hs1) was isolated in 1974 by Torsvik and Dundas from its host *Halobacterium salinarum* (former *H. halobium*), immediately followed by Ja1, another halovirus infecting a broader range of halophilic *Archaea*. Both viruses possess icosahedral heads surrounding dsDNA genomes as well as contractile tails, thereby resembling bacteriophages of the family *Myoviridae*, e.g. phage T4 (Torsvik and Dundas, 1974; Wais et al., 1975; Torsvik and Dundas, 1980). The description of further viruses found in members of the archaeal domain in the following years strengthened the idea that this head-tail composition was the predominating morphotype in archaeal viruses (Prangishvili et al., 2006a). However, this assumption turned out to be not correct since, on the contrary, a high variety of particle forms was found in samples derived from natural archaeal habitats (Prangishvili 2003; Prangishvili et al., 2006a). Most of these morphotypes are very unique, limited to the archaeal domain, exclusively. Compared to bacterial viruses which mainly comprise non-enveloped head-tail particles (96 % of all dsDNA phages), only few archaeal viruses known today exhibit the typical head-tail morphology (Prangishvili et al., 2006a). All of them infect members of the phylum *Euryarchaeota*, whereas the more peculiar morphotypes are mainly associated with the *Crenarchaeota*. In addition, the viruses of both phyla are highly
different in terms of their life styles. While euryarchaeal viruses grow lytically or lysogenic, often integrating into the host-chromosome, crenarchaeal viruses are characterized by stable relationships to their mainly hyperthermophilic hosts without bursting the cells, thereby avoiding contact with the almost harsh environments (Prangishvili et al., 2006b). Anyway, despite these differences, in fact all of them possess either a linear or a circular double stranded DNA genome (Prangishvili et al., 2006a).

Surprisingly however, primary categorization of archaeal viruses is neither based on the nature of their hosts nor on genomic features, but rather on morphological criteria. As a result the archaeal head-tail viruses are assigned to two families predominated by bacterial head-tail phages: the Myoviridae and the Siphonoviridae (Prangishvili et al., 2006). In contrast many new families arise from the highly variable morphotypes found in the crenarchaeal lineage, including fusiform, bottle and droplet shaped, linear as well as spherical virions (Prangishvili et al., 2006a).

1.2.1. Morphotypes – classification of archaeal viruses

Fusiform viruses are common in both, euryarchaeal and crenarchaeal hosts, including extreme halophilic, methanogene as well as hyperthermophilic species. Most of them are characterized by a temperate lifestyle and a circular organization of their genome. The nearly lemon-shaped virions of this morphotype are unique to the archaeal viruses and can be complemented by either one or two tails. These structural features, as well as genomic characteristics result in the division into two families, the Fuselloviridae (e.g. SSV1) and the Bicaudaviridae as well as one additional genus, the Salterprovirus (Prangishvili et al., 2006a). One certain representative of the fusiform viruses is the Acidianus two-tailed virus (ATV), the sole member of the Bicaudaviridae family (see figure 3). This virus infects species of the genus Acidianus, which occur at acidic, hot springs; hence at an extracellular state it is usually exposed to high temperatures (Häring et al., 2005b). Temperatures above 75°C induce the emerging of the typical two tails at both ends on the initially tail-less particles, probably mediating the adsorption to host cells. This mechanism of tail development however is absolutely unique in the viral world, limited to a few members of fusiform archaeal viruses (Prangishvili et al., 2006a).

Another two, probably even more peculiar morphotypes give rise to the distinction of two further families: the Ampullaviridae (bottle-shaped virions) and the Guttaviridae (droplet-shaped virions). Each of them includes only one member, the Acidianus bottle-shaped virus (ABV) and the Sulfolobus neozealandicus droplet-shaped virus (SNDV), respectively (see figure 3). Nevertheless they
represent own families due to the inimitability of their particle forms (Häring et al., 2005a; Arnold et al., 2000a).

The linear viruses are also subdivided into two groups causing classification of two new families, the Rudiviridae (e.g. SIRV1) and the Lipothrixiviridae (e.g. SIFV, see figure 3), respectively. Both possess tube-shaped particles, but the Rudiviridae are stiff and straight including short polar tail fibre structures, while the Lipothrixiviridae are flexible and usually enveloped (Prangishvili et al., 1999; Arnold et al., 2000b; Prangishvili et al., 2006a). They are highly dispersed at hot, terrestrial environments, infecting members of the crenarchaeal phylum such as Acidianus, Sulfolobus and Thermoproteus species (Prangishvili et al., 2006a).

Compared to other morphotypes, the number of spherical viruses is generally low. Like linear viruses however some of them are associated with the Crenarchaeota, infecting hyperthermophilic species of the genera Thermoproteus and Pyrobaculum. These viruses, such as Pyrobaculum spherical virus (PSV) are taxonomically pooled together due to their genomic properties, forming the family Globuloviridae (Häring et al., 2004). The other sort of spherical viruses found in both, Crenarchaeota and Euryarchaeota, is assigned to the bacterial family Tectiviridae (Prangishvili et al., 2006a).

However, apart from a few spherical and fusiform members, most viruses infecting Euryarchaeota are head-tail viruses (see figure 3). Their host range comprises mesophilic and moderately thermophilic methane producing Archaea as well as extreme halophiles. As already mentioned they resemble bacterial head-tail phages in their composition, hence they are assigned to two families of bacteriophages: the Myoviridae, including particles with contractile tail and the Siphonoviridae, implying non-contractile tails. Though they are highly different concerning the size of their head and tails, all of them contain genomes consisting of linear dsDNA (Prangishvili et al., 2006a; Prangishvili et al., 2006b). Anyway, as this morphotype is most common in halophages, it is in the centre of focus in further discussions.
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1.2.2. Haloarchaeal viruses

As described above, the first archaeal viruses were discovered in halophilic hosts including *Halobacterium salinarum* and hence happened to be the first haloviruses as well (Torsvik and Dundas, 1974; Wais et al., 1975). During the following 15 years, the number of haloviruses increased to a total of nine members, all of them infecting *H. salinarum* (Dyall-Smith et al., 2003). Later on, also other archaeal genera of the family *Halobacteriaceae*, e.g. *Haloarcula* and *Natrialba*, were found to carry virus-like particles (Prangishvili et al., 2006a). Anyway, considering their high presence in hypersaline waters like the Dead Sea (titres around $10^7$ pfu/ml), the number of described haloarchaeal viruses is surprisingly low (Dyall-Smith et al., 2003). As mentioned above, the majority of known haloviruses exhibit a head-tail composition, yet the dominating morphotype found in samples from natural aquatic environments is the fusiform (Oren et al., 1997; Dyall-Smith et al., 2003). Well described examples for the latter morphotype are His1 and His2, two members of the genus *Salterprovirus*, both infecting *Haloarcula hispanica*. Though they are quite distant relatives they share a lytic life style as well as a linear dsDNA chromosome. However, only His1, but not His2 resembles the *Sulfulobus* spindle shaped virus (SSV1) on a morphological level (Bath and Dyall-Smith, 1998; Dyall-Smith et al., 2003; Bath et al., 2006). In contrast, two important representatives demonstrating the nature of head-tail phages are HF1 and HF2. Both viruses grow lytically and are
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**Figure 3** | Morphotypes of archaeal viruses. Electron micrographs of (a) *Acidianus* bottle shaped virus (ABV), (b) *Sulfolobus neozealandicus* droplet-shaped virus (SNDV), (c) *Acidianus* two-tailed virus (ATV), (d) haloarchaeal head-tail virus φH1 and (e) filametous linear virus SIFV.

(a)-(d): Prangishvili et al., 2006a; (e): Arnold et al., 2006b (adapted)
not integrating into the host genome. They were isolated from the same lake and are highly identical in terms of their virion shape and genome (80% sequence identity), yet they infect a completely different range of hosts, including Halocarcula, Halobacterium, Haloferax and Natrialba (Nuttall and Dyall-Smith, 1993). However, there are numerous viruses showing this morphotype, e.g. ΨM2 (Pfister et al., 1998) and ΨM199 (Luo et al., 2001), yet only few of them are well described. Anyway, the best studied haloarchaeal head-tail virus is φH. Like other phages possessing icosahedral heads and contractile tails, it is assigned to the family Myoviridae, resembling bacteriophage P1 in terms of morphology as well as replication strategies and control of lysogeny (Dyall-Smith et al., 2003). To maintain structural stability it requires concentrations of at least 3M sodium or potassium chloride, reflecting the halophilic nature of its host, Halobacterium salinarum. The 59 kb genome of φH consists of linear dsDNA and is characterized by an excess of guanine and cytosine (65%). Moreover, it is terminally redundant and partially circularly permuted, mediating a headful packaging mechanism. Like one close relative, the halophage φC1 infecting Nab. magadii, φH is a temperate virus, switching between a stable lysogenic and a lytic cycle. Yet, in contrast to the φC1-DNA which integrates into the host genome, φH exists as circular prophage DNA in the host cell during its lysogenic state (Schnabel et al., 1982). In addition, due to insertion elements flanking a certain part of the φH genome, the so called L-segment, an inversion event can lead to the exclusion of this region as a circular plasmid. This plasmid, termed pφHL, can persist stably in the host cells, resulting in resistance to further φH infections, independently from the presence of the virus itself (Schnabel et al., 1984). Interestingly the L-segment strongly resembles the central part of the genome of its relative φC1 (50–97% sequence identity). Nevertheless, due to the lack of insertion elements in φC1, this special mechanism is unique to φH (Gropp et al., 1992; Klein et al., 2002).

1.2.3. φCh1 – a haloalkaliphilic virus

As already mentioned above, φCh1 was discovered in 1997 as a consequence of spontaneous lysis of the haloalkaliphilic archaeon Nab. magadii. Up to date, no other host of this virus has been found. Like Nab. magadii, φCh1 is adapted to high salt concentrations as well as elevated pH values and, thus, has to be considered as the first (and so far only) haloalkaliphilic virus ever described infecting a member of the archaeal branch (Witte et al., 1997). Yet, even though it is used to another pH range, φCh1 is very similar to the halophilic virus φH with respect to several genomic and structural features. Like the latter, it belongs to the family of Myoviridae, hence possessing contractile tails and icosahedral heads (Schnabel et al., 1982; Witte et al., 1997). Both particles contain a linear dsDNA genome of almost equal size, which is terminally redundant. As a result, a similar packaging
mechanism based on circular permutation can be suggested (Schnabel et al., 1982; Klein et al., 2002). Moreover the two viruses share a temperate life style, albeit φCh1 integrates into the host chromosome, whereas φH persists in the cell in an episomal state (Schnabel et al., 1984; Witte et al., 1997). However, already in 2002 when the complete DNA sequence of φCh1 was established, it was stated that the genome sequences of these two viruses are highly similar. Especially the central part of the φCh1 genome was found to resemble the L-segment of φH since comparative analysis revealed a nucleotide identity of 50-97 % (Klein et al., 2002). Yet, by that time only about 60 % of the φH genome were sequenced (Dyall-Smith et al., 2003). Recently, however, the complete sequence of the φH DNA has been determined too (Dyall-Smith, personal communication), hence enabling the observation of further crucial similarities between φH and φCh1 in prospective studies.

All this correlations of φCh1 and φH suggest a close relationship of these two viruses, though their hosts are phylogenetically distant, inhabiting different environments with varying conditions. Nevertheless, the presence of pH gradients in saline lakes may have enabled a quite near localization of *Nab. magadii* and *H. salinarum* and, thus, the exchange of certain genetic modules between φCh1 and φH in course of evolution (Klein et al., 2002; Dyall-Smith et al., 2003).

Anyway, today, both viruses belong to the best studied viruses infecting haloarchaeal hosts. Though φH was the first of them to be discovered and in the centre of extensive research from 1982 to 1994 (Dyall-Smith et al., 2003), φCh1 established a certain role as the only known virus of haloalkaliphilic Archaea. Due to detailed investigations of φCh1 highlighting general properties of this virus and the function of several gene products involved in certain mechanisms, the knowledge about φCh1 can not be considered as minor important compared to φH. Moreover past projects yielded several methods and supporting tools (e.g. shuttle vectors) enabling work with this virus and its haloalkaliphilic host *Nab. magadii*, respectively (Witte et al., 1997; Baranyi et al., 2000; Klein et al., 2000; Klein et al., 2002; Rössler et al., 2004; Iro et al., 2007).

### 1.2.3.1. Morphology and structural proteins of φCh1

As a member of the family *Myoviridae*, φCh1 is characterized by a typical head-tail morphology (illustrated in figure 4). The particles are composed of icosahedral heads which are 70nm in diameter and contractile tails 130nm in length, assembling to virions with a total length of 200nm. The contractile tails cover an internal shaft, yielding structures with a width of approx. 20nm. Moreover, on electron micrographs certain structural elements are visible at the ends of the tails: the tail fibres (Witte et al., 1997). Like most haloadapted proteins, the tail fibre protein of φCh1 has a low isoelectric point (pI = 3.7) due to an excess of acidic amino acids. This however results in a mobility
shift of the 41-54 kDa protein during separation of phage protein extracts via SDS-PAGE, hence yielding a signal at 66 kDa. The expression of the protein can be detected at the beginning of the stationary growth phase (98h after inoculation), as it is usually the case for structural proteins (Rössler et al., 2004). In many tailed bacteriophages tail fibre proteins are known to be involved in interaction with receptors on the surface of bacterial host cells, hence initiating the process of infection. A similar function however is supposed in the archaeal virus φCh1, yet it has not been proven so far (Rössler et al., 2004). In course of this work the relevance of the tail fibre protein for infection of the archaeal cells was observed by deletion of the putative corresponding gene region, the open reading frame 34 (ORF34).

Anyway, also other structural proteins of φCh1 particles are well described and in the centre of scientific interest. The most important one is protein E, the main capsid protein of this virus, encoded by ORF11 of the φCh1 genome. It is expressed in high amounts during the late phase of the viral life cycle and gets associated with the membrane of the host cell after translation. For the release of the progeny virus particles, proteolytic cleavage of protein E is necessary, yielding the mature 35.8 kDa protein (Klein et al., 2000).

Apart from protein E, separation of complete mature phage particles on denaturing polyacrylamide gels enables discrimination of three further major proteins (A, H and I) as well as five minor proteins of φCh1 (B, C, D, F and G) (Witte et al., 1997). Interestingly, the major protein A
(80 kDa) shows the same N-terminal amino acid composition as the 14.4 kDa protein H, which is encoded by ORF19. Hence it is supposed that protein A is either a homo- or a heteromultimer of the gene product of ORF19 as well. Compared to the major structural proteins, minor proteins such as protein B, C and D (encoded by ORFs 9, 7 and 8, respectively) are present in much lower quantities in mature viral particles (Klein et al., 2002). Though they are visible on protein gels as separate bands and can be detected by an antibody raised against the surface of φCh1.

Furthermore all structural proteins of this halovirus in general are characterized by low isoelectric points, thus enabling exposure to high salinity and pH. As a result of these adaptations of viral proteins, φCh1 particles are highly sensitive to low salt concentrations. Experiments based on dialysis of virions revealed that concentrations below 2M NaCl cause a loss of infectivity of φCh1, either because of complete dissociation of the proteins, or due to dramatic changes in their conformation (Witte et al., 1997).

1.2.3.2. Life cycle of φCh1

Spontaneous lysis of the wild type strain L11 occurs at the beginning of the stationary growth phase, releasing mature particles of φCh1. The delay in the onset of lysis suggests a lysogenic phase in addition to the lytic phase in the life cycle of the virus; hence φCh1 is considered as a temperate phage. This means that previously to the formation of the phage particles, the virus exists in a prophage state, either by persisting in the host cell in an episomal state, or by integration into the host chromosome. The latter strategy was confirmed by hybridisation of the Nab. magadii chromosome with a φCh1-specific DNA probe. This method also enabled mapping of the concrete position on the host-DNA where the phage is integrated (Witte et al., 1997).

This temperate lifestyle however requires precise regulation. Past studies show the presence of two putative repressor genes probably involved in preventing early onset of the lytic cycle during the lysogenic state: ORF48 and ORF49 (Klein et al., 2002). ORF48 resembles the sequence of the φH repressor, but yet is expressed constitutively during the whole life cycle of φCh1. Thus it cannot be the control element defining the time point of lysis, albeit a function of ORF49 is assumed (Iro et al., 2007). ORF49 in contrast is upregulated in the logarithmic and/or stationary growth phase and the corresponding gene product is supposed to inhibit another repressor which avoids the onset of lysis. This protein had already been suspected to be involved in regulation of the φCh1 status since a spontaneous duplication of the gene region resulted in an earlier onset of lysis (Iro et al., 2007). However in recent studies its function as a repressor of the lytic phase was investigated in detail and further confirmed.
1.2.3.3. Genomic organization of φCh1

The halovirus φCh1 comprises a linear dsDNA genome with a size of 58 498 base pairs (Klein et al., 2002). In addition mature particles contain several RNA species, yet they were confirmed to be completely host derived (Witte et al., 1997). The DNA genome is terminally redundant and circularly permuted, indicating a “headful” mechanism of DNA-packaging similar to that observed in φH (Klein et al., 2002). Its total nucleotide sequence was determined in 2002 by Klein et al., thus enabling prediction of 98 open reading frames (ORFs) and their organization into functional genetic modules. All ORFs of the φCh1 genome, except for four starting with GTG (ORFs 3, 41, 79 and 83, respectively), have an ATG as a start codon. They are arranged as transcriptional units as they are tightly packaged, predominately facing the same reading directions (Klein et al., 2002). Moreover, the entire nucleotide sequence is characterized by an overproportional content of the bases guanine and cytosine (61.9 %), reflecting the constitution of the host genome (Witte et al., 1997; Klein et al., 2002). This feature is typical for members of the initially defined haloarchaeal group Natronobacteria in general (Tindall et al., 1984).

Surprisingly however, in contrast to the genome of Nab. magadii, the DNA of φCh1 was found to be partially methylated (Witte et al., 1997). Restriction analysis revealed a Dam-like methylation of adenine residues within certain recognition sites (5’-GATC-3’), while modifications were not observed at any cytosine residues. Furthermore it was confirmed that methylation is restricted to some parts of the viral genome population and occurs on both DNA strands, whereas the rest of the genome completely lacks these modifications (Witte et al., 1997). The reason for this phenomenon was found when the virus encoded enzyme performing these modifications, the methyltransferase M.φCh1-I, was identified. This enzyme is not expressed until far advanced φCh1 development; hence not the whole viral DNA can be methylated before packaging of the DNA is finished (Baranyi et al., 2000). Anyway, the purpose of these modifications on the φCh1 genome is not clear, since the genome of the only known host, Natrualba magadii, lacks adenine methylation. Hence, an adaption to this host in order to avoid cellular defense mechanisms can be excluded (Baranyi et al., 2000).

However, later on M.φCh1-I was shown to be encoded by ORF94 of the φCh1 genome. Moreover two additional methyltransferases were discovered: M.NmaφCh1-II and M.NmaφCh1-III. The genes coding for all these enzymes involved in DNA modification were shown to be clustered on the virus chromosome (Klein et al., 2002). Their organization can be seen in figure 5.
In general, the sequenced genome of φCh1 can be separated into three parts mirroring the proposed functions of the encoded proteins (see figure 5). While the left part of the genome comprises structural compounds, the right part is predominated by proteins with unknown functions as well as the enzymes performing DNA modification. All the ORFs of these two regions are arranged in the same direction. The ORFs located to the central part in contrast include both, leftward- and rightward transcribed genes. They encode for proteins involved in replication, gene regulation and plasmid stabilization (Klein et al., 2002). Initially the putative functions of the 98 φCh1 ORFs were determined as a consequence of comparison to other known sequences stated in databases. This analysis revealed a total of 48 matches, but only 17 ORFs showed similarities to proteins with known functions (Klein et al., 2002). Later on, however, further studies were performed in order to confirm the proposed functions of the proteins encoded by these open reading frames.

Figure 5 | Linear representation of the φCh1 genome. The 58 498 bp genome of the haloalcaliphilic virus φCh1 comprises a total of 98 open reading frames (ORFs). They are organized into functional genetic modules: the left part coding for structural genes, the central part containing genes involved in replication, gene regulation and plasmid stabilization and the right part predominated by genes of unknown function as well as genes performing DNA methylation. (adapted from Klein et al., 2002)
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In this way also the origin of replication of \( \phi \text{Ch1} \) could be identified. It is located to the central part of the genome, at the region ranging from ORF53 to ORF54. Both ORFs share significant sequence similarities with \( \text{repH} \) of the \( \text{Haloarcula marismortui} \) plasmid pNRC100, encoding for the replication protein RepH. While the most striking homologies of ORF54 are present at the C-terminal part of the corresponding protein, similarities of ORF53 are less significant and restricted to the N-terminal end (Klein et al., 2002; Iro et al., in prep). Moreover ORF54 resembles other sequences required for plasmids replication in different archaeal representatives, e.g. \( \text{Halobacterium salinarum} \) and \( \text{Halofexx volcanii} \) (Ng and DasSarma, 1993; Klein et al., 2002). In addition AT-rich promotor regions (typical for replication starts) were identified upstream of ORF53 and downstream of ORF54, respectively. All these facts indicate that the whole region comprising both ORFs and their surrounding sequences represent the origin of replication in \( \phi \text{Ch1} \) (Klein et al., 2002; Iro et al., in prep). As discussed above this DNA sector was successfully used for the construction of a shuttle vector working in \( \text{E. coli} \) and \( \text{Nab. magadii} \) (Iro et al., in prep).

Another crucial region of the \( \phi \text{Ch1} \) DNA comprises ORF34 located to the left part, as well as ORF35 and ORF36 assigned to the central part of the genome (Klein et al., 2002). ORF35 encodes for the site-specific recombinase Int1 which is known to perform inversion reactions resulting in an exchange of the 3’-ends of the neighboring ORF34 and ORF36, respectively. This event however gives rise to the production of the protein \( \text{gp34}_{52} \) carrying the C-terminus of \( \text{gp36} \), which is supposed to be the tail-fibre protein of the virus \( \phi \text{Ch1} \) enabling interaction with its host \( \text{Nab. magadii} \) (Rössler et al., 2004). The function of this protein as well as the mechanism of the inversion within its gene locus will be discussed in the next chapter.

1.2.3.4. The invertible region of \( \phi \text{Ch1} \)

The invertible region on the \( \phi \text{Ch1} \) genome consists of three open reading frames: ORF34, ORF35 and ORF36, respectively. Based on comparative analysis of its sequence, ORF35, also designated as \( \text{int1} \), was shown to code for a site-specific recombinase of the \( \lambda \) integrase family, the Integrase 1 (Int1). Another site-specific recombinase of the same integrase type is present in the halophage \( \phi \text{Ch1} \): Int2, encoded by ORF45 (Klein et al., 2002). In general it can be distinguished between two families of site-specific recombinases: the resolvase/invertase family and the \( \lambda \) integrase family. In comparison to the former, the \( \lambda \) integrase family represents a highly divergent group, involved in numerous processes such as transposition, integration of viral or plasmid DNA into the cellular genome, resolution of circular DNA forms as well as DNA excision or inversion, thereby effecting gene expression (Hallet and Sherratt, 1997). The only striking common characteristic of these enzymes is the presence four conserved amino acid residues, Arg-His-Arg-Tyr (RHRY) forming a
tetrad at the C-terminal region (Argos et al., 1986; Abremski and Hoess, 1992; Blakely and Sherrat, 1996). This motive is also found in Int1 of the halophage φCh1. It is composed of three separate regions on the polypeptide strand, BoxA, BoxB and BoxC which come close together during folding of the protein, providing the four certain amino acids RHRY (Rössler et al., 2004). The catalytic tyrosine of this recombinase is supposed to mediate strand cleavage and delocalization, thus resulting in the formation of an intermediate Holliday junction which then is resolved by rejoicing of the DNA strands (Hallet and Sherrate, 1997; Rössler et al., 2004).

Initially, the exact effect of this process on the life cycle of φCh1 was not clear. A possible influence of either Int1 or Int2 on the integration of the viral DNA into the chromosome of its host was suggested, but also other functions were discussed (Klein et al., 2002). Later on however it was confirmed, that Int1 is required and sufficient in order to perform inversion reactions within the neighboring ORFs flanking its gene locus: ORF34 and ORF36 (Rössler et al., 2004; Ladurner, 2008). These two ORFs both encode for structural proteins and are oriented in opposite directions; so in contrast to ORF34, which is rightward transcribed, ORF36, just like int1, points leftwards (Klein et al., 2002). Yet, only ORF34 possesses a functional promoter (Rössler et al., in prep). However, both, ORF34 and ORF36, contain clusters composed of numerous 30bp direct repeats and one final inverted repeat: IR-L (within ORF34) and IR-R (within ORF36) (Klein et al., 2002). These two repeat clusters are oriented in an inverted direction with respect to each other (Klein et al., 2002). Hence, recombination events within these two ORFs performed by Int1, result in inversion of this gene region, thus leading to an exchange of the 3’ ends of ORF34 and ORF36. For that reason φCh1 DNA isolated from mature phage particles includes two kinds of this gene region differing in their orientation: the non-inverted segment (+ orientation) and the inverted segment (- orientation) (Rössler et al., 2004).
Moreover, as IR-L and IR-R comprise a high number of repeats which can serve as recombination sites, inversion events usually yield products of different length, varying in the number of repeats. In addition site-specific recombination is not necessarily restricted to one single event, but instead of this can happen several times within one DNA strand, resulting in a multitude of possible different variants of this gene region. Yet, the most striking characteristic of these variants is the orientation of int1 and the rest of the invertible region (Rössler et al., 2004). In 2004 the variability of the invertible region was studied by analysis of different versions resulting from cloning.

Figure 6 | The invertible region of φCh1. (a) The invertible region comprises three ORFs: two genes encoding for structural proteins (ORF34 and ORF36), separated by ORF35 (int1) which encodes for the site-specific recombinase Int1. Both, ORF34 and ORF3, contain clusters of 30bp direct repeats (IR-L and IR-R) which are oriented in inverted directions. These repeats are targets of inversion reactions performed by Int1. (b) Inversion of these gene locus results in an exchange of the 3’ ends of ORF34 and ORF36.

(modeled on the basis of Fig. 2, Klein et al., 2002 and Rössler et al., in prep, respectively)
of the BglII-B fragments in \textit{E. coli}. The BglII-B fragment comprises 5995bp of the φCh1 genome, ranging from ORF31 to ORF36, including the invertible region. Out of the 60 different clones found in \textit{E. coli}, five were analyzed in detail. Three of them, BgB1, BgB5 and BgB51 were shown to share an (+) orientation of \textit{int1}, resembling the non-inverted fragment. In contrast BgB43 and BgB52 are the products of an inversion resulting in the exchange of the 3' ends of ORF34 and ORF36 (- orientation). Yet, all five clones differ significantly in the constitution of their clusters IR-L and IR-R and in the numbers of repeats (Rössler et al., 2004). Also on the protein level a variation in the number of the corresponding amino acid repeats, MADV, was confirmed (see table 1). However, for further experiments, the fragments of the two clones BgB1 and BgB52 were chosen as representatives of the (+) and (-) orientated variant of the invertible region, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>ORF34 No. of repeats</th>
<th>ORF34 pl</th>
<th>MW (kDa)</th>
<th>ORF36 No. of repeats</th>
<th>ORF36 pl</th>
<th>MW (kDa)</th>
<th>Orientation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pBGB1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>47.94</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>44.95</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBGB5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>52.99</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>39.89</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBGB43</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>51.09</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>41.26</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBGB51</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>54.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>38.89</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBGB52</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>54.66</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>41.26</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 | Repeat clusters within gp34 and gp36. Observation of five different variants of gp34 and gp36, respectively. Variations in the number of MDAV repeats, differences in properties (pl and MW) and orientation of the invertible fragment are shown. (Rössler et al., 2004)

In 2008 when the shuttle vector pRo-5 was established, the influence of Int1 on the inversion reaction could be observed in its natural environment (Ladurner, 2008). For that purpose, two constructs based on the (-) orientated fragment of clone BgB52 were produced: one plasmid carrying the whole invertible region (pRo-5 52-2) and the other plasmid comprising ORF34 and ORF36, but lacking \textit{int1} (pRo-5 52-3). Both constructs, pRo-5 52-2 and pRo-5 52-3, were transformed into the cured strain of \textit{Nab. magadii}, L13. The two resulting cultures then were used to perform time course experiments in order to detect changes in the orientation of the invertible region with the time and with respect to the absence and presence of Int1. Hence, samples were taken from the growing cultures at different time points and the orientation of the sequence of interest was confirmed by PCR analysis. For that purpose, two different sets of primers were used: 34-5 / 36-3, detecting the (-) orientated fragment resembling BgB52 and 34-5 / 34-3, amplifying (+) orientated, in this case inverted variants (Ladurner, 2008). However, as illustrated in figure 7 inversion products could only be detected in the clone expressing \textit{int1} (pRo-5 52-2), but not in the culture lacking the corresponding enzyme (pRo-5 52-3). Yet, the non-inverted fragment yielded a product in both clones at all time points. These results clearly demonstrate that the ability to perform an inversion reaction within ORF34 and ORF36 totally depends on the presence of the site-specific recombinase Int1.
Moreover, a PCR product resulting from inversion specific primers was first detected after a growth time of 78 hours; hence the inversion was supposed to happen in the stationary growth phase of *Nab. magadii* L13 (Ladurner, 2008). This finding however does not correlate to observations in the wild type strain L11 showing the expression of *int1* in the early logarithmic growth phase (Rössler et al., in prep). A possible reason for early expression of *int1* could be the presence of another protein working as an activator in the lysogenic strain L11. Yet, this assumption has not been confirmed so far.

**Figure 7** The function of Int1 – time course experiment in *Nab. magadii*. (a) Growth curves of L13 (pRo-5 S2-2) and L13 (pRo-5 S2-3). Samples were taken at different time points (indicated by squares). (b) Schematic representation of the constructs of the invertible regions in pRo-5 S2-2 (above) and pRo-5 S2-3 (below) and the corresponding primers used for PCR analysis. (c) PCR analysis of samples from L13 (pRo-5 S2-2) – control (above) and inversion (below). (d) PCR analysis of samples from L13 (pRo-5 S2-3) – control (above) and inversion (below). (Ladurner, 2008)
1.2.3.5. Gp34\textsubscript{52} – the putative tail fibre protein

The expression of ORF34 starts 98h after inoculation, at the beginning of the stationary growth phase, so after inversion within its gene region (Rössler et al., 2004). The resulting product can be detected by western blot analysis using an antiserum directed against structural components of the mature \(\phi\text{Ch1}\) particles (\(\alpha\cdot\phi\text{Ch1}\)) and an antibody specifically raised against gp36 (\(\alpha\cdot\text{gp36}\)), respectively. The latter was shown to give signals at 66 kDa with the gene product of both, ORF34 and ORF36 due to the high number of repetitive elements found in both proteins, resulting in sequence similarities of 33 % (Rössler et al., 2004). Hence based on this method it can neither be distinguished between gp34 and gp36, nor between non-inverted and inverted gene products.

However, the variability of gp34 and gp36 on the protein level has already been demonstrated by investigation of some gene products resulting from cloning of the \(Bgl\text{II}-\text{B}\) fragment in \(E. coli\) (see table 1) (Rössler et al., 2004). As inversion of the invertible region leads to an exchange of the 3' ends of ORF34 and ORF36, it also gives rise to the production of two different variants of gp34: the unmodified gp34\textsubscript{1} and one version carrying the C-terminus of gp36, namely gp34\textsubscript{52}. Both, gp34\textsubscript{1} and gp34\textsubscript{52} represent different versions of the putative tail-fibre protein of \(\phi\text{Ch1}\) (Rössler et al., in prep).

In bacteriophages structural components such as tail fibres are widely used targets for interaction with the host cell receptors in order to initiate the process of infection (Rössler et al., 2004). Hence, alterations of these proteins can result in an increase of the host range, as varying tail fibre proteins enable attachment to different hosts. Such effects are well described in phages P1 and Mu (Sandmeier, 1994). In both cases the production of tail-fibre proteins with alternative C-terminal ends is caused by inversion events within the corresponding gene regions (Glasgow et al., 1989).

The components of the invertible region as well as the encoded proteins are supposed to be quite similar to those found in \(\phi\text{Ch1}\) (Rössler et al., 2004). Yet, in \(\phi\text{Ch1}\) the function of expression of alternative tail fibre proteins is not clear, since \(\text{Nab. magadii}\) represents the only known host infected by this virus (Witte et al., 1997). However, recently the ability of both gp34\textsubscript{1} and gp34\textsubscript{52} to bind to the surface of \(\text{Natrialba magadii}\) was observed (Rössler et al., in prep). For that purpose, in a first experiment both proteins were produced in \(\text{Haloferax volcanii}\). After incubation of the resulting cell extract with \(\text{Nab. magadii}\), the components bound to the cell surface and the unattached proteins were separated by centrifugation. Finally the presence of gp34\textsubscript{1} and gp34\textsubscript{52} in the supernatants and cell fractions was detected by western blot analysis using the antibody \(\alpha\cdot\text{gp36}\) (Rössler et al., in prep). The results clearly indicate that only gp34\textsubscript{52}, but not gp34\textsubscript{1} is attached to the cell surface of \(\text{Nab. magadii}\). Binding assays of the same proteins produced in and purified from \(E. coli\) cells further supported this finding. Moreover further experiments indicated that the C-terminal part of the
protein is involved in interaction with the host cell, while the N-terminal part is supposed to be associated with the viral capsid and the shaft of the tail, an arrangement which is common in other head-tail phages, e.g. T4 (Markhof et al., 1993; Rössler et al., in prep). This view also correlates with the fact that the C-terminus of gp34 comprises a galactose-binding site, which is suspected to interact with glycosylated structures on the archaeal host cell, e.g. flagella or S-layer proteins (Rössler et al., in prep).

Finally it can be concluded, that gp34\textsubscript{52}, the gene product of ORF34 carrying the C-terminus of gp36 is supposed to be the tail fibre protein of φCh1, probably involved in binding to the cells of its host *Natrialba magadii* via interaction of its C-terminal part. Thus, a crucial role of this viral protein in infection of the archaeal cell is very likely, but yet has to be confirmed. For that purpose a φCh1 mutant lacking this protein was constructed in the course of this diploma work.
2. Material and Methods

2.1. Material

2.1.1. Strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>E. coli XL-1 Blue</td>
<td><strong>endA1, gyrA96, hsdR17</strong> (rK-mK), <strong>lac</strong>, recA1, relA1, supE44, thi, (F’, lacI’, lacZΔM15, proAB’, tet)</td>
<td>Stratgene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E. coli Rosetta</td>
<td>F-, <strong>ompT, hsdS</strong> (rB-mB), <strong>gal, dcm</strong>, lacY1, (DE3), pRARE6 (CmR)</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaea</td>
<td>Nab. magadii L11</td>
<td>wild type, φCh1 integrated</td>
<td>Witte et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nab. magadii L13</td>
<td>cured from φCh1</td>
<td>Witte et al., 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nab. magadii L11Δ34</td>
<td>ORF34 deficient φCh1 integrated</td>
<td>this thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nab. magadii P3</td>
<td>NEP deficient L13</td>
<td>Derntl, 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.2. Growth media

LB (rich medium for *E. coli*):

- Peptone 10 g
- NaCl 5 g
- Yeast Extract 5 g

pH 7.0

add dH₂O to a final volume of 1 liter, autoclave it

for agar plates add 15 g/l Agar
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NVM (rich medium for *Nab. magadii*):

- Casaminoacids: 8.8 g
- Yeast Extract: 11.7 g
- Tri-Na citrate: 0.8 g
- KCl: 2.35 g
- NaCl: 235 g

pH 9.0
add dH2O to a final volume of 934 ml, autoclave it

for agar plates add 8 g/l Agar
for soft agar add 4 g/l Agar

After autoclaving, the medium has to be complemented to 1 l by addition of:
- 0.57 M Na₂CO₃ (dissolved in sterile ddH₂O): 65 ml
- 1M MgSO₄ (autoclaved): 1 ml
- 20mM FeSO₄ (dissolved in sterile ddH₂O): 1 ml

### 2.1.3. Antibiotics and additives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Stock conc.</th>
<th>Final conc.</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacteria</strong></td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>20 mg/ml</td>
<td>100 µg/ml</td>
<td>dissolved in ddH₂O, sterile filtered, stored at 4°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>10 mg/ml</td>
<td>10 µg/ml</td>
<td>dissolved in ½ Vol. ddH₂O, completed by ½ Vol. 96 % EtOH, stored at -20°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td>40 mg/ml</td>
<td>20 µg/ml</td>
<td>dissolved in 96 % EtOH, stored at -20°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IPTG</td>
<td>1 M</td>
<td>0.5 – 1 mM</td>
<td>dissolved in ddH₂O, stored at -20°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X-Gal</td>
<td>100 mg/ml</td>
<td>40 µg/ml</td>
<td>dissolved in DMF, stored at -20°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Archaea</strong></td>
<td>Novobiocin</td>
<td>3 mg/ml</td>
<td>3 µg/ml</td>
<td>dissolved in ddH₂O, sterile filtered, stored at -20°C (light protected)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mevinolin</td>
<td>10 mg/ml</td>
<td>7.5 µg/ml</td>
<td>isolated from pulverized tablets, dissolved in 96 % EtOH, stored at -20°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bacitracin</td>
<td>7 mg/ml</td>
<td>70 µg/ml</td>
<td>dissolved in ddH₂O, sterile filtered, stored at 4°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### 2.1.4. Vectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pUC19</td>
<td><em>bla</em>, pMB1ori, lacZa, mcs</td>
<td>Yanisch-Perron et al., 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKS_{ii}^*</td>
<td>mcs, <em>bla</em>, ColE1 ori, lacZa</td>
<td>Stratagene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRSET-A</td>
<td>mcs, <em>bla</em>, EK, PT7, RBS, His-tag, pUC ori, f1 ori</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pQE30</td>
<td><em>bla</em>, ColE1, N-terminal PolyHis6-tag</td>
<td>Quiagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBAD24</td>
<td><em>bla</em>, araC, rnb, mcs, PBAD promoter, pBR322 ori</td>
<td>Guzman et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRo-5</td>
<td><em>bla</em>, ColE1 ori, gyrB (Nov^R), φCh1 derived ori</td>
<td>Iro et al., in prep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNB102</td>
<td><em>bla</em>, ColE1 ori, hmg (Mev^R), pNB101 ori</td>
<td>Zhou et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRR007</td>
<td>modified pKS_{ii}^*, hmg (Mev^R) under 16S promoter control, φCh1 derived ori, MCS</td>
<td>Selb, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMDS11</td>
<td><em>bla</em>, f1 ori, ColE1 ori, gyrB (Nov^R), pHK2 ori</td>
<td>Holmes et al., 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pJAS35</td>
<td><em>bla</em>, ColE1 origin, pHK2 origin, gyrB (Nov^R), P_{fx}</td>
<td>Pfeifer et al., 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBGB1</td>
<td>pKS_{ii}^* (mcs, <em>bla</em>, ColE1 ori, lacZa) with φCh1 BglII-B fragment (ORF31-ORF36) in (+) orientation</td>
<td>Rössler et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBGB52</td>
<td>pKS_{ii}^* (mcs, <em>bla</em>, ColE1 ori, lacZa) with φCh1 BglII-B fragment (ORF31-ORF36) in (-) orientation</td>
<td>Rössler et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKS_{ii}^*ΔORF34-fragment 1</td>
<td>pKS_{ii}^* (mcs, <em>bla</em>, ColE1 ori, lacZa) with upstream region of φCh1 ORF34</td>
<td>this thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKS_{ii}^*ΔORF34-fragment 1+2</td>
<td>pKS_{ii}^* (mcs, <em>bla</em>, ColE1 ori, lacZa) with upstream and downstream regions of φCh1 ORF34</td>
<td>this thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKS_{ii}^*ΔORF34-deletion cassette</td>
<td>pKS_{ii}^* (mcs, <em>bla</em>, ColE1 ori, lacZa) with upstream and downstream regions of φCh1 ORF34, disrupted by gyrB (Nov^R), derived from pMDS11</td>
<td>this thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRR007-ORF34_1</td>
<td>pRR007 (mcs, <em>bla</em>, ColE1 ori, lacZa, hmg (Mev^R)) under 16S promoter control, φCh1 derived ori) with φCh1 ORF34_1</td>
<td>this thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRR007-ORF34_{52}</td>
<td>pRR007 (mcs, <em>bla</em>, ColE1 ori, lacZa, hmg (Mev^R)) under 16S promoter control, φCh1 derived ori) with φCh1 ORF34_{52}</td>
<td>this thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNB102-ORF34_1</td>
<td>pNB102 (<em>bla</em>, ColE1 ori, hmg (Mev^R), pNB101 ori) with</td>
<td>this thesis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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φCh1 ORF34

pNB102-ORF34

pNB102 (bla, ColE1 ori, hmg (Mev\(^6\)), pNB101 ori) with φCh1 ORF34

this thesis

pQE30-flaB1

pQE30 (bla, ColE1, N-terminal poly(His)\(6\)-tag ) with *Nab. magadii* flaB1

Lab Angela Witte, 2006

pBAD24-584

pBAD24 (bla, araC, rnb, mcs, PBAD promoter, pBR322 ori) with φCh1 Int1- IRLHind IRRXba

Lab Angela Witte, 2007

pBAD24-586

pBAD24 (bla, araC, rnb, mcs, PBAD promoter, pBR322 ori) with φCh1 Int1- IRLHind DRRXba

Lab Angela Witte, 2007

pBAD24-608

pBAD24 (bla, araC, rnb, mcs, PBAD promoter, pBR322 ori) with φCh1 IDR IRL int1 (int1 IRRDIRL)

Lab Angela Witte, 2007

pBAD24-615

pBAD24 (bla, araC, rnb, mcs, PBAD promoter, pBR322 ori) with φCh1 ΔIRR IRL

Lab Angela Witte, 2007

pBAD24-661

pBAD24 (bla, araC, rnb, mcs, PBAD promoter, pBR322 ori) with φCh1 IRL-IRM1 int1

Lab Angela Witte, 2007

pBAD24-662

pBAD24 (bla, araC, rnb, mcs, PBAD promoter, pBR322 ori) with φCh1 IRL-IRM4 int1

Lab Angela Witte, 2007

pBAD24-663

pBAD24 (bla, araC, rnb, mcs, PBAD promoter, pBR322 ori) with φCh1 IRL-IRM7 int1

Lab Angela Witte, 2007

pBAD24-664

pBAD24 (bla, araC, rnb, mcs, PBAD promoter, pBR322 ori) with φCh1 IRL-IRM11 int1

Lab Angela Witte, 2007

pUC19-fdx

pUC19 (bla, pMB1ori, lacZa, mcs) with fdx-promoter (from *Hbt. salinarum*)

this thesis

pUC19-fdx-584

pUC19 (bla, pMB1ori, lacZa, mcs) with fdx-promoter and φCh1 Int1- IRLHind IRRXba

this thesis

pUC19-fdx-586

pUC19 (bla, pMB1ori, lacZa, mcs) with fdx-promoter and φCh1 Int1- IRLHind DRRXba

this thesis

pUC19-fdx-608

pUC19 (bla, pMB1ori, lacZa, mcs) with fdx-promoter and φCh1 IDR IRL int1 (int1 IRRDIRL)

this thesis

pUC19-fdx-615

pUC19 (bla, pMB1ori, lacZa, mcs) with fdx-promoter and φCh1 ΔIRR IRL

this thesis

pUC19-fdx-661

pUC19 (bla, pMB1ori, lacZa, mcs) with fdx-promoter and φCh1 IRL-IRM1 int1

this thesis

pUC19-fdx-662

pUC19 (bla, pMB1ori, lacZa, mcs) with fdx-promoter

this thesis
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and φCh1 IRL-IRM4 int1

pUC19-fdx-663  pUC19 (bla, pMB1ori, lacZa, mcs) with fdx-promoter and φCh1 IRL-IRM7 int1  this thesis

pUC19-fdx-664  pUC19 (bla, pMB1ori, lacZa, mcs) with fdx-promoter and φCh1 IRL-IRM11 int1  this thesis

pRo-5-fdx-584  pRo-5 (bla, ColE1 ori, gyrB, φCh1 derived ori) with fdx-promoter and φCh1 Int1-IRL Hind IRRXba  this thesis

pRo-5-fdx-586  pRo-5 (bla, ColE1 ori, gyrB, φCh1 derived ori) with fdx-promoter and φCh1 Int1-IRL Hind DRRXba  this thesis

pRo-5-fdx-608  pRo-5 (bla, ColE1 ori, gyrB, φCh1 derived ori) with fdx-promoter and φCh1 IDR IRL int1 (int1 IRRDIRL)  this thesis

pRo-5-fdx-615  pRo-5 (bla, ColE1 ori, gyrB, φCh1 derived ori) with fdx-promoter and φCh1 ΔIRR IRL  this thesis

pRo-5-fdx-661  pRo-5 (bla, ColE1 ori, gyrB, φCh1 derived ori) with fdx-promoter and φCh1 IRL-IRM1 int1  this thesis

pRo-5-fdx-662  pRo-5 (bla, ColE1 ori, gyrB, φCh1 derived ori) with fdx-promoter and φCh1 IRL-IRM4 int1  this thesis

pRo-5-fdx-663  pRo-5 (bla, ColE1 ori, gyrB, φCh1 derived ori) with fdx-promoter and φCh1 IRL-IRM7 int1  this thesis

pRo-5-fdx-664  pRo-5 (bla, ColE1 ori, gyrB, φCh1 derived ori) with fdx-promoter and φCh1 IRL-IRM11 int1  this thesis

pNB102/43-44  pNB102 (bla, ColE1 ori, hmg (MevR), pNB101 ori) with possible activator of int1 expression in φCh1 (ORF43+44)  this thesis

pUC19-ΔAUG-int1-fragment1  pUC19 (bla, pMB1ori, lacZa, mcs) with φCh1 ORF34 (upstream of ORF35 (int1) AUG)  this thesis

pUC19-ΔAUG-int1-fragment1+2  pUC19 (bla, pMB1ori, lacZa, mcs) with φCh1 ORF34-ORF36, lacking ORF35 (int1) AUG  this thesis

pRo-5-ΔAUG-int1  pRo-5 (bla, ColE1 ori, gyrB, φCh1 derived ori) with φCh1 ORF34-ORF36, lacking ORF35 (int1) AUG  this thesis
2.1.5. Primer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (*)</th>
<th>Restriction site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Int His3</td>
<td>5’ – GTT ACT CAC GCT AGC AAA ACG AAG GAT GAA – 3’</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jas Int5</td>
<td>5’ – ACG TCC ATG GCG CAT CAC CAT CAC CAT CAC ATG TCC AAA GAG AGA CAT GC – 3’</td>
<td>Ncol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-5</td>
<td>5’ – CAG CAG AGA TCT ATG AGT AAA ATC TGG GAA CCG AG – 3’</td>
<td>BglII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-3</td>
<td>5’ – CAG CAG AAG CTT CAG ATC AGG TTT ATA TTG CTG AAG T – 3’</td>
<td>HindIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-3</td>
<td>5’ – CAG CAG AAG CTT ATT CAG GTT TCA TGT CGC TG – 3’</td>
<td>HindIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p28-</td>
<td>5’ – GAG CCG TGT TCG TTC TG – 3’</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p28+</td>
<td>5’ – TCT GGC CTG AAT GAC GA – 3’</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-3-X</td>
<td>5’ – CAG CAG TCT AGA GGT TCG TGC CGG AGT C – 3’</td>
<td>Xbal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44-3</td>
<td>5’ – CAG CAG TCT AGA CAA ACC ACA GAA CGG ACG – 3’</td>
<td>Xbal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-6</td>
<td>5’ – GGG ATC GCA GAG GAG C – 3’</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-1</td>
<td>5’ – AAT TGC GGC CGC CGC GTT GAA GGC A – 3’</td>
<td>NotI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR-2</td>
<td>5’ – AAT TTC TAG ATC CTG GGC CTC TTT GAA – 3’</td>
<td>Xbal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int13-Xba</td>
<td>5’ – CAG CAT CTA GAA GGA TGA AGT GGC GCG – 3’</td>
<td>Xbal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flaB2-1</td>
<td>5’ – GAC CGG ATC CAT GTT CAC TAA CGA CAC CGA – 3’</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flaB2-2</td>
<td>5’ – CAG GAA GCT TAG AGT CGG ACC GCT TC – 3’</td>
<td>HindIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3-8</td>
<td>5’ – CGT AGA AGT ACA TCC GGA TTT – 3’</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-Kpn</td>
<td>5’ – CAG CAG GGT ACC CGG CTG TCG AGG TCA – 3’</td>
<td>KpnI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-5-HindIII</td>
<td>5’ – GAC GAC AAG CTT ATG GAT CCG ATC AGC G – 3’</td>
<td>HindIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43-Kpn-5</td>
<td>5’ – CAG CAG GTA CCG TTG TGC CAG CCG T – 3’</td>
<td>KpnI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-3-Xba</td>
<td>5’ – CAG CTC TAG AGT ATA TCC CTC GTC GAA G – 3’</td>
<td>Xbal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS4-2</td>
<td>5’ – GAC CGA ATT CGC GAG ATC TTC ACC GTT GAA GC – 3’</td>
<td>EcoRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-Pst</td>
<td>5’ – GAT ACT GCA GCT TTT TGT ACC GAT GCG TC – 3’</td>
<td>PstI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79-Nae</td>
<td>5’ – GAT AGC CGG CGA CTC TCA CAA GAT CTC – 3’</td>
<td>Nael</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-9</td>
<td>5’ – GAT GTC GGT CAT CGC GG – 3’</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3602-Xba  5’ – GGC CTC TAG ATC CAA AGA GAG ACA TGC CC – 3’  XbaI
3601-Xba  5’ – GGC CTC TAG AAC AAC ACG CCG GTC A – 3’  XbaI
34-Xba-a  5’ – GAC GTC TAG ACT CCG ATG AAC ACG ACA CTC – 3’  XbaI
fdx-1     5’ – GAA TGG TAC CCT GAC GCC GCG GGC AGC – 3’  KpnI
fdx-2     5’ – GAA TTC TAG ACC ATG GGC ATC ACC AGA GTT – 3’  XbaI
D34-1     5’ – GAC CTC TAG AGT ACC GAA CGC ATC TCG – 3’  XbaI
D34-2     5’ – GCA ACC CGG GAA GCT TCT CGT AGC GTC TGT TTT CCT – 3’  HindIII
D34-3     5’ – GAC CAA GCT TAA CTG ATC TTC ACA CCG GAT – 3’  HindIII
D34-4     5’ – GAA AGG TAC CGA GAG ACA TGC CCA CGA – 3’  KpnI
D34-3-Sma 5’ – GAC CAA GCT TCC CGG GAA CTG ATC TTC ACA CCG GAT – 3’  HindIII, SmaI
34-inv1    5’ – GAG CGG TGG CGT CGA C – 3’  n/a
34-inv2    5’ – GTC ATC CAG TCG CCG C – 3’  n/a
36-inv1    5’ – GTT GTA CCG GTC CGA GAT ATA GTC A – 3’  n/a
NB-1      5’ – TCT ACC GGG TGC TGA ACG – 3’  n/a
NB-2      5’ – CGC TGA TGT ACG AAC CGA G – 3’  n/a

* bold sequences represent recognition sites for restriction endonucleases

2.1.6. Marker

2.1.6.1. DNA ladders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Fragments</th>
<th>Source/Manufacture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agarose gel</td>
<td>λ-BstEII</td>
<td>8453, 7242, 6369, 5687, 4822, 4324, 3675, 2323, 1929, 1371, 1264, 702 [bp]</td>
<td>λ-DNA (Fermentas), digested with restriction endonuclease Eco91I (BstEII) in Buffer O (Fermentas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>λ-PstI</td>
<td>11501, 5077, 4749, 2838, 2556, 2443, 2140, 1986, 1700, 1159, 1093, 805, 514, 468, 448, 339, 264, 247, 216, 211, 200, 164, 150, 94, 87, 72, 15 [bp]</td>
<td>λ-DNA (Fermentas), digested with restriction endonuclease PstI in Buffer O (Fermentas)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### 6 % PAA gel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Fragments (bp)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pUC19 HaeIII</td>
<td>587, 458, 434, 298, 257, 174, 102, 80, 18, 11</td>
<td>pUC19 plasmid DNA, digested with restriction endonucleases BsuR1 (HaeIII) in Buffer R (Fermentas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pUC19 Sau3AI</td>
<td>955, 585, 341, 258, 141, 105, 78/75, 46, 36, 18/17, 12/11.8</td>
<td>pUC19 plasmid DNA, digested with restriction endonucleases Sau3AI in NEBuffer 1 (New England BioLabs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 bp DNA Ladder</td>
<td>1517, 1200, 1000, 900, 800, 700, 600, 500/517, 400, 300, 200, 100</td>
<td>New England BioLabs #N3231L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.1.6.2. Protein ladders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Fragments (kDa)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PageRuler™ Unstained Protein Ladder</td>
<td>200, 150, 120, 100, 85, 70, 60, 50, 40, 30, 25, 20, 15, 10</td>
<td>Fermentas; #SM0661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstained Protein Molecular Weight Marker</td>
<td>116, 66.2, 45, 35, 25, 18.4, 14.4</td>
<td>Fermentas #SM0431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PageRuler™ Plus Prestained Protein Ladder - 1</td>
<td>250, 130, 100, 70, 55, 35, 25, 15, 10</td>
<td>Fermentas #SM1811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PageRuler™ Plus Prestained Protein Ladder - 2</td>
<td>170, 130, 100, 70, 55, 40, 35, 25, 15, 10</td>
<td>Fermentas #SM0671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PageRuler™ Plus Prestained Protein Ladder - 3</td>
<td>250, 130, 100, 70, 55, 35, 25, 15, 10</td>
<td>Fermentas #SM1819</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Southern blot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Fragments (kbp)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biotinylated 2-Log DNA Ladder</td>
<td>10, 8, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1.5, 1.2, 1, 0.9, 0.8, 0.7, 0.6, 0.5, 0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.1</td>
<td>New England BioLabs #N7554S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2.1.7. Enzymes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Category</strong></th>
<th><strong>Enzyme</strong></th>
<th><strong>Source</strong></th>
<th><strong>Application/Remarks</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Restriction enzymes</strong></td>
<td>diverse</td>
<td>Fermentas</td>
<td>applied with appropriate buffers according to the manufacturer’s instructions; double digests were performed as suggested on the Fermentas webpage: <a href="http://www.fermentas.com/en/tools/doubledigest">http://www.fermentas.com/en/tools/doubledigest</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sau3AI</td>
<td>New England BioLabs #R0169S</td>
<td>applied with NEBuffer 1 according to the manufacturer’s instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Polymerases</strong></td>
<td><em>Pwo</em></td>
<td>PeqLab Cat. 01-5020</td>
<td>polymerase from <em>Pyrococcus woesei</em> with 3’ – 5’ exonuclease activity (proofreading function); preferentially used for cloning approaches; applied with corresponding buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pfu</em></td>
<td>Promega Cat. M776A</td>
<td>polymerase from <em>Pyrococcus furiosus</em> with 3’ – 5’ exonuclease activity (proofreading function); used for cloning approaches; applied with corresponding buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>GoTaq®</em></td>
<td>Promega #M31745</td>
<td>no proofreading activity; used for analytical PCRs; applied with corresponding buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Dream Taq®</em></td>
<td>Fermentas Cat. EP0702</td>
<td>no proofreading activity; alternatively used for analytical PCRs; applied with corresponding buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T4 DNA Polymerase</td>
<td>Fermentas #EP0061</td>
<td>used for blunting of restriction sites by removal of 3’ overhangs (enhanced 3’ – 5’ exonuclease activity); applied with adequate Fermentas buffers according to the manufacturer’s instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other enzymes</strong></td>
<td>T4 DNA Ligase</td>
<td>Fermentas #EL0011</td>
<td>used for the generation of phosphodiester bonds between 5’ phosphate- and 3’ hydroxyl groups; applied with the corresponding buffer according to the manufacturer’s instructions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proteinase K</td>
<td>Rocher Cat. 19133</td>
<td>used for generation of spheroblast cells at a final concentration of 20µg/ml (optimal concentration experimentally determined)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.8. Antibodies

2.1.8.1. Primary antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-gp34 (rabbit)</td>
<td>antiserum rabbit 17 diluted 1:2000 in TBS, 0.3 % BSA</td>
<td>Moravian-Biotechnology</td>
<td>purification of φCh1 gp34 and immunization of rabbits in course of this thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-FlaB1 (rabbit)</td>
<td>antiserum rabbit 23 diluted 1:1000 in TBS, 0.3 % BSA</td>
<td>Moravian-Biotechnology</td>
<td>purification of <em>Nab. magadii</em> FlaB1 and immunization of rabbits in course of this thesis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.8.2. Secondary antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-rabbit</td>
<td>antibody diluted 1:5000 in TBS</td>
<td>GE Healthcare Cat. NA934V</td>
<td>conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.9. KITs

All KITs were used with supplied buffers and solutions according to manufacturer’s instructions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNA purification</td>
<td>QIA® Gel Extraction Kit: Buffers PB and PE</td>
<td>Qiagen, Cat. 28706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA purification – elution from gel</td>
<td>QIA® Gel Extraction Kit: QG, PE and Elution Buffer</td>
<td>Qiagen, Cat. 28706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini prep</td>
<td>Gene JET™ Plasmid Miniprep Kit: Resuspension Solution, Lysis Solution, Neutralisation Solution, Wash Solution</td>
<td>Fermentas, #K0503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern blot – labeling of the probe</td>
<td>NEBlot ® Phototope ® Kit</td>
<td>New England Biolabs, #N7550S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern blot – development of the blot</td>
<td>Phototope™-Star Detection Kit for Nucleic Acids</td>
<td>New England Biolabs, #N7020S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western blot – development of the blot</td>
<td>Super Signal® West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific, # 34080</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.10. Buffers and solutions

2.1.10.1. DNA Gel electrophoresis

Agarose gels:

50x TAE: x % Agarose gel:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tris base</td>
<td>2 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>1 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>0.1 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

solid agarose 1x TAE

adjust pH 8.2 with HCl

6 % PAA gels:

10x TBE: 30% AA solution: 6 % PAA gel:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tris base</td>
<td>108 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boric acid</td>
<td>60 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>7.4 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylamide</td>
<td>29 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N,N'-Bisacrylamide</td>
<td>1 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30 % AA solution 1x TBE 10 % APS TEMED

adjust pH 8.0 with Boric acid
add ddH₂O to a final volume of 1 l

Loading dye:

5x DNA loading dye:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tris-HCl, pH 8.0</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>0.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromphenol blue</td>
<td>0.05 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylene blue</td>
<td>0.05 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose/Saccharose (after autoclaving)</td>
<td>25 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.10.2. Southern blot

20x SSC:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>3 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-citrate</td>
<td>0.3 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

50x Denhardt’s solution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ficoll 400</td>
<td>1 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinlypyrolidone</td>
<td>1 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>1 g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

add ddH₂O to a final volume of 100 ml
store at -20°C

Hybridisation Buffer:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ddH₂O</td>
<td>55 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20x SSC</td>
<td>25 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50x Denhardt’s solution</td>
<td>10 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 % BSA</td>
<td>5 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 M Na₂HPO₄</td>
<td>5 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 % SDS</td>
<td>500 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 M EDTA</td>
<td>200 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

store at -20°C

Blocking solution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>7.3 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂HPO₄</td>
<td>2.41 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaH₂PO₄</td>
<td>0.96 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>50 g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

adjust pH 7.2
add ddH₂O to a final volume of 1 l

10x Wash solution II:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tris base</td>
<td>12.1 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>5.85 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCl₂</td>
<td>2.03 g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

adjust pH 9.5
add ddH₂O to a final volume of 100 ml

1x Wash solution I:

1:10 dilution of Blocking solution

Other solutions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.25 M HCl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4 M NaOH / 0.6 M NaCl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 M NaCl / 0.5 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4 M NaOH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2 M Tris / HCl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>herring sperm 100 µg/ml</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x SSC / 0.1 % SDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4x SSC / 0.1 % SDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1x SSC / 0.1 % SDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.10.3. SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis

2x Protein sample buffer (Laemmli):
- Tris-HCl, pH 6.8: 0.12 mM
- SDS: 4 %
- Glycerol: 17.4 %
- β-mercaptoethanol: 2 %
- Bromphenol blue: 0.02 %

5 mM Sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8):
- A) NaH₂PO₄: 0.2 M
- B) Na₂HPO₄: 0.2 M

Solution B, filled up to a final volume of 200 ml.

4x Separation gel buffer:
- Tris-HCl, pH 8.8: 1.5 M
- SDS: 0.4 %

4x Stacking gel buffer:
- Tris-HCl, pH 6.8: 0.5 M
- SDS: 0.4 %

30% AA solution:
- Acrylamide: 29 %
- N,N’-Bisacrylamide: 1 %

10x SDS-PAGE running buffer:
- Tris base: 0.25 M
- Glycine: 1.92 M
- SDS: 1 %

Coomassie staining solution:
- Methanol: 40 %
- Acetic acid: 10 %
- Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250: 0.25 %

Destaining solution:
- Acetic acid: 10 %
- (Methanol 10 %)

Resolving of Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 in methanol before addition of acetic acid and ddH₂O

Transblot buffer:
- Tris base: 48 mM
- Glycine: 39 mM
- SDS: 0.037 % (v/v)
- Methanol: 20%

10x TBS/TBS-T:
- Tris base: 30.29 g
- NaCl: 80.06 g
- KCl: 2.01 g
- (Tween 20: 5 ml)

Adjust pH 8.0 with HCl
Add ddH₂O to a final volume of 1 l

Ponceau S solution:
- Ponceau S: 0.5 %
- TCA: 3 %
2.1.10.4. Protein purification (denaturing)

Buffer B (Lysis buffer):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 )</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris-HCL</td>
<td>10 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>8 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Buffer C (Wash buffer):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 )</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris-HCL</td>
<td>10 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>8 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjust pH 8.0 with NaOH (immediately before use)

Buffer E (Elution buffer):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 )</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris-HCL</td>
<td>10 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>8 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjust pH 4.5 with HCl (immediately before use)

10x PBS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>8 % (w/v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>0.2 % (w/v)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjust pH 7.4

Dialysis buffer 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>4 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>2 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris base</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjust pH 9.5 with HCl

Dialysis buffer 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>4 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris base</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjust pH 9.5 with HCl

2.1.10.5. Transformation of \textit{E. coli} and \textit{Nab. magadii}

Transformation of \textit{E. coli} – generation of competent cells:

MOPS I:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOPS</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CaCl}_2 )</td>
<td>10 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{RbCl}_2 )</td>
<td>10 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjust pH 7.0 with KOH

MOPS II:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOPS</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CaCl}_2 )</td>
<td>70 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{RbCl}_2 )</td>
<td>10 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjust pH 6.5 with KOH

MOPS IIa:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOPS</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CaCl}_2 )</td>
<td>70 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{RbCl}_2 )</td>
<td>10 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjust pH 6.5 with KOH
Material and Methods

Transformation of *Nab. magadii*:

Buffered high salt spheroblasting solution:  
Buffered high salt spheroblasting solution with glycerol:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tris-HCl, pH 8.0</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>2 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>27 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris-HCl, pH 8.0</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>2 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>27 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unbuffered high salt spheroblasting solution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>2 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>27 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>15 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 % PEG 600:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.10.6. Buffers and solution for *Archaea* methods

Isolation of chromosomal DNA from *Nab. magadii*:

diverse material:  
High alkaline salt solution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desoxycholat</td>
<td>14 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol/Chloroform</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropanol</td>
<td>solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CsCl</td>
<td>solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethidium bromide</td>
<td>10 mg/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butanol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>4 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris base</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extraction of plasmid DNA from *Nab. magadii*:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution I (Resuspension sol.):</th>
<th>Solution II (SDS/OH sol.):</th>
<th>Solution III (K-Acetate sol.):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>K-Acetate 5 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 M</td>
<td>1 %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>0.2 M</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

diverse material:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isopropanol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>70 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.10.7. Buffers and solution for phage methods

Isolation of phage particles:

High alkaline salt solution: Buffer Solution 1.1, 1.3, 1.5: PEG 6000

- NaCl 4 M
- Tris base 50 mM
- adjust pH 8.5 – 9

Solution 1.1: Solution 1.3: Solution 1.5:

- CsCl 20 g NaCl 2 M
- Buffer 200 ml Tris base 50 mM
- Buffer 200 ml

Precipitation of phage proteins:

diverse material:

- TCA 50 %
- Ammoniac

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. DNA cloning methods – cloning in *E. coli*

2.2.1.1. Gel electrophoresis

In general for observation of DNA probes, small aliquots were mixed with 5 µl 5x DNA loading dye, loaded onto DNA gels and separated in electric fields according to their size. Afterwards the DNA was stained by incubation of the gel in an ethidium bromide bath (10 µg/ml) and visualized under a UV-transilluminator.

The nature of the gels used for this purpose was depending on the size of the DNA-fragment: small fragments (> 1000 bp) were usually separated on a 6 % PAA gel, whereas DNA fragments larger than 1000 bp were observed on a 0.8% agarose gel. In some cases however, e.g. for southern blots, agarose gels with a higher percentage of agarose were used for analysis of small DNA fragments.
**Agarose gels:**

For preparation of an agarose gel the required amount of agarose was filled up with 1x TAE buffer and completely melted by heating in the microwave. After cooling down, the clear solution was poured in the provided gel tray of the desired size (large gel tray: 300 ml; medium gel tray: 100 ml; small gel tray: 50 ml gel). For final use, the solidified gel was put into an electrophoresis apparatus and covered with 1x TAE buffer. The prepared DNA samples were loaded onto the gel and separated by setting an electric potential of usually about 10 V/cm. The duration of the run was adapted to the fragment size and the purposes of electrophoresis, respectively.

**6% PAA gel:**

For casting of a 6% PAA gel the components were mixed as described in section 2.1.10.1. Immediately after adding APS and TEMED the gel was poured between two glass plates fixed in a casting system provided by Biorad (Mini – Protean® 3 system). The completely polymerized gel was set into the corresponding running apparatus and covered with 1x TBE buffer. After carefully removing the comb, the gel was ready for loading and separation of DNA samples. The electrophoretic separation within a 6% PAA gel was performed for 26 minutes, applying a power of 20 mA/gel.

### 2.2.1.2. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed in order to amplify DNA, either for increasing the material used for cloning strategies (preparative PCR), or for detection of certain DNA fragments in probes isolated from living cells (analytical PCR). Depending on the purpose, different templates and polymerases were used. Anyway, the primers applied for all PCR approaches were obtained from VBC genomics. Delivered in a lyophilized form they were solved in ddH₂O, yielding 1 µg/µl stocks, which were diluted 1:10 for final use. Based on the G-C content of the primers the melting temperatures \(T_m\) were calculated using the program Gene Runner from Hastings Software (Version 3.01). The primer annealing temperature applied during PCR progression was usually set 4° C lower than the melting temperature of the primer with a lower \(T_m\). Other PCR conditions, the elongation temperature and elongation time, were adapted to the activity and efficiency of the used polymerases and the length of the amplified fragments, respectively.

**Preparative PCR:**

For preparative PCRs polymerases with proofreading activity, preferentially Pwo, alternatively Pfu, were applied as they achieve lower error rates than Taq polymerases. As a
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template either φCh1-DNA or plasmid preparations from *E. coli*, both usually diluted 1:30, were used.

**Analytical PCR:**

Analytical PCRs were often performed to screen for positive clones resulting from transformation of certain plasmids in *Nab. magadii*. For this purpose no proofreading activity was necessary, hence *Taq* polymerases (usually GoTaq®, alternatively Dream Taq®) were used. As PCR templates crude extracts were prepared from archaean cells by centrifugation of 20 µl culture (3 min, 10 krpm) and solution of the pellet in 100 µl ddH₂O. In addition, preparations of the transformed plasmids (1:30) and crude extracts from the original culture were tested as positive and negative controls, respectively. Furthermore, in course of this diploma work inversion events on plasmids transformed in *Nab. magadii* were detected by PCR analysis. Since *Taq* polymerases are able to cause inversion of DNA fragments on their own, *Pwo* polymerase was used for these experiments. For preparation of PCR templates, plasmid DNA was isolated from the archaean cells according to the instructions in section 2.2.3.5.

### PCR batch GoTaq® (50 µl):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28.5 µl</td>
<td>ddH₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 µl</td>
<td>5x GoTaq® buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 µl</td>
<td>2 mM dNTPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 µl</td>
<td>primer forward (0.1 µg/µl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 µl</td>
<td>primer reverse (0.1 µg/µl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 µl</td>
<td>template DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 µl</td>
<td>polymerase (GoTaq®)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PCR batch Dream Taq® (50 µl):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33.5 µl</td>
<td>ddH₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 µl</td>
<td>10x Dream <em>Taq</em> buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 µl</td>
<td>2 mM dNTPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 µl</td>
<td>primer forward (0.1 µg/µl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 µl</td>
<td>primer reverse (0.1 µg/µl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 µl</td>
<td>template DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 µl</td>
<td>polymerase (Dream Taq®)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* x: The annealing temperature was calculated based on the G-C content of the primers used for the approach

y: The elongation temperature was chosen corresponding to the optimal activity of the polymerase

(Pwo: 68° C; Pfu: 72° C)

z: The elongation time was adapted to the efficiency of the polymerase (*Pwo/Pfu*: 500 bp/min) and the length of the amplified DNA fragment, respectively
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**PCR batch Pwo (50 µl):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume (µl)</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>PCR program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>ddH₂O</td>
<td>94° C 5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10x Pwo buffer</td>
<td>94° C 1 min denaturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 mM dNTPs</td>
<td>x° C * 1 min primer annealing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>primer forward (0.1 µg/µl)</td>
<td>y° C * z min * elongation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>primer reverse (0.1 µg/µl)</td>
<td>y° C * 5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>template DNA</td>
<td>4° C infinite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>polymerase (Pwo)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* x: The annealing temperature was calculated based on the G-C content of the primers used for the approach
  y: The elongation temperature was chosen corresponding to the optimal activity of the polymerase
  (Pwo: 68° C; GoTaq®/Dream Taq*: 72° C)
  z: The elongation time was adapted to the efficiency of the polymerase (Pwo: 500 bp/min; GoTaq®/Dream Taq*: 1000 bp/min) and the length of the amplified DNA fragment, respectively

The results of both, preparative and analytical PCRs were controlled by analysis of DNA probes on agarose and 6 % PAA gels, respectively.

2.2.1.3. DNA purification

- **Simple purification:**
  
  In general DNA was purified after PCR and some restriction reactions to get rid of small DNA species (dNTPs, primers, etc.) and chemical components possibly disturbing further reactions. This was performed using the QIAGen PCR purification kit (QIAGEN) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Instead of elution buffer, an appropriate volume of ddH₂O (usually 50 µl) was applied for elution of the purified DNA.

- **Elution from agarose and 6 % PAA gels:**
  
  In the presence of large unwanted PCR or restriction site products, specific DNA fragments could be isolated by extraction from agarose gels and 6 % PAA gels, respectively. The nature of the gel was chosen depending on the size of the fragments (see section 2.2.1.1.). Anyway, for gel elution the whole DNA sample was mixed with 5x DNA loading dye, loaded onto the gel (up to 20 µl per slot) and separated in an electric field as described in section 2.2.1.1. After short staining in an ethidium bromide bath, the bands of interest were cut out of the gel under 70 % UV light and transferred in Eppendorf tubes. DNA isolated from agarose gels was simply purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction kit (QIAGEN) following the supplied protocol. For extraction from 6 % PAA gels however the gel slices first had to be minced and incubated in 300 µl elution buffer (same kit), shaking at 37° C over night. Finally the DNA was isolated by centrifugation (13.2 krpm, 5 min) and purified from the resulting supernatant, also using the QIAquick Gel Extraction kit (QIAGEN) according to manufacturer’s instructions.
Anyway, the purified DNA was eluted from the column with an appropriate volume of ddH$_2$O (usually 50 µl). The result of the purification procedure was controlled by analysis of a DNA probe on an agarose or 6 % PAA gel.

### 2.2.1.4. Restriction of DNA

Almost all DNA restrictions were performed using restriction enzymes and the appropriate buffers provided by Fermentas. Only for digestion of pUC19 DNA with Sau3AI in order to produce a DNA marker, an endonuclease of the company New England BioLabs was applied. For restrictions involved in cloning procedures usually batches with a total volume of 50 µl were mixed, whereas for analytical digests (verifying of positive transformants) smaller batches (20 µl) were sufficient. For cloning approaches the amount of DNA added to the restriction batch was adapted to the estimated DNA concentration in the original probe. The amounts of buffer and enzymes, as well as the sort of buffer, were chosen according to the optimal conditions recommended by the manufacturer. Double digests were performed using the buffers suggested on the Fermentas DoubleDigest™ website (http://www.fermentas.com/en/tools/doubledigest). To achieve best restriction results for cloning purpose, the DNA was digested for 3 hours or over night at the appropriate temperature (usually 37° C), whereas analytical restriction batches were incubated for only 1 hour. Anyway, the result of the restriction procedure was analyzed on an agarose gel.

### 2.2.1.5. Removal of 3’ overhangs

Removal of 3’ overhangs was achieved using T4 DNA polymerase from Fermentas in the absence of dNTPs. However, to avoid changing of the buffer system, 1 µl enzyme was added directly to the 50 µl restriction mix after inactivation of the restriction endonucleases (10 min, 65° C) instead of using the supplied buffer. The activity of T4 DNA polymerase in the KpnI-buffer was ensured by checking the enzyme informations on the Fermentas website. The blunting reaction was done by incubation at 37° C for 30 min, followed by inactivation of T4 DNA polymerase by heating at 75° C for 10 min.

### 2.2.1.6. Ligation

For ligation both, the linearized vector and the DNA fragment to be ligated (insert) were analyzed on an agarose gel in order to estimate the DNA concentration. The ligation reaction was performed using the T4 DNA ligase (Fermentas) in combination with the supplied buffer. The amount
of vector used for ligation was varied by dilution in order to achieve a vector / insert ration of 3 / 1. The batch was mixed as follows:

- 11.5 µl insert DNA
- 1.5 µl ligase buffer
- 1 µl T4 DNA ligase (Fermentas)
- 1 µl linearized vector (diluted)

Ligation was performed by incubation for 3 h at room temperature or overnight in a 16° C water bath.

### 2.2.1.7. Transformation of *E. coli*

- **Generation of CaCl₂ competent *E. coli* cells:**
  
  For generation of competent *E. coli* cells, 400 ml LB *supplemented* with the respective antibiotic were inoculated with an overnight culture of the desired strain (XL-1 Blue and Rosetta, respectively) to an initial optical density (OD₆₀₀) of 0.1. Shaking at 37° C the culture was grown until an OD₆₀₀ of approximately 0.6 was reached. The logarithmic growing cells were harvested by centrifugation (15 min, 6000 rpm, 4° C), resuspended in 160 ml MOPS I and incubated on ice for 10 min. Once more, it was centrifuged (15 min, 6000 rpm, 4° C), yielding a pellet which was resuspended in 160 ml MOPS II. This time it was incubated on ice for 30 min and pelleted by centrifugation again. Finally the pellet was solved in 8 ml MOPS IIa, aliquoted to 100 µl per Eppendorf tube and stored at -80° C until final use. To achieve optimal results, all steps were carried out as quickly as possible keeping the cells cooled during the whole procedure and using ice cold solutions.

* alternatively competent cells were generated from 100 ml culture, using ¼ vol. of the described buffers

- **Heat shock transformation:**

  For transformation of a ligation product, the whole 15 µl ligation batch was pipetted to the 100 µl competent *E. coli* cells (thawed on ice). Transformation of plasmid preparations in contrast was performed using 10-200 ng DNA. Anyway, after adding the DNA, the bacterial cells were incubated on ice for 30 min. To enhance the intake of foreign DNA the cells were heat shocked by keeping them on 42° C for 2 min. After short incubation on ice, 300 µl LB were added and the cells were regenerated at 37° C for another 30 min. Finally they were plated on LB agar plates containing the respective antibiotics (120 µl per plate) and incubated at 37° C overnight. The next day single colonies were inoculated in order to screen for positive clones.
2.2.1.8. Quick Apply – screening for positive clones

For quick screening of transformants, numerous single colonies (usually 30) were picked from selective agar plates, inoculated in 5 ml LB medium supplemented with the respective antibiotics and grown at 37°C overnight. The next day 300 µl were taken from each liquid culture and centrifuged at 13.2 krpm for 3 min. The supernatant was removed and the resulting cell pellet was resuspended in 30 µl 5x DNA loading dye. Afterwards the batch was mixed with 14 µl of phenol/chloroform (1:1) by thorough vortexing (approx. 30 sec) and centrifuged again (13.2 krpm, 5 min). 12 µl of the aqueous phase were loaded onto a 0.8 % agarose gel in order to analyze the crude extracted nucleic acids in the probes. The run of the gel and staining were performed as described in section 2.2.1.1. According to the positions of the plasmid bands on the gel, clones containing the religated vector without insert could be distinguished from putative positive clones (containing the vector carrying the insert). The latter were verified by analytical restriction (see section 2.2.1.4.) of prepared plasmids and, if necessary, by analytical PCRs (see section 2.2.1.2.).

2.2.1.9. Plasmid preparation from E. coli

Plasmid DNA from E. coli was prepared from 3 ml liquid culture (2 x 1.5 ml) using the GeneJet™ Plasmid Miniprep Kit from Fermentas according to manufacturer’s instructions. However, instead of elution buffer, 50 µl ddH2O were used for elution of the plasmid DNA from the silica column.

2.2.1.10. Quantification of DNA and lyophilization

DNA concentrations were calculated based on OD_{260/280} using the UV/Vis-Spectrophotometer NanoDrop ND-1000 from PeqLab. Semiquantitative determinations of DNA concentrations were performed by analysis of DNA probes on agarose and 6 % PAA gels, respectively. To increase DNA concentrations by reducing the volume (necessary for some procedures), the speed vac UNIVAPO 100H (UniEquip) was used for lyophilization.

2.2.1.11. Alpha complementation

If an insert was cloned into a vector disrupting the α-fragment of the *lacZ* gene, this method could be used to facilitate the first step of cloning. For that purpose E. coli cells (*lacZ*-α deficient *E. coli* strain) transformed with the ligated plasmids were plated on LB agar plates containing 1 mM IPTG and 40 µg/ml X-Gal. As a result, due to the activity of β-galactosidase, two types of colonies
could be distinguished: blue colonies (carrying a functional \textit{lacZ-\alpha-fragment}) and white colonies (containing plasmids carrying the insert). This way, pre-selection of positive clones was enabled.

2.2.2. Cloning strategies of current projects

2.2.2.1. Strategies for the deletion of φCh1 ORF34

For construction of a φCh1 deletion mutant lacking the putative tail fibre protein, the regions upstream and downstream of ORF34, interrupted by a novobiocin resistance cassette, were cloned on the suicide vector pKS\textsubscript{II}\textsuperscript{+}. In a first cloning step the upstream region (nu. 22252 – 23235 of the genome of φCh1) was amplified by PCR using the primers D34-1 and D34-2. The resulting 1006 bp fragment was digested with Xba\textit{i} and \textit{KpnI} and ligated with the vector pKS\textsubscript{II}\textsuperscript{+} (restricted with the same enzymes). After verification of a positive clone, the resulting plasmid (pKS\textsubscript{II}\textsuperscript{+} ΔORF34-fragment 1) was digested with the restriction endonucleases \textit{KpnI} and \textit{HindIII}. For cloning of the region downstream of ORF34 (fragment 2, nu. 24625 – 25584 of the genome of φCh1) another preparative PCR was performed, using the primers D34-3-Sma and D34-4, respectively. However, for amplification of both, fragment 1 and 2, φCh1 DNA (diluted 1:30) was used as a PCR template. Anyway, just like the vector, the 985 bp product of PCR 2 was restricted with \textit{KpnI} and \textit{HindIII} and ligated with pKS\textsubscript{II}\textsuperscript{+} ΔORF34-fragment 1, yielding the plasmid pKS\textsubscript{II}\textsuperscript{+} ΔORF34-fragment 1+2. Finally, the novobiocin restriction cassette was cloned between fragment 1 and fragment 2. For that purpose the 2453 bp \textit{gyrB} (coding for Nov\textsuperscript{R}) was cut out of the plasmid pMDS11 using \textit{SmaI} and \textit{HindIII} and isolated by extraction from a 0.8 \% agarose gel. After restriction of pKS\textsubscript{II}\textsuperscript{+} ΔORF34-fragment 1+2 with \textit{SmaI} and \textit{HindIII} (both restriction sites introduced by primer D34-3-Sma), vector and template were ligated and cloned in \textit{E. coli}. The final construct (pKS\textsubscript{II}\textsuperscript{+} ΔORF34-deletion cassette) was then used for replacing of ORF34 in the φCh1 genome by transformation into \textit{Nab. magadii} L11 and screening for positive clones resulting from chromosomal integration.

2.2.2.2. Complementation of φCh1-ΔORF34

In order to verify the effect caused by deletion of φCh1 ORF34, the mutation was complemented by introduction of a functional ORF34 on a plasmid. For this purpose, two different variants were tested: the non-inverted fragment ORF34\textsubscript{1} and the inversion product ORF34\textsubscript{52}, carrying the 3’ end of ORF36. However, only gene products of constructs comprising ORF34\textsubscript{52} were supposed to complement the function of the putative tail fibre protein gp34, enabling infection of the host cell by the virus φCh1.
For cloning both variants, ORF34\(_1\) and ORF34\(_{52}\), were amplified by preparative PCR and introduced into the vector pRR007. The PCR of ORF34\(_1\) was performed applying the primers 34-3 and 34-Kpn, whereas for the amplification of ORF34\(_{52}\), the primers 34-Kpn and 36-3 were employed. As templates, the plasmids pBGB1 and pBGB52 respectively, both prepared from *E. coli*, were used. The product of each PCR was restricted with *KpnI* and *HindIII* and ligated with the shuttle vector pRR007 (digested with the same enzymes). The two resulting plasmids, pRR007-ORF34\(_1\) and pRR007-ORF34\(_{52}\), were transformed in the *Nab. magadii* strain L11 ΔORF34, yet screening did not yield any positive clones. Hence, two further constructs using another shuttle vector (pNB102) were prepared. Again ORF34\(_1\) and ORF34\(_{52}\) were amplified by preparative PCRs, this time using the primer-sets 34-Kpn / 34-3-Xba and 34-Kpn / 34-3-X, respectively. Both fragments, as well as the vector pNB102 were digested with *KpnI* and *XbaI*. Ligation of pNB102 with ORF34\(_1\) and ORF34\(_{52}\) respectively yielded two plasmids, pNB102-ORF34\(_1\) and pNB102-ORF34\(_{52}\), which were successfully transformed in archaeal strains and used for complementation experiments.

### 2.2.2.3. Construction of eight int1 clones comprising variations in single repeats

In course of this diploma work, different constructs for further investigation of the inversion reaction were made. All of them were composed of int1 as well as single repeats of the clusters IR-R and IR-L found within ORF34 and ORF36 of the φCh1 genome. These repeats were flanking a spacer region resembling the invertible region in its size and G-C content. However, three of the eight clones (#584, #586, #608) were differing in the orientation of the repeats, whereas four clones (#661, #662, #663, #664) were characterized by exchanges of certain nucleotides. The last clone (#615) was used as a negative control as int1 was lacking, excluding the possibility of inversion of the spacer region. The eight constructs are listed in the table below.

For investigation of the effect of the single repeat variations on the possibility to perform inversion events, all eight int1-constructs were cloned on the shuttle vector pRo-5, controlled by the putative strong promoter fdx from *H. salinarum*. Hence, as an initial step a cloning vector (pUC19) containing fdx had to be produced. For this purpose the 100 bp fragment was amplified by PCR, using the primers fdx-1 and fdx-2 and pJAS35 (plasmid preparation from *E.coli*) as a template. Both, the produced fdx-fragment and the pUC19 vector were digested with *KpnI* and *XbaI*, ligated and transformed into the *E.coli* strain XL-1 Blue. Screening for clones containing the small insert was enhanced by alpha complementation (see section 2.2.1.11.). Positive clones were verified by digestion with *Ncol* (additional restriction site introduced by fdx). However, for introduction of the int1-constructs, pUC19-fdx was digested with *Ncol* and *HindIII*. The eight inserts were isolated by restriction of pBAD24 vectors containing the constructs (pBAD24-584, pBAD24-586, pBAD24-608,
pBAD24-615, pBAD24-661, pBAD24-662, pBAD24-663 and pBAD24-664) and extraction from a 0.8 % agarose gel. All of them were ligated with the digested vector pUC19-fdx and cloned in E.coli. The plasmids prepared from positive clones (pUC19-fdx-584, pUC19-fdx-586, pUC19-fdx-608, pUC19-fdx-615, pUC19-fdx-661, pUC19-fdx-662, pUC19-fdx-663 and pUC19-fdx-664) were digested with HindIII and KpnI in order to isolate the whole fragment comprising the int1-construct and the fdx promoter. By gelelution the eight fdx-int1-fragments (615: 1200 bp, others: 2000 bp) were separated from the rest vector and finally cloned into the shuttle vector pRo-5. The eight plasmids resulting from these cloning procedures were termed pRo-5-fdx-584, pRo-5-fdx-586, pRo-5-fdx-608, pRo-5-fdx-615, pRo-5-fdx-661, pRo-5-fdx-662, pRo-5-fdx-663 and pRo-5-fdx-664, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone number</th>
<th>Repeat variant</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#584</td>
<td>Int1-IRLHind IRRXba</td>
<td>spacer region flanked by inverted repeats, positive control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#586</td>
<td>Int1-IRLHind DRRXba</td>
<td>spacer region flanked by direct repeats (IR-L orientation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#608</td>
<td>IDR IRL int1 (int1 IRRDIRL)</td>
<td>spacer region flanked by direct repeats (IR-R orientation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#615</td>
<td>ΔIRR IRL</td>
<td>lack of int1, negative control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#661</td>
<td>IRL-IRM1 int1</td>
<td>point mutation within repeat sequence: exchange G3 to A3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#662</td>
<td>IRL-IRM4 int1</td>
<td>point mutation within repeat sequence: exchange G4 to A4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#663</td>
<td>IRL-IRM7 int1</td>
<td>point mutation within repeat sequence: exchange A5 to T5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#664</td>
<td>IRL-IRM11 int1</td>
<td>point mutation within repeat sequence: exchange C6 to A6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2.4. Cloning of a putative activator of int1 expression (ORF43/44)

To investigate the influence of a possible activator on the expression of int1 and the time point of inversion, the corresponding gene region (ORF43 and ORF44) was cloned onto the shuttle vector pNB102 and introduced in a Nab. magadii strain already carrying the invertible region on another vector (pRo-5). The region comprising ORF43 to ORF44 was amplified by PCR using the primers 44-3 and 43-Kpn-5 and φCh1-DNA (diluted 1:30) as a template. The resulting product was restricted with KpnI and XbaI and ligated with pNB102 (digested with the same enzymes), yielding the plasmid pNB102/43-44.

2.2.2.5. Deletion of the start codon of int1 (ΔAUG-int1)

Construction of a vector comprising the whole φCh1 invertible region except for the start codon of int1 was achieved by amplification of the regions upstream and downstream of int1-AUG and combination of both fragments on a plasmid. As a first step, the 2323 bp upstream region was cloned using the primers 34-Kpn and 3601-Xba and the plasmid pBGB52 as a template for preparative PCR. The product was restricted with KpnI and XbaI and introduced into a pUC19 cloning vector. Next, the resulting plasmid (pUC19-ΔAUG-int1-fragment1) was digested with HindIII and XbaI.
In order to prepare the second fragment (region downstream of AUG-int1), a PCR was performed using the same template and 36-5-HindIII as well as 3602-Xba as primers. The resulting 2161 bp fragment 2 was digested with HindIII and XbaI as well and ligated with the linearized vector, yielding the plasmid pUC19-ΔAUG-int1-fragment1+2. As a third step, the whole ΔAUG-construct was transferred to the shuttle vector pRo-5. For this purpose pUC19-ΔAUG-int1-fragment1+2 was digested with FspI (NsbI) and HindIII, yielding one HindIII restriction site and one bunt end. The 4500 bp ΔAUG-fragment was isolated from the rest vector by extraction from a 0.8 % agarose gel. For production of an appropriate pRo-5 vector, a 50 µl restriction batch was mixed, digesting with KpnI first. Afterwards the enzyme was inactivated and the 3’ overhang on the restriction site was removed employing T4 DNA polymerase in the absence of dNTPs (see section 2.2.1.5.), yielding the blunt end. Finally, the DNA was purified in order to remove chemical components of the old buffer-system and pRo-5 was digested with HindIII for creation of the appropriate sticky end. To get the plasmid pRo-5-ΔAUG-int1 which was finally transformed in Nab. magadii L13, the resulting pRo-5 vector was ligated with the prepared ΔAUG-fragment and cloned in E. coli.

2.2.3. Methods for Archaea

2.2.3.1. Transformation of Nab. magadii and screening for positive clones

- **Generation of Nab. magadii spheroblast cells:**
  For generation of competent Nab. magadii cells (spheroblasts), a fresh pre-culture was used for inoculation of three baffled 500 ml flasks, each containing 60 ml NVM+ supplemented with bacitracin (70 µg/ml). Usually the batches were completed by the addition of 4 ml, 6 ml, and 8 ml pre-culture, respectively. The cells were grown at 37°C, shaking with a speed of 160 rpm in order to reach an OD$_{600}$ of 0.5-0.6 (usually at least after 24h). The culture with the optical density closest to the aimed value was centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 15 min (room temperature), yielding a cell pellet which was resuspended in ½ volume (30 ml) buffered spheroblasting solution with glycerol. After addition of protease K to a final concentration of 20 µg/ml, the batch was incubated on a 42° C shaker for 1-2 days. When formation of spheroblast cells could be observed by light microscopy, the Archaea were supposed to be competent for transformation of foreign DNA.

- **Transformation of competent Nab. magadii cells:**
  For each transformation batch (incl. neg. control), spheroblast cells from 1.5 ml culture were harvested by centrifugation (10 krpm, 3 min, room temperature) and resuspended in 150 µl
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buffered spheroblasting solution (without glycerol). After addition of 15 µl 0.5 M EDTA the cells were incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Subsequently the DNA to be transformed (approx. 5 µg) was added. To prevent dramatically changes of the NaCl concentrations in the transformation batches, a maximal volume of 10 µl DNA was used. Hence, if necessary, the concentration in the DNA solution had to be increased to approx. 500 ng/µl (at least 300 ng/µl) by lyophilization. After addition of the DNA followed by incubation for 5 min, each batch was mixed with 150 µl 60 % PEG 600 (see section 2.1.10.5.) and left at room temperature for 30 min. Subsequently 1 ml NVM+ was added and the cells were harvested by centrifugation at 10 krpm for 5 min (room temperature). For regeneration, the pellet was resuspended in 1 ml fresh medium and incubated, shaking at 37° C for 1-2 days. Finally, the transformation batch was plated on agar plates supplemented with the respective antibiotic (120 µl per plate). In addition, a 1:10 dilution was prepared with fresh NVM medium and plated on selective plates too. Single colonies could be observed on the agar plates after incubation at 37° C for at least two weeks.

- **Screening for positive clones:**

  To achieve quick screening for transformants, single colonies were picked from agar plates and inoculated in 1ml NVM+ supplemented with the antibiotic needed for selection. Growing in Eppendorf tubes, they had to be aired once a day in order to generate aerobic conditions. After incubation on a 42° C shaker for approx. one week, crude extracts could be prepared as described in section 2.2.1.2. To identify positive transformants, they were used as templates for analytical PCRs. If these test PCRs did not give clear results, southern blot analysis was performed in order to verify putative positive clones.

2.2.3.2. **Generation and verification of a homozygous deletion mutant**

As described above, a φCh1 ORF34 deletion mutant was produced by cloning of the neighboring genomic regions as well as the selection marker Nov<sup>R</sup> on a suicide vector and transformation into *Nab. magadii* L11. Clones showing integration of the deletion cassette into the host chromosome were identified by analytical PCR using the primers D34-1 and Nov-9. However, as *Nab. magadii* is known to contain up to 50 genome copies, the described procedure does probably not yield a homozygous clone completely lacking ORF34. To overcome this problem, wild type variants of ORF34 had to be eliminated by persistent growing, keeping the culture in a logarithmic growth phase, continuously selecting on the antibiotic resistance provided by the deletion cassette. For that purpose the culture was grown until a late logarithmic phase was reached, followed by transfer of 50-500 µl to 20 ml fresh medium containing the selective antibiotic. This procedure, termed passaging was repeated several times. Every fifth passaging cycle, the culture was tested for
both, the presence of the mutant-variant and the wild type gene by analytical PCR. In the case of ΔORF34, the wild type ORF34 was eliminated after a total of 20 passaging cycles (detected by PCR using the primers 34-5 and 36-3).

In addition to PCR analysis, a southern blot was performed in order to verify the homozygous deletion of ORF34. For this purpose chromosomal DNA was isolated from the putative *Nab. magadii* L11-ΔORF34 according to the protocol in section 2.2.3.3. and digested with the restriction endonucleases *Bam*HI. As a control, wild type L11 DNA digested with the same enzyme (batches listed below). The fragments resulting from restriction of the mutant DNA on one hand and from the wild type version on the other hand were calculated in theory (listed below). Then their occurrence in the mutant and wild type culture was detected by hybridisation of the separated restriction batches blotted to a membrane with a biotinylated specific DNA probe. However, the absence of wild type fragments in the mutant culture represented a further evidence for the homozygous deletion of ORF34.

### Digestion of chr. DNA (batch):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>L11</th>
<th>ΔORF34</th>
<th>material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 µl</td>
<td>5 µl</td>
<td>chr. DNA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 µl</td>
<td>10 µl</td>
<td>Tango Buffer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 µl</td>
<td>4 µl</td>
<td><em>Bam</em>HI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 µl</td>
<td>31 µl</td>
<td>ddH₂O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Southern blot – expected fragment lengths:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>strain</th>
<th>fragments (BamHI-digest)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Nab. magadii</em> L11</td>
<td>1847, 586, 361 [bp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nab. magadii</em> L11-ΔORF34</td>
<td>3825, 1847, 361 [bp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2.3.3. Isolation of *Nab. magadii* chromosomal DNA

500 ml of a culture grown to an OD₆₀₀ of >0.6 were centrifuged at 8 krpm and 20° C for 20 min. The collected cells (pellet) were resuspended in 5 ml high alkaline salt solution and shared between two SS34 centrifugation tubes. To each tube 2.5 ml 14 mM Desoxycholat were added and carefully mixed with the cell solution by twisting or rolling the tubes in the hands, yielding a slimy liquid. Both batches were supplemented with 7.5 ml ddH₂O (sterile), thoroughly mixed as described before and incubated on ice for 30 min. Subsequently 12.5 ml phenol/chloroform (1:1) per tube were added and mixed gently by inverting the tubes. To extract the chromosomal DNA, both batches were centrifuged at 10 krpm for 30 min at 4° C. The supernatant (upper phase) was carefully transferred to a 100 ml flask and overlaid with 0.6x volume Isopropanol. The precipitated DNA was gathered using a bent Pasteur pipette and solved in 5 ml ddH₂O. Afterwards sterile EDTA was added to a final concentration of 10 mM, followed by supplementation with 1.5 g/ml rigid CsCl and approx. 15 µl ethidium bromide (EtBr) solution (10 mg/ml). The rosé-colored liquid was transferred to a Quick-Seal ultracentrifugation tube, sealed and centrifuged at 60 krpm and 20° C for at least 16h. The next day
the resulting DNA band was collected and transferred to an Eppendorf tube. The EtBr was completely removed by extraction with water saturated butyl alcohol until the solution was completely destained. Finally, the DNA sample was dialyzed against sterile ddH₂O: first for 4h, then over night, changing the water in between. The result of the chromosomal DNA isolation procedure was controlled by analysis of a small aliquot (2 µl) on a 0.8 % agarose gel.

2.2.3.4. Time course experiments

Time course experiments were performed for the eight int1 clones comprising variations in single repeats, L13 cultures containing the invertible region as well as a putative activator of int1 expression and, moreover, Nab. magadii L13 carrying the invertible region but lacking the start codon of int1 (ΔAUG-int1). In all three cases the possibility to perform inversion reactions was investigated depending on the time point in Nab. magadii life cycle.

For these experiments rich medium (approx. 150 ml) completed with the respective antibiotics was inoculated to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.05 – 0.1 and grown at 37° C until the late stationary phase was reached (7-10 days). Every day the optical density was measured and samples were taken for crude extraction of plasmid DNA according to the procedure described in section 2.2.3.5. Appropriate dilutions of these samples were used as templates of analytical PCRs, detecting the non-inverted version on one hand and the inverted fragment on the other hand. In addition, if necessary, southern blot analysis was performed in order to further investigate the presence of the respective fragments in the various samples. For observation of the eight int1 clones, the primers CH3-8 and p28+ were used for amplification of the non-inverted fragment, whereas the inverted fragment was detected applying CH3-8 and p28-. The negative control lacking int1 (#615) however was investigated using fdx1/p28+ and fdx1/p28-, respectively. For the other two experiments (ΔAUG-int1 and supplementation of a possible activator) primers detecting the respective ORF34-variant (1369 bp) were used. In a first approach 34-5 and 34-3 were applied for amplification of ORF34₁ (inversion product) and 34-5/36-3 for detection of ORF34ₛ₂ (non-inverted fragment on pBGB52). Later 34-inv1/34-inv2 and 34-inv1/36-inv1 as well as 34-Kpn/34-3 and 34-Kpn/36-3 were tried.

Furthermore in some cases crude protein extracts were prepared from samples taken from the growing cultures and analyzed by western blot using an antibody raised against the His-tag.

2.2.3.5. Extraction of (plasmid) DNA from Nab. magadii

3 ml (2 x 1.5 ml) of a growing culture with an OD₆₀₀ = 1* were centrifuged at 13.000 x g for 2 min. The supernatant was removed and the harvested cells were resuspended in 50 µl Solution I
(Resuspension solution). Afterwards they were lysed by addition of 200 µl Solution II (SDS/OH solution) and gently mixing by inversion. The batch was incubated at room temperature for 5 min and supplemented with 150 µl Solution III (K-Acetate solution). Again it was mixed by inversion and centrifuged at 13.000 x g for 3 min. The resulting supernatant was carefully transferred to a new Eppendorf tube without disturbing the pellet. Next the DNA was precipitated by addition of 0.6-1x volume isopropanol, incubation for 2 min and centrifugation at 13.000 x g for 30 min. Immediately after centrifugation had stopped, the supernatant was removed and the DNA-pellet was washed twice with 70 % EtOH (1 ml). After drying, the DNA was solved in 30 µl ddH₂O. For analyzation, 10 µl of each DNA sample were applied to a 0.8 % agarose gel.

* For lower optical densities, the volume taken for DNA isolation was adapted

2.2.4. Phage methods

2.2.4.1. Isolation of phage particles

Phage particles were isolated from the supernatant of a lysated culture, precipitated with PEG 6000 and further purified and concentrated by ultracentrifugation, applying a discontinuous as well as a continuous CsCl gradient.

- As a first step, a dense pre-culture of the *Nab. magadii* culture carrying φCh1 as a prophage (approx. 250 ml) was used for inoculation of at least 5 l NVM+ to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.05-0.1. If necessary, the cultures were supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics. Growth and lysis of the cultures shaking at 37°C was reported by daily determination of the optical density. When complete lysis was observed (stop in decrease of OD₆₀₀), the phage particles were separated from the cell components by centrifugation (20 min, 8 krpm, 20°C).

- The supernatant was poured in fresh flasks, supplemented with 10 % (w/v) PEG 6000 and stirred over night. After precipitation, the phage particles could by collected by centrifugation (20 min, 8 krpm, 20°C) and solved in a reduced volume of high alkaline salt solution (approx. 10 ml / 1 l culture).

- For further purification and concentration, the phage suspension was set on a CsCl gradient and separated by ultracentrifugation. In a first approach, a discontinuous gradient was generated by carefully overlaying of 4 ml Solution 1.5 with 4 ml Solution 1.3, followed by approx. 5 ml phage suspension filling up the tubes (Beckman Ultracentrifuge tubes). After exact balancing,
ultracentrifugation was performed at 30 k rpm and 20° C for 20 h using the swinging bucket rotor SW40Ti. The phage particles, usually visible as a defined band located to a certain density, were carefully harvested. After mixing with an equal volume of Solution 1.3., thereby applying a continuous gradient, centrifugation was performed under the same conditions as before. Again the phages particles were collected.

- Finally the resulting fractions were dialyzed against high alkaline salt solution over night and stored at room temperature.

### 2.2.4.2. Isolation of phage DNA

- **Quick approach:**
  Initially, 100 µl purified phages (section 2.2.4.1.) were mixed with 300 µl ddH$_2$O. For extraction of the DNA, 200 µl phenol/chloroform (1:1) were added, followed by thoroughly vortexing and centrifugation at 13.2 krpm for 3 min. Subsequently, the upper (aqueous) phase was carefully transferred to a new Eppendorf tube. The DNA was precipitated by addition of 2x vol. 96 % EtOH and centrifugation at 16.4 krpm and 4° C for 20 min. After removing the supernatant, the pellet was washed twice with 70 % EtOH (1 ml) and dried at 65 ° C. Finally it was solved in 10 µl ddH$_2$O and analyzed on an agarose gel.

- **Long approach:**
  100 µl phage fraction (section 2.2.4.1.) were combined with 400 µl ddH$_2$O as well as 500 µl phenol/chloroform (1:1) and mixed by thoroughly vortexing (approx. 30 sec). Afterwards, the batch was centrifuged (13.2 krpm, 5 min) and the upper (aqueous) phase was transferred to a new Eppendorf tube. If slimy, white junk-elements were observed in the border phase, an additional extraction step had to be performed. For this purpose, like before, the batch was supplemented with 500 µl phenol/chloroform (1:1), vortexed and centrifuged, yielding separation in two phases. However, the isolated aqueous phase was combined with 500 µl chloroform, mixed by vortexing and centrifuged (13.2 krpm, 5 min). Again the upper phase was transferred to a fresh Eppendorf tube and mixed with 2x vol. 96 % EtOH. In order to isolate the precipitated DNA, the batch was centrifuged at 16.4 krpm for 30 min (4° C). The supernatant was removed and the pellet was washed with 70 % EtOH. After drying at 65° C, the viral DNA was solved in 10 µl ddH$_2$O. Finally, the result of phage DNA isolation was controlled by analysis of a small aliquot on an agarose gel.
2.2.4.3. Precipitation of viral capsid proteins

Capsid proteins were isolated from 200 µl purified phage fractions (section 2.2.4.1.) by precipitation with 5 % TCA. After addition of the TCA, the batch was incubated on ice for 30 min, followed by centrifugation (13 krpm, 30 min, 4° C). The resulting pellet was resuspended in 25 µl 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer and supplemented with 25 µl 2x protein sample buffer (Laemmli). Since precipitation with TCA caused a decrease in pH, the samples had to be neutralized by treatment with gaseous ammoniac until the color turned blue again.

2.2.4.4. Phage titre analysis and some applications

For determination of phage titres, a culture of the Nab. magadii strain to be infected was grown to the stationary phase. Moreover, different dilutions were prepared from the phage samples to be tested (usually 10^{-2}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-6}, 10^{-8} and 10^{-10}). For titre analysis, each dilution as well as the undiluted phage fraction was plated twice. For each batch 5 ml soft agar were prepared in a test tube and kept on a heater at 55° C until titre analysis was started. The procedure itself was performed by supplementation of the 5 ml soft agar with 100 µl phages, 300 ml culture and, if necessary, further additives. Quickly the batch was mixed by short vortexing and plated on NVM agar plates (supplemented with antibiotics according to resistances of the infected culture). After one day the rigid plates were put on 37° C and incubated for 7-10 days. Finally, the titre was evaluated by counting the plaques on the respective plates and calculation of an average value.

In general, phage titres are performed in order to determine the concentration of phage particles in fractions resulting from isolation. In course of this diploma work however they were mainly applied as a part of certain experiments:

1) Testing the ability of φCh1 particles lacking gp34_{52} (putative tail fibre) to infect their host:
   To enable comparison, titre analysis was performed with both, φCh1-ΔORF34 and the wild type virus. The procedure was carried out according to the protocol described above.

2) Binding assay of purified gp34_{52} and gp36_{52} to Nab. magadii P3:
   This experiment was performed in order to investigate a supposed reduction of the titre by binding of gp34_{52}. As a result, a Nab. magadii strain lacking NEP (Nab. magadii P3) had to be used in order to prevent host-regulated digestion of external proteins. Moreover, in addition to the 400 µl culture, different amounts of the purified proteins gp34_{52} and gp36_{52} (up to 400 µg) were added to the soft agar. 10 µl of the phage fractions in different dilutions (10^{-2} – 10^{-8}) were spotted on the respective plates (differing in the amounts of
protein) afterwards. To achieve the required firmness of the soft agar, the amount of agar added to the NVM was adapted if more than 2 ml protein was applied.

3) **Quick test to investigate complementation of ΔORF34**:

In order to test the complementation of the deletion of ORF34, *Nab. magadii* L13 cultures carrying the plasmids pNB102-ORF34, pNB102-ORF34<sub>52</sub> and pNB102 as well as *Nab. magadii* L13 (control) were transformed with phage DNA isolated from φCh1-ΔORF34. 100 µl of the regenerated cells (undiluted, dilutions 10<sup>-2</sup> and 10<sup>-4</sup>) as well as 300 µl of the respective culture were added to the 5 ml soft agar and plated on NVM agar / Mev as described above.

**2.2.5. Protein methods**

**2.2.5.1. Preparation of crude protein extracts**

For preparation of crude protein extracts from a growing culture, 1.5 ml were centrifuged at 13.2 krpm for 3 min. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was resuspended in OD<sub>600</sub> x 75 µl 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer. Subsequently the same volume of 2x protein sample buffer (Laemmli) was added. Before applying a sample to a protein gel, the extracts were heated at 95° C for 10 min.

**2.2.5.2. Protein expression in *E. coli***

For production of recombinant proteins in *E. coli*, 4-5 l LB supplemented with the respective antibiotics were inoculated to an OD<sub>600</sub> of approx. 0.1 using an overnight culture of the strain carrying the desired gene region on a plasmid. The culture was grown, shaking at 37° C. When an OD<sub>600</sub> of approx. 0.3 was reached, expression was induced by addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 0.5 mM (stock: 1 M) and the culture was incubated at 37° C for another 3h. Afterwards the cells were harvested by centrifugation (6000 rpm, 15 min, 4° C) and the supernatant was removed. The pellet could be stored at -20° C until final use.

In order to observe the process of protein expression, crude extracts were prepared from the culture before and after induction with IPTG as described in section 2.2.5.1 and analyzed via SDS-PAGE.
2.2.5.3. Protein purification under denaturing conditions

First of all, the cell pellet resulting from protein expression was solved in an appropriate volume of buffer B (100-150 ml for cells resulting from 4-5 l culture) and stirred gently for at least 4h. For complete lysis, the samples were sonicated approx. 5 x 2min (Power 90 %, Cycle 72). Afterwards the lysates were centrifuged at 9000 rpm and 4° C for 20 min and the supernatant containing the proteins was combined with 500 µl – 1 ml Ni-NTA (Quiagen)*. In order to enable binding of the His-tagged proteins to the Ni$^{2+}$ ions, the suspension was stirred over night. For purification of the proteins, the whole lysate was applied to a column provided by Quiagen (QIAexpressionist™ Kit), collecting the flowthrough in an Erlenmeyer flask, whereas the nickel-beads were accumulated on the filter of the column. After washing twice with 4 ml buffer C, the proteins bound to the Ni-NTA were eluted by addition of 6 x 500 µl buffer E. The respective fractions (2 x wash, 6 x elution) were collected in Falcons and Eppendorf tubes, respectively. For analysis, a small aliquot of each fraction was mixed with an equal volume of 2x protein sample buffer (Laemmli), heated at 95° C for 10 min and applied to a protein gel as described in section 2.2.5.5.

* The exact conditions were adapted with respect to the results obtained from former attempts

2.2.5.4. Dialysis of proteins

For final use, purified proteins were dialyzed in order to remove the urea. Proteins isolated for combination with living *Nab. magadii* cells (e.g. binding assays) were adjusted to high salt concentrations and high pH by dialysis against dialysis buffer 1 and dialysis buffer 2 over night. To achieve better results, both buffers were changed after a few hours. Proteins purified for the production of antibodies in contrast were dialyzed against 1 x PBS, also changing the buffer after one hour, followed by incubation over night.

2.2.5.5. SDS-PAGE

For analysis of protein probes, they were applied to protein gels and separated in electric fields by sodiumdodecylsulfat polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). This method is based on denaturation of proteins and masking of their net charge by SDS. Hence, they are separated according to their size exclusively.

- **Preparation of protein gels:**
  Discontinuous polyacrylamide gels (protein gels) are composed of two parts: a stacking gel and a separation gel. They were cast using the Mini Protean 3 system provided by BioRad
Material and Methods

according to manufacturer’s instructions. As a first step, the separation gel of the desired percentage was mixed as described below. Immediately after adding APS and TEMED, it was cast between two glass plates separated by a spacer. To prevent generation of a meniscus, it was overlaid with isopropanol. After polymerization, the isopropanol was removed and AA-solution, stacking gel buffer and ddH₂O were mixed for preparation of the stacking gel. Again, polymerization was started by addition of APS and TEMED. Quickly the gel was poured into the casting apparatus, overlaying the separation gel and a comb was inserted in order to leave space for loading the samples. Completely polymerized gel could be stored at 4°C, rounded by wet paper in order to prevent desiccation.

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Separation gel:} & \text{12 % gel} & \text{10 % gel} & \text{8 % gel} \\
\hline
\text{material} & & & \\
\hline
\text{AA-solution (30 %)} & 2 ml & 1.67 ml & 1.33 ml \\
\text{Separation gel buffer} & 1.25 ml & 1.25 ml & 1.25 ml \\
\text{ddH₂O} & 1.75 ml & 2.08 ml & 2.42 ml \\
\text{APS (10 %)} & 60 µl & 60 µl & 60 µl \\
\text{TEMED} & 10 µl & 10 µl & 10 µl \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Stacking gel:} & \text{4 % gel} \\
\hline
\text{material} & & \\
\hline
\text{AA-solution (30 %)} & 267 µl \\
\text{Stacking gel buffer} & 500 µl \\
\text{ddH₂O} & 1233 µl \\
\text{APS (10 %)} & 20 µl \\
\text{TEMED} & 5 µl \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

- **SDS PAGE – running of protein gels:**

Polymerized protein gels were inserted in a running apparatus provided by BioRad and covered with 1x SDS-PAGE running buffer. Protein samples to be loaded resulting from *E. coli* were mixed with an equal volume of 2x protein sample buffer (Laemmli) and heated at 95°C for 10 min. Afterwards 10 µl were applied to the gel and run was started at 40V in order to enable accumulation in the slots. Then the electric potential was increased to 60V, followed by application of 100V when the samples had reached the separation gel. However, samples resulting from *Archaea* were prepared by either incubation at 37°C over night, or, alternatively, by extensive heating at 65°C to increase liquidity in order to enhance loading. The run was performed by applying a constant electric potential of 40V – 60V during separation.

Anyway, in addition to the protein samples, an appropriate marker was loaded onto the gel. For Coomassie staining 5 µl were applied, whereas 7.5 µl were used for western blot analysis.

2.2.5.6. Coomassie staining

For irreversible staining of proteins separated by SDS-PAGE, the polyacrylamide gels were transferred to a tank filled with Coomassie staining solution and incubated for a few minutes
(depending on the quality of the staining solution). Destaining was performed either in water (o/n) or in destaining solution until the background was removed as well as possible.

2.2.5.7. **Western blot analysis**

Western blot analysis is based on the recognition of proteins transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane by a specific antibody or antiserum. Usually, this primary antibody is bound by a secondary antibody coupled to a horseradish peroxidase (HRP) which can be detected as it causes emission of light quants in the presence of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ and luminol.

- **Transfer to a nitrocellulose membrane (blotting):**

  Proteins to be analyzed by western blot were loaded onto a polyacrylamide gel and separated via SDS-PAGE as described in section 2.2.5.5. If crude extracts prepared from *Archaea* were applied, the corresponding gel was incubated in water for 5 min after running in order to remove sodium chloride. For the transfer procedure, one Protran nitrocellulose membrane (Whatman) and six pieces of Whatman paper were cut corresponding to the size of the gel (6.5 x 9 cm). Each of them was rinsed in transblot buffer and the blot was built up in a semi-dry blotting apparatus as follows: 3 layers Whatman paper – nitrocellulose membrane – polyacrylamide gel – further 3 layers Whatman paper. Transfer was performed at 20V for 20 min (one gel) or 30 min (two gels), respectively. To ensure successful blotting, the membrane was briefly incubated in Ponceau S solution, causing reversible staining. After marking visible protein ladder bands, the blot was completely destained again with water.

- **Blocking:**

  After blotting, nitrocellulose membranes were blocked by incubation in 1x TBS/TBS-T containing 5 % milk powder at 4° C over night (shaking), in order to prevent unspecific binding of the antibodies.

- **Development of a Western blot:**

  The solutions and conditions applied for the development of western blots were adapted to the properties of the antibodies. In course of this diploma work, mainly the primary antibodies α-gp34 and α-FlaB1 were used. In both cases, western blot analysis was performed under the same conditions. After blocking, the membrane was washed once by shaking in 1x TBS for 10 min, followed by incubation with the first antibody solution (see section 2.1.8.1.) for 1h. Again, the blot was washed 3 x 10 min with 1x TBS. Subsequently, the second antibody solution (α-rabbit, 1:5000 in TBS) was applied and the blot was exposed for 1h, shaking at room temperature. Finally the
antibody solution was removed and the blot was washed three times by incubation in 1x TBS for 10 min. For detection of HRP-coupled proteins, the SuperSignal® West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate kit from Thermo Scientific was applied according to manufacturer’s instructions. Immediately afterwards the blot was put in a developing cassette and X-ray hyper films provided by Amersham Biosciences were exposed for different periods of time in the darkroom.

2.2.6. Southern blot analysis

In course of this diploma work, southern blot analysis was performed for different purposes:

1) Identification of positive clones resulting from transformation of *Nab. magadii*
2) Further investigation of inversion events under certain conditions
3) Verification of a homozygous φCh1- ΔORF34 deletion mutant

Anyway, this method was carried out by separation of the respective DNA samples on an agarose gel and blotting to a nylon membrane based on capillary forces. Then the membrane was blocked and the DNA was labeled by hybridisation with a biotinylated DNA probe. This signal was recognized by streptavidine which was bound by another biotin molecule coupled to a horseradish peroxidase (HRP). Hence, finally DNA bands could be visualized by the emission of light quants based on HRP reactions in the presence of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and luminol, causing blackening of hyperfilms.

2.2.6.1. Preparation of biotinylated DNA probes

First of all a biotinylated DNA probe had to be prepared. The respective DNA was amplified by preparative PCR and usually purified by extraction from a gel. For labeling of the probes 34 µl DNA were denatured by heating at 95° C for 10 min, followed by incubation on ice for 5 min. Subsequently 10 µl 5x labeling mix, 5 µl dNTP mix (containing biotinylated ATP) and 1 µl Klenow fragments, all of them representing components of the NEBlot® Phototope® Kit provided by New England BioLabs, were added. The labeling reaction was carried out at 37° C for 3h and stopped by the addition of 0.5 µl 0.2 M EDTA (pH 8.0). Then the DNA was precipitated by mixing with 10 µl 4 M LiCl and 150 µl 96 % EtOH, incubation at -20° C for 20 min and centrifugation at 16.4 krpm for 30 min (4° C). The resulting pellet was washed with 1 ml 70 % EtOH and dried at 65° C. Finally it was resolved in 20 µl ddH2O.

Before adding to the membrane, the DNA probe was denatured for 5 min at 95° C.
2.2.6.2. Separation and blotting of DNA samples to a membrane

Usually the DNA probes to be blotted were mixed with 5 µl 5x DNA loading dye containing 1 mg/ml ethidium bromide (stock: 10 mg/ml). All samples were loaded onto an agarose gel of the desired percentage of agarose (0.8-1.5 %) and separated in an electric field as described in section 2.2.1.1. In addition, 0.5-1 µl biotinylated 2-log DNA Ladder from New England BioLabs was applied. After separation, the DNA was analyzed under UV light and denatured by incubation of the gel in 0.4 M NaOH / 0.5 M NaCl for 30 min. Subsequently, the gel was switched to 1.5 M NaCl / 0.5 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and neutralized for 30 min. Then the DNA was transferred to an Amersham Hybond™ nylon membrane (GE Healthcare) by capillary blotting over night. For this purpose, a piece of membrane corresponding to the size of the gel was rinsed in water and equilibrated in 10x SSC. The blot was built up on a potest placed inside a tank as follows: 3 layers Whatman paper (the lowest extended to the ground of the tank) – gel – membrane – further 3 layers Whatman paper – one stack of adsorbent paper of an appropriate size. Finally the tank was filled with 10x SSC and the whole blot was stably weighted in order to enhance transfer to the membrane. The next day successful blotting was ensured by staining of the gel with ethidium bromide. Finally the membrane was shortly incubated in 0.4 M NaOH and 0.2 M Tris/HCl (1 min each) and the DNA was fixed by UV-cross linking using the Stratalink cross-linker provided by Stratagene.

2.2.6.3. Blocking and hybridisation

For blocking, the membrane was transferred to a southern blot tube which was filled with 12 ml hybridisation buffer and 120 salmon sperm DNA (100 µg/ml), placed to a hybridisation oven and incubated at 65° C for at least 3h (rotating). Afterwards the labeled DNA probe (20 µl) was added and incubation was continued over night.

2.2.6.4. Developing of a southern blot

Left in the southern blot tube, the membrane was washed 2 x 5 min at room temperature using either 4x SSC / 0.1 % SDS (PCR samples) or 2x SSC / 0.1 % SDS (chromosomal DNA samples). Then it was incubated with 0.1x SSC / 0.1 % SDS at 65° C for 2 x 15 min.

Afterwards the membrane was transferred to a small basin and developed using the Phototope®-Star detection Kit provided by New England BioLabs. As a first step, the membrane was washed 1 x 5 min in blocking solution (shaking), followed by 5 min incubation with a streptavidin solution (7 ml blocking solution supplemented with 7 µl streptavidin) . Afterwards it was washed 3 x 5 min with 1x Wash solution I and exposed to biotinylated alkaline phosphatase solution (7ml
blocking solution supplemented with 7 µl alkaline phosphatase) for 5 min. Finally the blot was washed 1 x 5 min with blocking solution and 3 x 5 min with 1x Wash solution II. For detection, peroxidase reaction was started by mixing of 3 ml 1x CDP-Star® dilution buffer with 6µl CDP-Star® reagent and pipetting over the membrane for 5 min. Immediately afterwards the blot was put in a developing cassette and X-ray hyper films provided by Amersham Biosciences were exposed for different periods of time in the darkroom.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Deletion of φCh1 ORF34

Deletion mutants had already successfully been constructed of both, φCh1 and its host Nab magadii. The method applied for this purpose was established in 2009 in the laboratory of Angela Witte when Christian Derntl managed to delete the extracellular protease (NEP) of Nab. magadii L13 (Derntl, 2009). It was based on transformation of a suicide vector carrying a deletion cassette composed of the upstream and downstream regions of the desired open reading frame as well as a selection marker working in Nab. magadii. Integration of this deletion cassette into the archaeal genome yielded a Nab. magadii strain lacking NEP, termed P3, representing the first ever deletion mutant of a haloalcaliphilic archaon (Derntl, 2009). In 2010 the same method was successfully applied for the construction of different deletion mutants of the virus φCh1, namely ΔORF79, ΔORF11 (structural protein E) and ΔORF93 (holin) (Selb, 2010). For this purpose the Nab. magadii strain L11 carrying φCh1 as a prophage was used, enabling recombination events between the deletion cassette and the corresponding regions on the phage DNA integrated into the host chromosome. In order to eliminate the wild type variants of the desired genes in the resulting clones, the phage particles were harvested from lysated cultures and used for reinfection of a cured Nab. magadii strain L13. Grown on agar plates containing novobiocin, it was selected for archaeal cells infected by mutant viruses exclusively, yielding a homozygous lysogenic Nab. magadii culture. The corresponding phage particles could then be isolated and purified from the supernatant of lysated cultures.

Within the course of this diploma work a deletion mutant of φCh1 ORF34 was constructed according to the well-tried strategy described above. Yet, since a φCh1 strain lacking ORF34 and thus the putative tail fibre protein was supposed to be characterized by a loss of infectivity, production of a homozygous lysogenic strain could not be achieved by reinfection with a mixture of wild type and mutant phage particles. Instead of this, wild type variants of ORF34 were removed by passaging of the heterozygous culture and selection for the mutant version.
3.1.1. Aim of the study and prognosis

As described in section 1.2.3.5., binding assays clearly demonstrated that the protein resulting from inversion within ORF34 and ORF36, gp34\textsubscript{52}, but not the non-inverted version gp34\textsubscript{1}, binds to the surface of \textit{Nab. magadii} cells (Rössler \textit{et al.}, in prep). Moreover the C-terminus of gp34, the striking part for attachment to the archaeal cells, was shown to comprise a galactose like binding domain which may be involved in interaction with glycosylated structures on the surface of the archaeal cells (e.g. flagella, S-layer). These facts indicated that gp34 represents the tail fibre protein of φCh1, a structural element which is often involved in binding of head tail viruses to their hosts during initiation of infection. However, only gp34\textsubscript{52} was supposed to be required for attachment to the surface of \textit{Nab. magadii}, the only known host of φCh1. Moreover a crucial role of the putative tail fibre protein gp34\textsubscript{52} for infection of the host cell could be assumed, as the addition of α-D-galactose to the growth medium was shown to inhibit φCh1 infectivity (Rössler \textit{et al.}, in prep).

Anyway, to present evidence for the supposed function of gp34\textsubscript{52} and its importance for infectivity of the halophage φCh1, this diploma thesis aimed to produce a mutant virus lacking the putative tail fibre protein encoded by ORF34\textsubscript{52}. In contrast to the wild type, the resulting mutant virus was expected to be unable to infect cured \textit{Nab. magadii} L13 cells due to the absence of the structure required for binding to the host. Supposed changes concerning phenotypic features were intended to be visualized by electron microscopy.

3.1.2. Production of a homozygous ORF34 deletion mutant

For the production of an ORF34 deletion mutant, a deletion cassette was cloned onto the suicide vector pKS\textit{II}\textsuperscript{+} according to procedure described in section 2.2.2.1. As illustrated in figure 8, this cassette is composed of the 983 bp upstream (nu. 22252 – 23235 of the genome of φCh1) and the 959 bp downstream (nu. 24625 – 25584 of the genome of φCh1) regions of ORF34, interrupted by a novobiocin resistance cassette (Nov\textsuperscript{R}). The plasmid carrying this construct (pKS\textit{II}\textsuperscript{+} ΔORF34-deletion cassette) was transformed into \textit{Nab. magadii} L11 and it was selected for novobiocin resistant clones. However, due to the absence of an origin of replication working in \textit{Archaea}, the vector construct itself was not able to persist within the cells. Hence, clones showing resistance against the selective antibiotic could not result from the presence of pKS\textit{II}\textsuperscript{+} ΔORF34-deletion cassette, but only from integration of the deletion cassette into the archaeal genome. This event was the consequence of recombination between the upstream and downstream regions of ORF34 on the vector and the
corresponding wild type sequences on the φCh1 genome during the lysogenic cycle of the virus. This way, the original ORF34 could be replaced by Nov\textsuperscript{R} (see figure 8).

![Gene construct of φCh1-ΔORF34](image)

**Figure 8** | Gene construct of φCh1-ΔORF34. Schematic representation of the ORF34 deletion cassette integrated into the φCh1 genome (below), compared to the wild type φCh1 ORF34 (above). Primers used for the detection of the wild type (34-5/36-3) and mutant fragment respectively (D34-1/Nov-9), as well as the primers applied for cloning of pKS\textsubscript{II} ΔORF34-deletion cassette, are indicated by arrows.

Positive clones resulting from integration of the ORF34 deletion construct into the chromosome were identified by PCR analysis using the primers D34-1 and Nov-9. Anyway, due to the presence of up to 50 genome copies in *Nab. magadii* cells, this procedure did not yield clones comprising the mutant version of ORF34 exclusively. Hence, wild type variants had to be eliminated by passaging as described in section 2.2.3.2. The homozygous deletion mutant resulting from 20 passaging cycles was verified both, by PCR and by southern blot analysis. Analytical PCRs were performed according to the protocol in section 2.2.1.2. using the primers 34-5 and 36-3 for the amplification of the wild type ORF34 fragment and D34-1/Nov-9 for the detection of the mutant version. In both cases a positive and a negative control was applied in addition to the culture to be
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tested (L11-ΔORF34, passage 20). As illustrated in figure 9a, amplification of the ΔORF34-fragment gave an approx. 1000 bp product using either the vector pKS<sup>+</sup>ΔORF34-deletion cassette (positive control, lane 5) or L11-ΔORF34-DNA (lane 7) as a template. However, no product of the desired size resulted from PCR analysis of the wt *Nab. magadii* L11-DNA (negative control, lane 6). The approx. 1390 bp ORF34 wild type fragment in contrast could be detected in the positive control exclusively (*Nab. magadii* L11-DNA, lane 2), but not in the negative control (pKS<sup>+</sup>ΔORF34-deletion cassette, lane 3) or the deletion mutant (lane 4). Hence, according to these results, the wild type ORF34 had been completely removed from *Nab. magadii* L11-ΔORF34. Anyway, in order to verify this assumption, southern blot analysis was performed.
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**Figure 9** Verification of a homozygous *Nab. magadii* L11-ΔORF34 deletion mutant. (a) PCR analysis: Detection of the ~1390 bp wild type ORF34 fragment in L11 (positive control, lane 2), pKS<sup>+</sup>ΔORF34 deletion cassette (negative control, lane 3) and L11-ΔORF34 passage 20 (lane 4) was performed using the primers 34-5/36-3. Detection of the ~1000 bp ΔORF34 fragment in pKS<sup>+</sup>ΔORF34 deletion cassette (positive control, lane 5), L11 (negative control, lane 6) and L11-ΔORF34 passage 20 (lane 7) was performed using the primers D34-1/Nov-9. (b) Southern blot analysis: Chromosomal DNA of *Nab. magadii* L11 and *Nab. magadii* L11-ΔORF34 passage 20 was digested with *Bam*HI and the resulting fragments were detected by hybridisation with a specific DNA probe. The 586 bp fragment specific for wt-DNA was only present in the wt (lane 2), but not in the ΔORF34 mutant (lane 3), whereas the mutant specific 3825 bp fragment could be detected in ΔORF34 exclusively.
For southern blot analysis, chromosomal DNA was isolated from *Nab. magadii* L11 and *Nab. magadii* L11-ΔORF34 passage 20 (see section 2.2.3.3.) and digested with *BamH*I as stated in section 2.2.3.2. Aliquots of both batches were separated on a 0.8 % agarose gel, blotted to a nylon membrane (see section 2.2.6.) and hybridized with a biotinylated DNA probe specific for the 983 bp upstream region of ORF34. The restriction pattern of *Nab. magadii* L11-ΔORF34 passage 20 completely correlated with the expected fragment lengths calculated for a homozygous deletion mutant (see section 2.2.3.2), since the 361 bp, 1847 bp as well as the mutant specific 3825 bp fragments could be detected, whereas the wt-specific 586 bp fragment was not visible (see figure 9b). Hence, it was concluded that a homozygous *Nab. magadii* L11 strain lacking any wt ORF34 variants had been prepared.

### 3.1.3. Isolation and quantification of phage particles

In a first attempt, mutant phage particles were isolated from the corresponding culture (*Nab. magadii* L11-ΔORF34) according to the protocol in section 2.2.4.1. However, in contrast to the wild type, purification of φCh1-ΔORF34 via CsCl gradients yielded several fractions of different densities. None of them was correlating with the usual position of the wild type phages. In order to exclude that this fact was due to long time passaging of the culture used for purification of phage particles, phage DNA was isolated from one fraction according to the instructions in section 2.2.4.2. and retransformed in the cured *Nab. magadii* strain L13. Again a positive clone was identified by PCR analysis using the primers D34-1 and Nov-9. The resulting culture apparently carrying φCh1-ΔORF34 as a prophage was grown to a pre-culture and used for isolation of phage particles. Again ultracentrifugation yielded different fractions, yet application of a continuous gradient gave rise to one fraction correlating to the position of wild type φCh1 (buoyont density n = 1.4).

Since φCh1-ΔORF34 was supposed to be characterized by a loss of infectivity, the phage particles isolated from this deletion mutant could not be quantified by determination of the phage titre. Hence, the presence of phage particles in the respective purification fractions was observed by loading of an aliquot (5 µl) on an agarose gel in order to detect viral DNA (data not shown). Moreover capsid proteins could be precipitated with TCA and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining (see section 2.2.4.3.). It has to be noted, that the numerous fractions resulting from phage isolation yielded quite different protein patterns. Compared to the wild type φCh1, some fractions were characterized by a reduced amount or complete lack of certain structural proteins, whereas on the other hand in some cases additional bands were present. For example the fraction illustrated in figure 10 seemed to lack protein H, whereas all other structural proteins were present, albeit varying
in their concentrations compared to the wild type. Anyway, all fractions contained a protein with a size corresponding to the main capsid protein E, the crucial element for the formation of the viral heads. In some cases these signals were more than ten-fold stronger than the signal resulting from precipitated structural proteins of the wild type φCh1. Hence it was concluded that the different fractions now and then may contain very high amounts of viral particles, but also an excess of some additional proteins which can not be detected in purification fractions of the unmodified phages. Moreover, a unique morphotype of φCh1-ΔORF34 could be assumed.
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**Figure 10** Structural proteins of wild type and ΔORF34 φCh1. The precipitated capsid proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie staining. Three of the four major proteins (A, E, H) and the five minor proteins (B, C, D, F, G) are indicated by arrows.

### 3.1.4. Characteristics of φCh1-ΔORF34 particles and the lysogenic culture

#### 3.1.4.1. Growth and lysis behaviour of *Nab. magadii* L11-ΔORF34

First of all the growth and lysis behaviour of *Nab. magadii* L11-ΔORF34 was analyzed in comparison to the wild type lysogenic strain *Nab. magadii* L11. For this purpose both cultures were grown to a late stationary phase, starting with an initial OD$_{600}$ of approx. 0.1. Every day the optical density was measured, in order to enable construction of growth and lysis curves. As it can be seen in the graph of figure 11, no relevant differences of *Nab. magadii* L11-ΔORF34 concerning growth and lysis behaviour could be observed compared to the wild type.
3.1.4.2. Expression of ORF34 in *Nab. magadii* L11-ΔORF34 – Western blot analysis

The expression of ORF34 in the *Nab. magadii* mutant strain L11-ΔORF34 was reported by western blot analysis, using an antibody raised against gp34. For this purpose, a culture was inoculated to an initial OD$_{600}$ of approx. 0.05 and incubated at 37°C for eight days (late stationary growth phase). Every day the optical density was measured and crude protein extracts were prepared as described in section 2.2.5.1. To enable comparison, the same procedure was performed with a *Nab. magadii* L11 wild type strain. All samples were loaded onto an 8% PAA gel. In addition, crude extracts from stationary growing L11 and L13 strains were applied as positive and negative controls, respectively. The proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE (see section 2.2.5.5) and analyzed by western blotting, using an antibody directed against gp34 (α-gp34) according to the instructions described in section 2.2.5.7. As illustrated in figure 12, a signal of a protein with a molecular mass of > 66 kDa is present in the positive control (L11 stationary growing), but absent in the crude extract of the cured strain *Nab. magadii* L13 (negative control). Moreover, this protein is present in the samples taken from a growing culture of *Nab. magadii* L11 (wild type strain) at different time points. Expression can first be detected 44h after inoculation (logarithmic growth phase) and strongly increases during stationary growth, persisting until completion of lysis. However, in the mutant strain *Nab. magadii* L11-ΔORF34, a band of the desired size is not visible at any point in the viral life cycle (see figure
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12). Hence, it could be concluded, that ORF34 had successfully been deleted and that its gene product, the putative tail fibre protein, is not produced in this strain. Thus, the corresponding phage particles were supposed to lack the terminal structural elements of their contractile tails probably involved in interaction with the host cell during infection.

![Western blot analysis of ORF34 expression](image)

**Figure 12** | Western blot α-gp34

Western blot α-gp34 expression of ORF34 in L11-ΔORF34. Crude protein extracts were prepared from growing cultures of *Nab. magadii* L11 wild type (left) and *Nab. magadii* L11-ΔORF34 (right) at different time points (20h-189h after inoculation) and separated on an 8% PAA gel. In addition samples from stationary growing *Nab. magadii* L11 and *Nab. magadii* L13 strains were applied as positive and negative controls, respectively. Gp34 was detected by western blot analysis, using the antibody α-gp34 (1:2000 in TBS, 0.3 % BSA). The product of interest is visible as a band of > 66 kDa (indicated by an arrow).

### 3.1.4.3. Infectivity of φCh1-ΔORF34 – phage titre analysis

To present evidence for the supposed function of gp34, the ability of the mutant phage φCh1-ΔORF34 to infect its host *Nab. magadii* was observed by phage titre analysis (see section 2.2.4.4.). Since phage isolation yielded several fractions possibly containing mature virus particles, the different fractions were tested separately. Moreover, the same procedure was carried out with the wild type φCh1 as a control. The titres were analyzed after incubation at 37°C for 7 days. For the φCh1 wild type fraction, a titre of 3.6 * 10⁸ pfu/ml was calculated by counting the plaques on the plates resulting from infection with 100 µl phage fraction diluted 10⁶. Lower dilutions and infection with the undiluted phage fraction however caused more or less complete lysis of the plated host cells. In contrast to this, as illustrated in figure 13, infection with any dilution of φCh1-ΔORF34 and even with undiluted particles did not result in formation of plaques in most cases (titre < 10³ pfu/ml). Only three plaques were observed as a consequence of addition of 100 µl undiluted phages from one of the tested fractions (fraction 2, figure 13b right). However, compared to the φCh1 wild type phages yielding complete lysis in dilutions lower than 10⁶, plaque formation of φCh1-ΔORF34 has to be considered as almost eliminated. As a result it can be concluded that the ability of φCh1 to infect its host *Nab. magadii* strongly depends on the presence of the putative tail fibre protein gp34.
3.1.4.4. Visualization of φCh1-ΔORF34 – electron microscopy

To observe the morphological characteristics of the mutant virus φCh1-ΔORF34, the mature particles contained in different fractions resulting from phage isolation (see section 3.1.3.) were visualized by electron microscopy. The samples were prepared and negatively stained with uranyl acetate as described in Witte et al., 1990.

As illustrated in figure 14 the resulting electron micrographs clearly demonstrate that the particles of the mutant virus φCh1-ΔORF34 show significant differences compared to the φCh1 wild type. As described above, the latter are composed of icosahedral heads and contractile tails (figure 14a). Phage fractions of the mutant φCh1 in contrast contain a high number of probably intact heads completely lacking the contractile tails (figure 14b). However, the heads shown in figure 14 seem to be empty; yet viral DNA could successfully be isolated from the mutant phage particles in most purification fractions (data not shown). Hence it is very likely that this effect had been caused by the preparation of the samples for microscopic examination.
Figure 14 | Electron micrographs of purified φCh1-ΔORF34 particles. This figure shows electron micrographs of (a) φCh1 wild type and (b–e) φCh1-ΔORF34 particles resulting from isolation via a CsCl gradient, negatively stained with uranyl acetate.
(Micrographs kindly provided by Dr. Elke Bogner, Institute of Virology, Charité, Berlin)
In addition to the heads, long filamentous structures extending over the whole electron micrographs are present (figure 14c – e). In most cases the start- and end points of these structures are not visible. The nature of the long structures was not clear, yet it was taken into consideration that they may be tails of the halophage φCh1 which, during assemblage of the mutant phage particles, might have been extended more and more due to the lack of the protein needed for finalization. This theory would also explain the presence of heads without tails, since the lysates had been exposed to high sharing forces during purification of phage particles via ultracentrifugation. Hence, this method might have easily led to the breakage of long, instable tail structures.

For this reason, in a second approach, mutant phage particles were harvested from a lysated culture by centrifugation (6000 rpm, 15 min) and visualized without any further purification steps. Preparation of the samples and negative staining with uranyl acetate was performed as described above. The resulting micrographs are illustrated in figure 15.
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Like the mutant particles isolated via CsCl gradient (ultracentrifugation), gently prepared φCh1-ΔORF34 samples comprise several virion heads without tails. As illustrated in figure 15a and b however, some short structures originating in viral heads, resembling the basis of the tails are visible (indicated by arrows). They may be remains of broken tails. Furthermore, again long structural elements are found in the lysates (see figure 15c and d). In some cases a connection to viral heads can be assumed (indicated by arrows), yet a definite statement is difficult as, due to microscopic examination of unpurified samples, qualitative resolution is reduced.

Anyway, another possible explanation for these long structures is the presence of *Nab. magadii* flagella in the observed samples. They are normally found in the supernatant of a lysated culture, but they can be separated from phage particles by application of a discontinuous CsCl gradient. Thus, usually they are not present in purified phage fractions. Yet, in this case, ultracentrifugation yielded several fractions of different densities, none of them correlating to the position of wild type φCh1. Hence it can not be excluded that the fractions resulting from isolation of φCh1-ΔORF34 may also contain flagella of *Nab. magadii*. Moreover flagella and the tails of φCh1 are of a quite similar width and therefore can hardly be discriminated on electron micrographs.

However, to further observe if the filamentous structures in the purified phage fractions were flagella of the archaeal cells, an antiserum detecting the *Nab. magadii* flagellar protein FlaB1 was raised in a rabbit and used for western blot analysis as described in the next section.

3.1.4.5. Detection of flagella (protein FlaB1) in fractions of purified φCh1-ΔORF34

To test for the presence of flagella in fractions resulting from purification of φCh1-ΔORF34 mutant phage particles, western blot analysis was performed using an antibody raised against the *Nab. magadii* flagellar protein FlaB1 (see figure 16). Three different purification fractions of the mutant virus (lane 8 – 10) as well as φCh1 wild type particles (lane 7) were analyzed. In addition several controls were applied: the purified protein FlaB1 expressed in *E. coli* (lane 2), logarithmic growing *Nab. magadii* L13 (lane 3 + 4) and *Nab. magadii* L13 in a stationary growth phase (lane 5 + 6). The samples resulting from the logarithmic and stationary growing L13 were prepared from pelleted cells as described in section 2.2.5.1. In addition, the proteins present in the supernatant (1.5 ml) were precipitated with 5 % TCA (see section 2.2.4.3.) and solved in the same volume of 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer and 2x protein sample buffer (Laemmli) just like those resulting from the pellet. 10 µl of each sample were separated on a 12 % PAA gel and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (see section 2.2.5.5. and 2.2.5.7.). Development of the western blot was performed as described in section 2.2.5.7., using the antibody α-FlaB1 (1:1000 in TBS, 0.3 % BSA).
As illustrated in figure 16, a band corresponding to the approx. 30 kDa protein FlaB1 is caused by the sample resulting from expression in *E. coli* (lane 2). No signal of this size can be found in the phage fractions, yet it is also missing in archaenal protein extracts, both, the ones resulting from the pellets (lanes 3 + 5), and those from the supernatants (lanes 4 + 6). Hence, it has to be assumed that the FlaB1 expressed in *Archaea* differs in its properties and thus is changed in its mobility. As the flagella are supposed to predominate in the supernatant, rather than in the pellet after centrifugation, they are probably represented by the approx. 43 kDa band visible in the samples from *Nab. magadii L13* (lane 4 + 6). A product of an equal size is also found in samples resulting from purification of φCh1-ΔORF34 particles (lane 8 – 10). Yet, also separation of wild type φCh1 particles yields a signal at a similar position (lane 7). As a result, based on this approach the presence of *Nab. magadii* flagella in purification fractions of φCh1-ΔORF34 particles can neither be excluded nor definitely verified. However, further studies are necessary to present evidence for the nature of the long filamentous structures visible on the electron micrographs.
3.1.5. Complementation of φCh1-ΔORF34

3.1.5.1. Retransformation with pRR007-ORF34_{1/52} and pNB102-ORF34_{1/52}

In order to verify the effect caused by deletion of φCh1 ORF34, the mutation was aimed to be complemented by introduction of a functional ORF34 on a plasmid. For this purpose, in a first attempt, two variants of ORF34, the non-inverted fragment ORF34_{1} and the inversion product ORF34_{52} were cloned on a pRR007 vector as described in section 2.2.2.2. However, transformation into *Nab. magadii* L11-ΔORF34 did not yield positive clones. Hence both, ORF34_{1} and ORF34_{52} were introduced into the mutant strain using another shuttle vector system, namely pNB102 (see section 2.2.2.2.). Positive transformants carrying pNB102-ORF34_{1} and pNB102-ORF34_{52} could be verified by PCR and southern blot analysis, yet growing cultures of the corresponding strains tended to loose the phage-DNA integrated into the host genome before onset of lysis. As a result, phage particles complemented with gp34_{1} and gp34_{52} could not be isolated from these cultures. For this reason, another strategy to enable investigation of the infectivity of mutant phage particles complemented with gp34_{1} and gp34_{52}, respectively, was applied.

3.1.5.2. Quick test – investigation of ΔORF34_{52} complementation

As retransformation of *Nab. magadii* L11-ΔORF34 with pNB102-ORF34_{1} and pNB102-ORF34_{52} did not yield mature phage particles which could be tested for their ability to infect *Nab. magadii* cells, the same plasmids as well as the original pNB102 vector were transformed into *Nab. magadii* L13. Positive clones of the resulting cultures (L13 pNB102, L13 pBN102-ORF34_{1} and L13 pNB102-ORF34_{52}) were verified by PCR analysis using the primers NB-1 and NB-2. Moreover, to pre-select for clones showing well expression of the foreign genes introduced via shuttle vector, crude protein extracts were prepared from three different clones of the respective cultures (pBN102-ORF34_{1} and L13 pNB102-ORF34_{52}) as described in section 2.2.5.1. The samples were separated on an 8 % PAA gel (see section 2.2.5.5.) and analyzed by western blotting using the α-ORF34_{52} antibody as described in section 2.2.5.7. In addition, protein extracts of L11 and L13 were applied as positive and negative controls, respectively. The resulting blot illustrated in figure 17 clearly proves well expression of ORF34 in all three L13 pBN102-ORF34_{1} clones, as well as clones 2 and 3 of L13 pBN102-ORF34_{52}. The desired product is visible as a band at > 66 kDa in the positive control (L11) as well as in the respective clones, but not in the negative control (L13).
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Based on the western blot analysis described above clone 1 of *Nab. magadii* L13 pBN102-ORF34, and clone 2 of L13 pNB102-ORF34, both showing well expression of the respective genes, as well as clone 1 of *Nab. magadii* L13 pNB102 were chosen for further work. In order to test for the ability of the respective constructs to cause complementation of the deleted ORF34, DNA of *φCh1*-ΔORF34 was transformed into these cultures and a quick test was performed for determination of the phage titre without screening for positive clones and isolation of the complemented phage particles (see section 2.2.4.4.). For this purpose in a first step DNA was extracted from purified *φCh1*-ΔORF34 particles according to the long approach described in section 2.2.4.2. The result of this procedure was examined by separation of a small aliquot on a 0.8 % PAA gel (data not shown). Moreover for the transformation of this DNA, competent cells of all clones had to be prepared. Initially this was tried to be achieved by the standard procedure implying removal of the S-layer with bacitracin followed by treatment with proteinase K (see section 2.2.3.1). Yet, since growth of the cultures was quite problematical in general, especially in the presence of bacitracin, spheroblast cells were generated without treatment with bacitracin. For the final approach cultures of all clones, *Nab. magadii* L13 pBN102-ORF34 (clone 1), *Nab. magadii* L13 pNB102-ORF34 (clone 2) and *Nab. magadii* L13 pNB102 (clone 1) as well as *Nab. magadii* L13 (control) were inoculated in NVM and grown to a logarithmic phase (OD_{600} approx. 0.5-0.6). Though *Nab. magadii* L13 and L13 pNB102 showed lower
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**Figure 17** Western blot α-gp34 - complementation of ΔORF34. 10µl of crude protein extracts prepared from *Nab. magadii* L11 (lane 2, positive control), *Nab. magadii* L13 (lane 3, negative control), clone 1-3 of *Nab. magadii* L13 pNB102-ORF34; (lanes 4-6) and clone 1-3 of *Nab. magadii* L13 pNB102-ORF34 (lanes 7-9) were separated on an 8 % PAA gel. The presence of gp34 was analyzed by western blotting using an antibody directed against gp34 (α-gp34). The desired product is visible as a band at > 66 kDa (indicated by an arrow). Expression of ORF34 can be detected in clones 1-3 of *Nab. magadii* L13 pNB102-ORF34, and clones 2 and 3 of *Nab. magadii* L13 pNB102-ORF34.
optical densities (OD$_{600} = 0.352$ and 0.409, respectively) they were definitely logarithmic growing at that time. Anyway, the cells were harvested and the further procedure was carried out as described in section 2.2.3.1. Subsequently each culture was transformed with 10 µl φCh1-ΔORF34 DNA and the cells were regenerated in rich medium at 37° C. Finally both, after one and after two days, phage titre analysis was performed according to the protocol in section 2.2.4.4. As described above, three different dilutions of the transformation approaches were tested: undiluted, $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-4}$.

The phage titres were evaluated after incubation at 37° C for 7 and 8 days, respectively. By that time no plaques were visible on the plates resulting from infection of Nab. magadii L13. However, single plaques were present at some plates of Nab. magadii L13 supplemented with the respective pBN102 constructs. This effect had already been described for infection of Nab. magadii L13 with φCh1-ΔORF34 (see section 3.1.4.3.). Yet, since it was independent from the applied dilution it is also possible that the plaques resulted from contamination with wild type phages. Anyway, in addition to these apparent plaques, a high number of small and very weak plaques was visible on the plates achieved by complementation with gp34$_{52}$ (clone Nab. magadii L13 pBN102-ORF34$_{52}$). This effect could neither be observed as a consequence of infection of Nab. magadii L13, nor in approaches of Nab. magadii L13 supplemented with pBN102 or pBN102-ORF34$_{1}$. Moreover the highest number of plaques was achieved by infection with the undiluted transformation approach of Nab. magadii L13 pBN102-ORF34$_{52}$, whereas none were visible in the case of a dilution of $10^{-4}$. Furthermore an increase of this effect could be observed after further incubation at 37°C. The numbers of plaques finally resulting from one of the two phage titre approaches as well as the calculated phage titres are listed in table 2. Since the other approach yielded similar results, they are not shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plaques</th>
<th>Clones</th>
<th>L13</th>
<th>L13 pNB102</th>
<th>L13 pNB102-ORF34$_{1}$</th>
<th>L13 pNB102-ORF34$_{52}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>undiluted</td>
<td>10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 / 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phage titre [pfu/ml]</td>
<td>L13</td>
<td>&lt; 10$^{1}$</td>
<td>&lt; 10$^{1}$</td>
<td>&lt; 10$^{1}$</td>
<td>10$^{3}$ – 10$^{5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 | Phage titres of φCh1-ΔORF34 in complemented and control cultures. This table shows the number of plaques resulting from infection of Nab. magadii L13, L13 pBN102, L13 pNB102-ORF34, and L13 pNB102-ORF34$_{52}$ with 100 µl φCh1-ΔORF34 transformation approach (undiluted, $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-4}$ diluted) as well as the calculated phage titres. Since the plaques on plates supplemented with gp34$_{52}$ (clone L13 pBN102-ORF34$_{52}$) were quite weak and small, clear quantification was not possible. Nevertheless, to figure out differences to the controls, the minimal plaque numbers are stated. Though 2 plaques were visible on one plate resulting from infection with phage particles from a $10^{-2}$ diluted transformation approach, this result was not taken into consideration for calculation of the phage titre as no plaques were found on the other plates, especially those supplemented with the undiluted approaches.
Plaque formation resulting from incubation for 9 days is illustrated in figure 18. Though the plaques are smaller and weaker than those usually yielded by phage titre analysis, they are clearly visible. Since they are present in a high number (titre: \(10^3\) – \(10^5\)), successful retrieval of the infectivity of φCh1-ΔORF34 by complementation with gp34\(_{52}\) can be concluded based on these results.
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Figure 18 | Phage titre analysis – complementation of ΔORF34\(_{52}\). Plaque formation on plates resulting from phage titre analysis of *Nab. magadii* L13 pBN102-ORF34\(_{52}\) (clone 2) transformed with φCh1-ΔORF34 DNA after incubation at 37°C for 9 days. On both pictures the effect of infection with undiluted transformation approaches is shown.

### 3.1.6. Discussion

In the course of this diploma work, a homozygous ORF34 deletion mutant of the halophage φCh1 was constructed and verified both, by PCR and southern blot analysis. Moreover, the fail in expression of the desired gene, was definitely confirmed by western blot analysis using an antibody directed against gp34\(_{52}\) (see figure 12). However, as gp34\(_{52}\) was supposed to represent the tail fibre protein of φCh1, morphological differences of the mutant particles compared to the wild type were expected. Indeed, the absence of tail fibre proteins could be demonstrated by electron microscopic analysis of purified phage fractions. Yet, the mutant particles visible on the micrographs were completely lacking the entire tails, consisting of the viral heads exclusively (see figure 14). This fact also explains changes in the density of the mutant phage particles and hence a different position of the purified phages resulting from application of a discontinuous CsCl gradient. However, the presence of long filamentous structural elements in the purification fractions (see figure 14) supported the idea, that in the absence of the final tail element in this mutant virus, the tails may get extended more and more during assemblage of the phage particles. The resulting tail structures can be supposed to be very instable and hence susceptible to break when they are exposed to high sharing forces during purification of phage particles. Finally breakage of the tails may leave intact
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Viral heads as well as long filamentous structures, yielding a situation similar to that observed by electron microscopic analysis. This theory was also supported by visualization of phage particles resulting from the supernatant of a lysated culture (see figure 15). In this case some of the long, filamentous structures actually seem to originate in the viral heads. Nevertheless a high number of viral heads definitely lacking tails as well as heads with short structures resembling remains of broken tails are visible on the micrographs. Hence it has to be concluded that, though gentle isolation of viral particles without further purification, most tails are not associated to the heads of the mutant virions. Breakage of the tails may have happen immediately after release from the corresponding Nab. magadii culture while shaking in a baffled flask or during harvesting by centrifugation. Anyway, based on these results it is very likely that the long structures visible on electron micrographs indeed represent broken tails of the mutant phage particles.

However, other explanations for the presence of structures like those found in the samples examined in the electron microscope are possible. Flagella of the archaeal host Nab. magadii are of an equal size and look very similar, hence they could hardly be distinguished from elongated tails. Moreover, they are very likely to occur in both, the supernatant of a lysated culture as well as the fractions resulting from isolation of the mutant phage particles. Furthermore, due to a western blot detecting the flagellar protein FlaB1 (figure 17), the presence of flagella in the observed samples is quite possible. Yet, even if the fractions analyzed by electron microscopy actually contained Nab. magadii flagella, the presence of broken viral tails cannot be excluded. It has to be kept in mind, that, in fact, some of the viral heads are associated with putative remnants of broken tails. So finally it can be concluded that, anyway, the lack of viral tails can be explained by the deletion of the putative tail fibre protein gp3452. Hence, the observed results clearly indicate that ORF34 encodes for the tail fibre protein of φCh1.

In addition, the supposed function of the putative tail fibre protein gp3452 was investigated by phage titre analysis. As described in section 3.1.4.3., in contrast to the φCh1 wild type, the mutant virus lacking gp3452 was shown to be at least strongly reduced in its ability to infect cells of the cured strain Nab. magadii L13. The addition of 100 µl of only one of the phage fractions resulted in the formation of three plaques. However, contamination with the wild type virus which was used as a control at the same time can not be excluded. Anyway, most of the observed phage fractions did not cause plaque formation at all. Thus, the titre of the mutant virus determined from the results of phage infection is < 10^1 pfu/ml, although, based on analysis of precipitated structural phage proteins, the respective phage fractions did not contain less particles than the φCh1 wild type (titre 3.6 * 10^8 pfu/ml). Hence, the ability of the isolated φCh1-ΔORF34 particles to infect Nab. magadii has to be considered as almost eliminated. Yet, it has to be noted that the particles resulting from isolation of
the mutant virus were not lacking the tail fibres exclusively, but the entire tail structures (see figure 14). Thus, the loss of infectivity of these particles does not necessarily have to be traced back to the absence of the tail fibre proteins, albeit this effect is not observed with particles of the φCh1 wild type. Anyway, based on the present results, a key role of the gene product of ORF34 in the initiation of infection can be supposed. This assumption could also be supported since complementation with the gene region encoding for gp34$_{52}$ resulted in the retrieval of the infectivity of the mutant virus. Yet, supplementation with gp34$_{1}$ did not yield any effect on plaque formation. This finding also correlates to the fact that gp34$_{52}$, but not gp34$_{1}$ or any variants of gp36 binds to the surface of φCh1 host *Nab. magadii* (Rössler *et al.*, in prep). However, further experiments may be performed to definitely confirm the function of the putative tail fibre protein gp34$_{52}$.

### 3.2. Int1-mediated Inversion of φCh1 ORF34 and ORF36

As described in detail in section 1.2.3.5., the region on the φCh1 genome comprising ORF34 to ORF36 gets inverted by the viral encoded site-specific recombinase Int1. This event leads to an exchange of the 3’ ends of ORF34 and ORF36 and hence gives rise to different gene products of ORF34: the original protein gp34$_{1}$ and the inversion product carrying the C-terminus of gp36, gp34$_{52}$ (Rössler *et al.*, 2004). In the last chapter the function of the putative tail fibre protein gp34$_{52}$ and its importance for infectivity of φCh1 were discussed. This chapter however is focused on the mechanism of inversion within its gene locus as well as the enzyme performing this reaction, the site-specific recombinase Int1.

#### 3.2.1. Analysis of eight *int1* clones comprising variations in single repeats

##### 3.2.1.1. Aim of the study and prognosis

The importance and sufficiency of Int1 to perform inversion of ORF34 and ORF36 had already been confirmed in course of previous projects. In 2008 two different cultures of *Nab. magadii* L13 were analyzed: *Nab. magadii* L13 (pRo-5 S2-2) supplemented with the whole invertible region and *Nab. magadii* L13 (pRo-5 S2-3), carrying a plasmid comprising ORF34 and ORF36 but lacking ORF35 (*int1*). However, inversion products could only be detected in *Nab. magadii* L13 (pRo-5 S2-2), but not in the clone lacking the gene region encoding for Int1. Hence it could be concluded that the ability to
perform inversion reactions within ORF34 and ORF36 totally depends on the presence of this enzyme (Ladurner, 2008).

Yet, since the activity of Int1 on the entire invertible region was observed, this experiment did not yield informations about the crucial characteristics of the genetic target sites of Int1. In its natural situation site-specific recombination occurs at two clusters of 30 bp direct repeats located to ORF34 and ORF36, respectively. These clusters, designated as IR-L and IR-R, are arranged in an inverted orientation with respect to each other. This orientation is supposed to be crucial in order to enable inversion of the region flanked by IR-L and IR-R (Rössler et al., 2004).

However, in the project described above, just like in the natural situation on the φCh1 genome, the whole IR-R and IR-L clusters and hence a high number of repeats were present on the invertible region. Yet, to work out certain characteristics of the repeats crucial for the ability to perform inversion, different constructs comprising int1 under the control of an fdx promoter as well as a spacer region flanked by single repeats of IR-L and IR-R were cloned on the shuttle vector pRo-5 and analyzed in Nab. magadii L13. Three of these constructs were varying in the orientation of the repeats, whereas four clones were characterized by the exchange of single nucleotides of the 30 bp repeat sequence. So on one hand it was aimed to confirm the importance of an inverted arrangement of the repeat clusters with respect to each other. However, no inversion products were expected in the case of direct repeats flanking the spacer region. On the other hand it was intended to figure out which of the conserved nucleic acid residues are totally required to enable recognition by Int1. Anyway, as previously only the entire invertible region had been tested, it was not clear if one set of single repeats was actually sufficient to cause inversion of the flanked region.

### 3.2.1.2. Construction of the eight int1 clones

As a first step the ferredoxin (fdx) promoter derived from H. salinarum was cloned onto the vector pUC19, yielding pUC19-fdx. Based on this plasmid, eight different int1 constructs were prepared as described in section 2.2.2.3. Each construct was composed of the gene encoding for φCh1 integrase 1 (int1) under control of the fdx promoter as well as a spacer region flanked by single repeats of IR-L and IR-R, respectively. The arrangement is illustrated in figure 19a.
As described above, the constructs of the eight int1 clones were varied in certain characteristics of the single repeats. In contrast to #584 resembling the wild type situation, the repeats of #586 and #608 were arranged in a direct orientation with respect to each other (see figure 20b). Like #584 the constructs of the clones #661, #662, #663 and #664 comprised inverted repeats, yet they were characterized by exchanges of single nucleotides of the 30 bp IR-R repeat sequence (see figure 20c). In addition to these seven variants, clone #615 lacking int1 was prepared as a negative control.

Transferred to the shuttle vector pRo-5, these eight int1 constructs were transformed into *Nab. magadii* L13 and positive clones were verified by PCR analysis using the primers D54-2 and p28-, yielding an 1856 bp product. Furthermore the presence of the fdx promoter was confirmed by amplification of corresponding fragment using the primers fdx-1 and p28+ (product: 1058 bp) and contamination with φCh1 was excluded by application of 34-XbaI-a and 36-3 (product: 1600 bp). 

---

**Figure 19** Constructs of eight int1 clones. (a) The constructs of the eight int1 clones were composed of int1 under the control of an fdx promoter (P_{fdx}) as well as a spacer region flanked by single repeats of IR-R and IR-L. Compared to clone #584 resembling the wild type situation, two clones (#586, #608) were varying in the orientation of IR-R and IR-L (b), whereas four clones (#661, #662, #663, #664) were characterized by the exchange of single nucleotides of the 30 bp IR-R repeat (c).
3.2.1.3. Inversion – time course experiment

In order to observe if inversion was possible under the respective conditions, a time course experiment was performed as described in section 2.2.3.4. For this purpose verified clones of each strain (\textit{Nab. magadii} L13 #584, #586, #608, #615, #661, #662, #663 and 664)* were grown to a late stationary phase. Every day the optical density was measured and DNA samples were prepared according to the instructions in section 2.2.3.5. To estimate the concentration of the DNA, 10 µl of the respective samples were applied to a 0.8 % agarose gel (data not shown). Based on this information, appropriate dilutions were prepared (1:5 or 1:10) and used as templates for PCR analysis. To get a first insight, only two samples taken at a stationary growth phase (6 and 7 days after inoculation) were investigated. In addition, approaches with the respective plasmid preparations from \textit{E. coli} (1:30) as well as a crude DNA extract of \textit{Nab. magadii} L13 were analyzed as positive and negative controls, respectively. The presence of products resulting from inversion of the spacer region was detected using the primers CH3-8 and p28-. As a positive control CH3-8 and p28+ were applied in order to amplify the 420 bp non-inverted fragments. For observation of the clone lacking \textit{int1} (#615) in contrast, the primers fdx1/p28- and fdx1/p28+ would have been used*. 10 µl of each sample were applied to a 6 % PAA gel and separated as described in section 2.2.1.1.

The results of PCR analysis of the samples from the clones #584 and #664 are illustrated in figure 21. However, the gels resulting from examination of the clones #608, #661, #662 and #663 look very similar; hence they are not shown. In all these cases a strong signal is visible at 420 bp as a consequence of amplification of the non-inverted fragment (PCR positive). Thus it can be concluded that the concentration of plasmid DNA in the two observed samples of each clone (day 6 and 7 after inoculation) was sufficient to enable PCR analysis in general. In contrast to this, due to poor growth of the corresponding culture resulting in low amounts of DNA in the prepared samples, no non-inverted fragments could be detected in the samples of clone #586. Hence it is not surprising that, in this case, the analysis of possible inversion products did not yield any signals either. Anyway, in PCR approaches for detection of possible inversion products (PCR inversion) of the other clones, 420 bp fragments are completely lacking as well. Yet, in the samples taken from stationary growing \textit{Nab. magadii} cultures of the respective clones, several more or less weak bands are visible at other positions (samples 6 and 7). Some of them correlate to the unspecific fragments detected in the control batches (amplification of \textit{Nab. magadii} L13 DNA and plasmid preparations from \textit{E. coli}), whereas others may have resulted from inversion. Since the mechanism of inversion is not completely known, possible products of other sizes resulting from e.g. deletion or duplication of the inverted region can not be excluded. However, all signals resulting from amplification of inversion products are quite similar in clone #584 resembling the natural situation and the modified clones.
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(#608, #661, #662, #663 and #664). Hence it is quite unlikely that these bands represent products of inversion of the spacer region.

* Since positive transformants of clone #615 were verified much later than those of other clones, the time course experiment was carried out with #584, #586, #608, #661, #662, #663 and #664 first. However, as PCR and southern blot analysis of these clones did not yield any useful results, the samples taken from growing cultures of the clone #615 were not further examined.

Anyway, to further investigate if inversion products of different sizes were present in the respective samples, southern blot analysis was performed (see section 2.2.6.). For this purpose two samples of each clone were applied to a 1.5 % agarose gel: 5 µl of the amplified non-inverted fragment (PCR positive) and 10 µl of one batch for detection of inversion products (PCR inversion), both of them resulting from sample 7 (template: sample taken seven days after inoculation). All samples as well as an aliquot of the biotinylated 2-Log DNA ladder were separated and transferred to a nylon membrane as described in section 2.2.6.2. For detection of the 420 bp non-inverted fragment as well as possible inversion products, a DNA probe complementary to a part of int1 was prepared by PCR using the primers Jas Int5 and Int13-Xba and φCh1 DNA as a template. The 693 bp fragment was purified by elution from a 6 % PAA gel and labeled as described in section 2.2.6.1. After hybridisation, the blot was developed according to the instructions in section 2.2.6.4.
As illustrated in figure 21, the resulting blot is quite similar to the 6 % PAA gels shown in figure 20. Again the 420 bp non-inverted fragments (pos) of the clones #584, #608, #661, #662, #663 and #664 are visible, whereas concrete bands of this size are lacking in the samples resulting from amplification of possible inversion products (inv). Instead of this, in clones #584, #608, #661, #662 and #663 more or less strong signals are present at a lower position on the gel, extending towards approx. 420 bp. Yet, it is very surprising that this effect is found in all clones except for one, namely #664. (Like before, the sample isolated from clone #586 did not yield any bands in general.) Moreover, it has to be noted that these signals are of the lowest intensity in #584, the clone resembling the wild type situation of the invertible region. Hence, as it is quite unlikely that the exchange of certain nucleotides within the repeat sequence has an enhancing effect on the inversion events, these signals are more probably the result of unspecific binding of the DNA probe, rather than amplified inversion products.
3.2.1.4. Discussion

The results presented above raise the question if the amplified fragments differing in their size compared to the 420 bp non-inverted version indeed were caused by inversion of the spacer region in the respective clones. However, as mentioned above this is very unlikely, since it would imply that inversion was possible or even enhanced by the changes in the orientation (#608) or sequence (#661, #662 and #663) of the single repeats compared to natural situation resembled by clone #584. Yet, it has to be noted that this effect was not observed in clone #664, comprising an exchange of cytosine to an adenine. Hence if the observed fragments in fact were inversion products it would lead to the conclusion that only the nucleotide at position six, but not those at the positions three, four and five is crucial to enable targeting by Int1.

Anyway, probably the observed signals were not the result of inversion performed by Int1, but the consequence of unspecific binding of the primers CH3-8 and p28- as well as the DNA probe used for southern blot analysis. In this case the failure of clone #584 to perform inversion of the spacer region flanked by single repeats may be explained by the necessity of a high number of repeats. Previously, inversion had only been investigated at the natural invertible region comprising large clusters of the repeats within ORF34 and ORF36 (IR-R and IR-L), respectively. Hence, it can not be excluded that this arrangement is crucial for the ability of Int1 to perform inversion and that, as a result, targeting of the construct illustrated in figure 19 is not possible.

Another possible explanation for a general absence of inversion events is the failure of int1 expression due to the used promoter. However, though P_{fdx} represents a strong promoter for ferredoxin in H. salinarum and is also expected to cause well expression of foreign genes introduced into Nab. magadii, its function in the latter archaeon has not been confirmed so far. Hence to further observe this possibility, this experiment may be repeated using another control element for the expression of int1. Also the application of an inducible promoter constructed in course of recent work (Alte, 2011) may open up new possibilities to shed light on this topic.

3.2.2. Investigation of a possible activator of int1 expression

3.2.2.1. Aim of the study and prognosis

As described in section 1.2.3.4. previous studies indicate that inversion happens in the late stationary growth phase (78 h after inoculation) of a Nab. magadii L13 culture supplemented with the φCh1 invertible region (Ladurner, 2008). Yet, in the wild type strain L11, expression of int1 was
shown to occur in an early logarithmic growth phase (Rössler et al., in prep). This effect may be explained by the activity of an activator of int1 expression, a protein encoded by φCh1 and hence only present in the lysogenic strain L11, but not in the cured strain supplemented with the φCh1 invertible region.

In course of this diploma work the effect of one possible activator encoded by the φCh1 region comprising ORF43 and ORF44 was investigated. Both sequences were known to be highly identical (90 % and 94 %, respectively) to regulatory elements of the plasmid pφHL providing immunity of H. salinarum towards infection with halophage φH. In addition, detailed studies had clearly confirmed a role of gp43 and/or gp44 as a transcriptional activator, since an enhancing effect on the expression of bgaH in the presence of ORF48 (rep), a gene encoding for a putative repressor, could be observed in Hfx. volcanii (Iro et al., 2007). Hence, an influence on int1 resulting in an earlier production of the corresponding protein was conceivable. To investigate this possibility, a Nab. magadii culture supplemented with both, the whole invertible region of φCh1 (implying int1) and ORF43/44 was observed. However, if one of the concerned gene products indeed was acting on the gene locus of Int1, inversion events were supposed to be detectable at earlier time points compared to a strain lacking ORF43/44.

### 3.2.2.2. Cloning of the putative activator (ORF43/44)

For preparation of clones supplemented with the putative activator gp43/gp44, a pNB102 shuttle vector containing the corresponding gene region was constructed as described in section 2.2.2.4 and transformed in two different strains of Nab. magadii L13. Both of them were carrying the invertible region on a pRo5 shuttle vector, yet one construct was comprising the whole - orientated fragment (pRo-5-52-2) while the other one was lacking int1 (pRo-5-52-3). Positive clones carrying pNB102/43-44 were verified by PCR analysis using the primers applied for cloning of the concerned gene region: 44-3 and 43-Kpn-5. In addition the presence of pRo-5-52-2 and pRo-5-52-3 was confirmed by amplification of a 916 bp pRo-5 specific fragment using TR-2 and Nov-6 as primers.

### 3.2.2.3. Inversion – time course experiment

In order to observe inversion of ORF34 and ORF36 in the two cultures carrying the possible activator of int1 expression, Nab. magadii L13 (pRo-5-52-2) and Nab. magadii L13 (pRo-5-52-3), a time course experiment was carried out as described in section 2.2.3.4. However, samples for crude extraction of plasmid DNA were not taken every day, but at certain time points depending on the growth phase of the cultures (at least lag-, early logarithmic-, late logarithmic- and stationary phase).
In order to confirm successful extraction of plasmid DNA, the respective samples were separated on a 0.8 % agarose gel (data not shown). Finally appropriate dilutions of all samples were analyzed by PCR using the primers 34-5 and 36-3 for the detection of the 1369 bp non-inverted fragment ORF34\textsubscript{52} (orientation corresponding to the - oriented fragment in pRo-5-52-2/3). On the other hand possible inversion products were amplified by application of the primers 34-5 and 34-3. In addition to the samples taken from the growing cultures at different time points, PCR batches containing either crude DNA extracts of *Nab. magadii* L11 or the plasmids pRo-5-52-2 and pRo-5-52-3 prepared from *E. coli* were examined as controls. The result of this PCR was analyzed on a 0.8 agarose gel.

Both, the growth curves of *Nab. magadii* L13 (pRo-5-52-3) and *Nab. magadii* L13 (pRo-5-52-2) #1 and #2, all supplemented with pNB102/43-44, as well as PCR analysis of the respective samples are illustrated in figure 22. In general amplified ORF34\textsubscript{52} (non-inverted) fragments are visible as concrete bands at 1369 bp in batches containing the plasmids prepared from *E. coli* (pp *E. coli*, figure 22 b) as well as most of the samples taken from growing *Nab. magadii* cultures (figure 22 b + c, left). In approaches for the detection of inversion products in contrast, the present signals are weaker and blurred due to variation of the lengths of the fragments resulting from inversion (figure 22 b + c, right). In this case, no inversion products can be detected by amplification of pRo-5-52-2 isolated from *E. coli*, but, surprisingly, in the sample containing pRo-5-52-3. Furthermore, for unknown reasons neither ORF34\textsubscript{1}, nor ORF34\textsubscript{52} can be amplified from the crude extract of *Nab. magadii* L11. However, in samples taken from one clone carrying the whole invertible region as well as the putative activator (*Nab. magadii* L13 (pRo-5-52-2 pNB102/43-44 #1)), PCR products of the non-inverted fragment ORF34\textsubscript{52} (resembling the orientation of pRo-5-52-2) are present in samples prepared 50, 147 and 197 hours after inoculation, but not in those taken from early lag- (6h, 32h) or stationary phase (266h). Inversion products are found in the samples taken during logarithmic (147h, 197h) and stationary growth (266h), but they can not be amplified from the sample prepared 50h after inoculation, albeit this sample yields a signal for detection of ORF34\textsubscript{52}. Hence, it may be concluded that at this time point the non-inverted fragment is present exclusively, whereas inversion occurs afterwards and thus can be detected at an early logarithmic growth phase for the first time. In this respect, an effect of gp43/gp44 on int1 expression could be supposed.
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Figure 22 | Time course experiment activator – PCR analysis. (a) Growth curves of cultures *Nab. magadii* L13 (pRo-5-52-2) and *Nab. magadii* L13 (pRo-5-52-3), both supplemented with pNB102/43-44 (possible activator of *int1*). OD$_{600}$ was determined every day and samples were taken at certain time points (indicated by squares and circles). (b) PCR analysis of controls (crude extract of L11 DNA; plasmids prepared from *E. coli*) and samples taken from a growing culture of *Nab. magadii* L13 (pRo-5-52-3 pNB102/43-44). Amplification of the 1369 bp non-inverted fragment was performed using the primers 34-5/36-3 (left); inversion products were detected by application of 34-5/34-3 (right). (c) PCR analysis of the samples taken from clone #1 and #2 of *Nab. magadii* L13 (pRo-5-52-3 pNB102/43-44). Amplification of the 1369 bp non-inverted fragment was performed using the primers 34-5/36-3 (left); inversion products were detected by application of 34-5/34-3 (right).
However, it has to be noted that the clone lacking \textit{int1} (\textit{Nab. magadii} L13 (pRo-5-52-3/ pNB102/43-44)) also yields signals correlating to inversion products (figure 22b, right). Like the non-inverted fragments (figure 22b, left), they can be detected in samples taken at a lag- (6h), early logarithmic (24h) and late logarithmic growth phase (96h), while both fragments are lacking in the last sample (169h), probably due to low DNA concentrations of in the analyzed sample. Yet, since PCR analysis of the original plasmid prepared from \textit{E. coli} causes the amplification of inversion products as well, this effect does not present evidence for inversion events occurring independently of the presence of Int1 in \textit{Nab. magadii}.

Anyway, in general the occurrence of PCR products resulting from amplification with the primers 34-5/36-3 and 34-5/34-3 seems to be quite random. In several samples amplification of the non-inverted fragment could not be observed, even though the applied templates definitely contained sufficient amounts of DNA and, in some cases, even inversion products could be detected. Moreover, the results of control batches (L11 and plasmids prepared from \textit{E. coli}) were not clear. Even further PCR analysis using the same or alternative primers (34-inv1/36-inv1 and 34-inv1/34-inv2, respectively) did not enable to shed light on this project (data not shown).

\textbf{3.2.2.4. Discussion}

Based on the results described above, a definite conclusion about a regulatory effect of \textit{gp43/gp44} on the expression of \textit{int1} is not possible. Though analysis of the samples prepared from \textit{Nab. magadii} L13 (pRo-5-52-2 pNB102/43-44) #1 may indicate that inversion occurs at the early logarithmic growth phase in the presence of \textit{gp43/gp44}, amplification of both, ORF34 \textsubscript{1} and ORF34 \textsubscript{52}, seems to be quite random. However, the lack of a signal in approaches quantifying possible inversion products does not necessarily mean that in fact no corresponding fragments were present. On the contrary, detection of inversion products could be observed independently from the presence of Int1 in clone \textit{Nab. magadii} L13 (pRo-5-52-3 pNB102/43-44). This finding does not correlate to previous studies, definitely confirming the necessity of this enzyme to perform inversion of the concerned gene region (Ladurner, 2008). Furthermore, inversion products also resulted from addition of the original plasmid pRo-5-52-3. This fact implies that either the construct itself does not contain the \textit{52}-oriented version of the invertible region lacking \textit{int1} exclusively, or that PCR analysis using the primers 34-5/36-3 may yield a signal of an appropriate size anyway. However, inversion events performed by the polymerase were intended to be prevented since the proofreading polymerase \textit{Pwo} was applied instead of \textit{Taq}. As mentioned above, in contrast to \textit{Pwo}, the later enzyme is known to cause inversion within DNA fragments on its own. This mechanism would, of course, have also resulted in the occurrence of inversion products independently from the activity of Int1.
3.2.3. Deletion of the start codon of int1 (ΔAUG-int1)

3.2.3.1. Aim of the study and prognosis

In previous work, a failure of inversion in the absence of Int1 had only been demonstrated in *Nab. magadii* L13 (pRo-5-52-3), a strain supplemented with the invertible region but completely lacking the corresponding gene of Int1 (Ladurner, 2008). Hence, it could not be excluded that this effect was caused by the shortening of the invertible region resulting from removing of *int1*, but not by the absence of Int1. For this reason, a clone carrying the whole invertible region including *int1*, but though lacking the corresponding protein due to deletion of the start codon (ΔAUG-int1) was prepared in course of this work. If indeed Int1 was required for inversion of the region comprising ORF34 – ORF36, a total lack of inversion products was expected to be demonstrable by PCR analysis.

3.2.3.2. Cloning of *Nab. magadii* L13 ΔAUG-int1

Construction of the plasmid pRo5-ΔAUG-int1 comprising the whole invertible region except for the start codon of *int1* was performed as described in section 2.2.2.5. Subsequently the vector was transformed into *Nab. magadii* L13 and positive clones were verified by PCR analysis, detecting both, the fragments upstream (2323 bp) and downstream (2161 bp) of *int1*-AUG. For amplification of both fragments the primers applied for cloning of pRo-5-ΔAUG-int1, 34-Kpn/3601-XbaI (fragment 1) and 36-5-HindIII/3602-Xba (fragment 2), were used.

3.2.3.3. Inversion – time course experiment

To examine the ability of verified clones to perform inversion reactions, a time course experiment was carried out as described in section 2.2.3.4. Periodical (almost every day) samples were taken and plasmid DNA was extracted according to the instructions in section 2.2.3.5. Since in a first approach a sample resulting from the lag phase of the growing culture was missing, a fresh culture was inoculated and an additional sample was taken after 8.5 hours. In order to estimate the DNA concentrations, all resulting samples were analyzed on a 0.8 % agarose gel. Finally, appropriate dilutions of the samples were analyzed by PCR. As described in the previous section (investigation of a possible activator of *int1* expression), two different PCRs were performed: one for detection of the non-inverted fragment (ORF34,52) and one for detection of possible inversion products. Again for amplification of ORF34,52 the primers 34-5 and 36-3 were applied, whereas the presence of inversion products was investigated using 34-5 an 34-3. In addition to the samples taken from the growing culture, different controls were applied: batches containing crudely extracted DNA of *Nab. magadii*
L11 (positive control), *Nab. magadii* L13 (negative control) and the plasmid resulting from cloning in *E. coli*. Finally, all PCR batches were analyzed by separation on a 0.8 agarose gel. The growth curve of *Nab. magadii* L13 pRo-5-ΔAUG-int1 as well as the results of analysis of the respective samples are shown in figure 23.
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**Figure 23** | Time course experiment ΔAUG – PCR analysis. (a) Growth curve of cultures *Nab. magadii* L13 pRo-5-ΔAUG-int1. OD<sub>600</sub> was determined every day and samples were taken at certain time points (indicated by circles). (b + c) PCR analysis of controls (crude extract of *Nab. magadii* L11 DNA, L13 DNA and plasmids prepared from *E. coli*) as well as samples taken from a growing culture of *Nab. magadii* L13 pRo-5-ΔAUG-int1. Amplification of the 1369 bp non-inverted fragment was performed using the primers 34-5/36-3 (b); inversion products were detected by application of 34-5/34-3 (c).

As already described for *Nab. magadii* L13 supplemented with the invertible region and the possible activator of int1 expression (see section 3.2.2.3.), amplification of non-inverted fragments yields signals at 1369 bp (figure 23b), whereas inversion products are visible as weaker, blurred bands (figure 23c). Both, non-inverted and inverted fragments seem to be present in all samples taken from growing cultures of *Nab. magadii* L13 pRo-5-ΔAUG-int1. Moreover, they can be detected in DNA extracts prepared from *Nab. magadii* L11, but are lacking in the negative control (L13). However, a signal indicating the presence of inversion products also results from analysis of the original plasmid prepared from *E. coli*. Hence, a conclusion about the occurrence of inversion events in the *Nab. magadii* culture supplemented with the invertible region but lacking Int1 due to deletion of the start codon of the corresponding gene is not possible.
3.2.3.4. Discussion

In general, the presence of inversion products in samples prepared from a growing culture of *Nab. magadii* L13 pRo-5-ΔAUG-int1 would indicate, that inversion is possible in the absence of Int1. However, since the plasmid prepared from *E. coli* yielded a signal as well, inversion products can be expected to occur in the concerned *Nab. magadii* culture, independently from the activity of Int1. As described for clone *Nab. magadii* L13 pRo-5-52-3 pNB102/43-44 supplemented with a possible activator of *int1* expression (see section 3.2.2.), the application of the primers 34-5/36-3 may yield a signal of an appropriate size anyway. Yet, this explanation does not correlate to the results of previous studies confirming the occurrence of inversion products only in the presence of Int1 based on this PCR (Ladurner, 2008). Anyway, a conclusion about the ability to perform inversion reactions in the absence of the gene product Int1, but in the presence of the whole invertible region on a genetic level is not possible based on these results.

3.3. Binding of gp34 to *Nab. magadii* P3

3.3.1. Aim of the study and prognosis

Since the gene product of φCh1 ORF34<sub>52</sub> was shown to bind to the surface of *Nab. magadii*, this protein was supposed to be crucial for the infectivity of the virus (Rössler *et al*., in prep). In course of this diploma work this assumption was supported, as deletion of the putative tail fibre protein resulted in a loss of infectivity (see section 3.1.). Based on this idea, purified gp34<sub>52</sub> bound to the surface of the archaeal cell was supposed to compete with the tail fibre protein of mature phage particles of φCh1, thus leading to a reduction of the phage titre. Hence, as a further project for examination of gp34<sub>52</sub>, a phage titre analysis was performed adding both, the φCh1 particles and varying amounts of the purified protein gp34<sub>52</sub> expressed in *E. coli*. Yet, since *Nab. magadii* was known to produce a protease which gets segregated to the exterior of the cell (NEP) and thus causes degradation of foreign proteins in the surrounding medium (Derntl, 2009), a *Nab. magadii* strain lacking NEP (*Nab. magadii* P3) was used. However, the presence of gp34<sub>52</sub> was expected to result in a reduction of the phage titre compared to equal approaches including the inverted version of gp36 (gp36<sub>52</sub>) or lacking any of these proteins, respectively.
3.3.2. Purification of gp34_{52}/gp36_{52} and quantification

In order to investigate the effect of gp34_{52} and gp36_{52} on the phage titre of φCh1, first of all both proteins had to be purified. For this purpose the corresponding genes introduced via the cloning vector pQE30 (pQE-34_{52} and pQE-36_{52}) were expressed in the E. coli strain XL-1 Blue as described in section 2.2.5.2. After expression for 3 hours, the cells were harvested and the pellet resulting from 5 liter culture was solved in 100 ml (gp36_{52}) and 150 ml (gp34_{52}) buffer B, respectively. After lysis of the cells, the proteins were collected by centrifugation and incubated with 900µl (gp36_{52}) / 1 ml (gp34_{52}) Ni-NTA in order to enable binding based on the affinity of the His-tag to the Ni^{2+} ions (see section 2.1.10.4.). Finally they were purified under denaturing conditions according to the instructions in section 2.1.10.4. The different fractions resulting from this procedure (flowthrough, 2 x wash, 6 x elution) as well as crude protein extracts prepared from the respective E.coli cultures for and after induction of expression of the concerned genes were analyzed on protein gels as described in section 2.2.5.5. (data not shown). For the binding assays, samples containing appropriate amounts of quite pure gp34_{52} and gp36_{52} were dialyzed against buffer 1 and buffer 2 in order to adapt them to high salt concentrations and hypersaline conditions (see section 2.2.5.4.). Afterwards, an aliquot of each sample was mixed with an equal volume of 2 x Protein sample buffer (Laemmlli) and separated on a protein gel as described in section 2.2.5.5. In order to estimate the protein concentration in the samples, BSA standards of certain concentrations (0.025, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.4 µg/µl) were applied. Based on the resulting gel (see figure 24), a concentration of 0.075 µg/µl was determined for the dialyzed fractions of both proteins.

![Figure 24](image)

3.3.3. Phage titre analysis

In order to investigate a possible reduction in the plaque formation caused by the addition of gp34_{52} or gp36_{52}, phage titre analysis was performed. As described in section 2.2.4.4., in addition to 400 µl stationary culture of Nab. magadii P3, varying amounts of the purified proteins gp34_{52} and
gp36$_{52}$ were added to 5 ml soft agar and plated on NVM agar plates. In a first approach, three different amounts were tested: 7.5 µg, 37.5 µg and 75 µg (contained in 100 µl, 500 µl and 1ml protein fraction, respectively). As a control, the same procedure was performed twice in the absence of gp34$_{52}$ and gp36$_{52}$, only adding *Nab. magadii* P3. However, after hardening of the plated soft agar, 10 µl of purified φCh1 particles in different dilutions ($10^{-2}$, $10^{-4}$, $10^{-6}$ and $10^{-8}$) were spotted in an appropriate distance with respect to each other. The titre was evaluated after incubation at 37°C for 7 days.

In all three cases (phage titre analysis of *Nab. magadii* P3 and *Nab. magadii* P3 supplemented with gp34$_{52}$ and gp36$_{52}$, respectively) spotting of $10^{-2}$ and $10^{-4}$ diluted φCh1 particles resulted in complete lysis of the cells, whereas a dilution of $10^{-8}$ did not cause plaque formation at all (data not shown). However, single plaques could be counted as a consequence of infection with $10^{-6}$ diluted phages. The results are illustrated below (see figure 25a).

**Figure 25** | Binding of gp34$_{52}$/gp36$_{52}$ to *Nab. magadii* P3 – phage titre analysis. (a) Phage titre analysis of *Nab. magadii* P3 (above) and *Nab. magadii* P3 supplemented with 7.5/37.5/75 µg of gp34$_{52}$ (middle) and gp36$_{52}$ (below), respectively. Plaque formation resulting from spotting of 10 µl φCh1 particles (diluted $10^{-6}$) on plated cells. (b) Phage titre analysis of *Nab. magadii* P3 (above) and *Nab. magadii* P3 supplemented with 400 µg of gp34$_{52}$ (middle) and gp36$_{52}$ (below), respectively. Plaque formation resulting from spotting of 10 µl φCh1 particles (diluted $10^{-6}$) on plated cells.
In both, the titres additionally containing gp3452 and those supplemented with gp3652, the number of plaques did not differ significantly from the one observed on plates resulting from infection with φCh1 in the absence of the concerned proteins. Yet, as visible on the pictures illustrated in figure 25a, the plaques resulting from addition of 37.5 and 75 µg gp3452 respectively (P3 + φCh1 + gp3452) were reduced in their size compared to approaches containing gp3652 (P3 + φCh1 + gp3652) or lacking both proteins (P3 + φCh1). For the titre supplemented with 75 µg gp3452 an average plaque size of 1.13 mm was calculated, which is approx. 68.5 % of the total plaque size of P3 infected with φCh1 without any additives (Ø: 1.65 mm). In contrast to this, the plaques resulting from addition of up to 75 µg gp3652 exhibited an average size of 1.67 mm and thus did not differ in their size compared to the control approach. Hence it can be excluded that the observed effect had been caused by changes in the agar content due to addition of up to 1 ml liquid to the soft agar. Instead of this, these results rather indicate, that the competition of purified gp3452 with mature φCh1 particles had resulted in a delay in infection. However, since a reduction of the phage titre could not be observed as a consequence of addition of up to 75 µg gp3452, further phage titres were performed applying higher amounts of the respective proteins. For this purpose appropriate fractions of purified gp3452 and gp3652 were dialyzed as described before (section 3.3.2.). Again the protein concentrations in the resulting fractions were estimated by SDS-PAGE, applying BSA standards in addition.

First the effect of addition of 150 µg gp3452 / gp3652 contained in 1546.7 µl (gp3452) and 1500 µl (gp3652) protein fraction, respectively, was investigated. Yet, like before no decrease in the titre could be observed as a consequence of supplementation with gp3452, while the size of the present plaques was slightly reduced (data not shown). Surprisingly, in this case a small reduction in both, the number and size of the plaques was detectable in the approach containing gp3652. However, since this titre analysis yielded formation of only few plaques in general (max. 5 plaques in one control approach), a conclusion based on this data is not possible anyway.

Finally, a last titre analysis was performed applying 400 µg gp3452 and gp3652, respectively. However, since a total volume of 2390 µl protein fraction was added to the 5 ml approach, the agar content of the soft agar was adapted in order to enable hardening after plating (6 g agar / liter NVN). To achieve better comparison, the control approach was supplemented with an equal volume of high salt alkaline solution. Furthermore, in contrast to the phage titres performed before, phage dilutions of 10⁻⁴, 10⁻⁵ and 10⁻⁶ were applied and spotted twice this time on all three plates. The plaques resulting from this procedure are illustrated in figure 25b. Like in the first attempt, a reduction in the plaque size caused by the addition of gp3452 could be observed. Compared to the approx. 2.01 mm control plaques, the plaques of approaches supplemented with 400 µg gp3452 showed an average
size of 1.69 mm (84.1 % of the control size) whereas no effect on the plaque size could be detected in the presence of gp36\textsubscript{52}. In addition to the plaque size, a reduction in the plaque number could be observed. In contrast to the control approaches yielding 9 plaques each, 5 and 6 plaques respectively were present on the plate supplemented with gp34\textsubscript{52}. This represents a decrease in plaque formation of 38.9 %. However, since infection with 10 µl φCh1 particles spotted on plated cells caused only few plaques in general, a definite statement based on these results is difficult. Yet, a tendency to a negative effect on the infection with φCh1 in the presence of gp34\textsubscript{52} may be supposed.

3.3.4. Discussion

In general an effect on plaque formation could be observed in the presence of gp34\textsubscript{52}. Compared to the plaques resulting from phage infection in the absence of the protein, the plaques of approaches supplemented with at least 37.5 µg gp34\textsubscript{52} were reduced in their size. However, the effect on the plaque size did not increase with increasing amounts of protein added to the cells. Moreover a similar extend in the reduction of the plaque size was observed in the presence of 150 µg gp36\textsubscript{52}. Yet, in the case of gp36\textsubscript{52} neither a tendency to formation of smaller plaques nor a reduction in the titre was observed as a consequence of supplementation with lower or higher amounts of the protein. Hence it is unlikely that this protein indeed has an influence infection of \textit{Nab. magadii} by the virus φCh1. Approaches containing gp34\textsubscript{52} in contrast yielded a constant reduction in plaque size, indicating that this effect can actually be traced back to the presence of the protein. It may have been caused by a delay in infection resulting from competition of φCh1 and gp34\textsubscript{52}. However, complete prevention of infection causing a decrease in the phage titre was definitely not observed in the presence of up to 150 µg of the concerned protein. Approaches supplemented with 400 µg in contrast yielded a reduced number of plaques, yet it is not clear weather this effect indeed resulted from competition with the protein. In general spotting of 10 µl phage particles caused the formation of 120% plaques.
of only few plaques, varying in the number even under the same conditions. Anyway, a tendency to a reduction in the titre resulting from competition with gp34$_{52}$ may be supposed. To come to a definite conclusion, determination of the phage titre may be performed applying 100 µl phage particles. Yet, since in this case on one plate only one phage dilution could be tested, high amounts of gp34$_{52}$ would be required for extensive studies.

Finally, based on the data presented above, an effect of gp34$_{52}$ on the ability of φCh1 to infect its host *Nab. magadii* is quite likely, but still has to be confirmed in course of further work. For this purpose, even higher amounts of gp34$_{52}$ may be applied in order to increase the observed effect.
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Abstract

One special characteristic of the haloalcaliphilic virus φCh1 is the presence of a genomic region which gets inverted by the virus encoded site-specific recombinase Int1 during the lysogenic life cycle of the temperate phage. Since this inversion event results in an exchange of the 3’ ends of ORF34 and ORF36, this event gives rise to the formation of different gene products of ORF34: the non-inverted variant (gp34\textsubscript{1}) and the variant comprising the C-terminus of gp36 (gp34\textsubscript{52}). Both of them represent putative tail fibre proteins of φCh1, structural elements which are often involved in interaction with the host cell during initiation of infection. Yet, based on previous studies only gp34\textsubscript{52} was supposed to be crucial for infection of \textit{Natrialba magadii}, the only known host of φCh1.

To confirm the putative function of gp34\textsubscript{52}, this work aimed to produce an ORF34 deletion mutant by replacing of the concerned gene region with a novobiocin resistance cassette (Nov\textsuperscript{R}). The absence of gp34 in the resulting lysogenic culture \textit{Nab. magadii} L11-ΔORF34 was demonstrated by western blot analysis. In addition certain phenotypic characteristics of the corresponding mutant phage particles could be pointed out. On one hand morphological changes which can be explained by the absence of tail fibre structure could be visualized by electron microscopy. On the other hand φCh1-ΔORF34 was shown to be at least strongly reduced in its ability to infect \textit{Nab. magadii} L13. Based on these results, this work could present evidence for both, the function of gp34\textsubscript{52} as the tail fibre protein of φCh1 as well as its crucial role in infection of \textit{Nab. magadii}.

In addition to deletion of the putative tail fibre protein gp34, this work describes an attempt to cause a reduction in the phage titre by competition of φCh1 with different amounts of purified gp34\textsubscript{52} and gp36\textsubscript{52}. Indeed a tendency to an effect of gp34\textsubscript{52} on the number and size of the plaques resulting from phage infection could be demonstrated, yet to enable a definite conclusion, a larger approach of titre analysis would be required.

The other part of this thesis contributes to characterization of the inversion process. On one hand certain features of the Int1 target region were worked out by analysis of different clones varying either in the orientation or in the nucleic acid composition of the repeats recognized by Int1. On the other hand the role of the site-specific recombinase Int1 was investigated by deletion of its start codon, yielding a clone failing expression of this protein. Moreover the effect of a possible activator of \textit{int1} expression was examined. In all three cases time course experiments were performed in order to analyze the occurrence of inversion events depending on the growth phase under the respective conditions. However, none of these projects could yield further details about the mechanism of inversion within ORF34 and ORF36.
Zusammenfassung

Ein Merkmal des haloalkaliphilen Virus φCh1 ist das Vorhandensein einer genomischen Region welche während des lysogenen Zyklus des temperenten Phagens durch die viral codierte Rekombinase Int1 invertiert wird. Da dieses Ereignis einen Austausch der 3’ Enden von ORF34 und ORF36 zur Folge hat, ermöglicht es die Bildung verschiedener Genprodukte von ORF34: die uninvertierte Variante (gp34\textsubscript{1}) und jene Variante die den C-terminus von gp36 trägt (gp34\textsubscript{52}). Beide stellen mögliche „Tail-fibre“ Proteine von φCh1 dar, Strukturelemente die häufig an der Interaktion mit der Wirtszelle zu Beginn einer Infektion beteiligt sind. Aufgrund früherer Studien jedoch wurde eine Bedeutung für die Infektion von \textit{Natricalba magadii}, dem einzigen Wirten von φCh1, nur bei gp34\textsubscript{52} vermutet.

Um die Funktion von gp34\textsubscript{52} zu bestätigen, war es Ziel dieser Diplomarbeit durch Ersetzen der fraglichen Region durch eine Novobiocinresistenz (Nov\textsuperscript{R}) eine ORF34 Deletionsmutante herzustellen. Das Fehlen von gp34 in der lysogenen Kultur \textit{Nab. magadii} L11-ΔORF34 wurde mittels Western Blot nachgewiesen. Außerdem konnten bestimmte phänotypische Merkmale der entsprechenden Phagenpartikel aufgezeigt werden. Einerseits konnten durch das Fehlen der „Tail-fibre“ Struktur erklärbare morphologische Veränderungen unter dem Elektronenmikroskop beobachtet werden. Andererseits wurde gezeigt, dass φCh1-ΔORF34 in seiner Fähigkeit \textit{Nab. magadii} L13 zu infizieren zumindest stark eingeschränkt ist. Aufgrund dieser Ergebnisse konnte sowohl die Funktion von gp34\textsubscript{52}, als auch seine Bedeutung für die Infektion von \textit{Nab. magadii} nachgewiesen werden.

Zusätzlich zu der Deletion des vermutlichen „Tail-fibre“ Proteins gp34, beschreibt diese Arbeit den Versuch durch Konkurrenz zwischen φCh1 und verschiedenen Mengen von gp34\textsubscript{52} und gp36\textsubscript{52} eine Reduktion des Phagentiters zu bewirken. Tatsächlich konnte ein tendenzieller Einfluss von gp34\textsubscript{52} auf die Plaquezahl und Größe beobachtet werden, für eine eindeutige Schlussfolgerung jedoch wäre ein größerer Ansatz erforderlich.
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